

Tuesday, 22nd April 1958

LOK SABHA DEBATES

Second

Volume XV, 1958

(8th April to 22nd April, 1958)



FOURTH SESSION, 1958

(Vol. XV Contains No. 41 to 50)

**LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI**

CONTENTS

[SECOND SERIES, VOLUME XV—8TH APRIL TO 22ND APRIL, 1958]

No. 41—Tuesday, 8th April, 1958

COLUMNS

—al Answers to Questions

Starred Questions Nos. 1515 to 1522, 1524 to 1527, 1530, 1533, 1536, 1538 to 1540, 1542 to 1544 8725—59

Written Answers to Questions

Starred Questions Nos. 1523, 1528, 1529, 1531, 1532, 1534, 1535, 1537, 1541 8759—63

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2120 to 2127, 2129 to 2147, 2149 to 2162, 2164 to 2181, 2183 to 2186, 2188 to 2200 8763—8811

Papers laid on the Table 8811

Estimates Committee

Sixth and Seventh Reports 8812

Statement re. Annual Reports of Employees State Insurance Corporation 8812—13

Demands for Grants

Ministry of Labour and Employment 8813—8964

Daily Digest 8965—68

No. 42.—Wednesday, the 9th April, 1958

—al Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1545, 1546, 1549 to 1551, 1553, 1555 to 1561, 1564 to 1571 and 1573 to 1575 9669—9007

Short Notice Question No. 13 9007—10

Written Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1547, 1548, 1552, 1554, 1562, 1563 and 1572 9710—15

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2201 to 2247 9715—46

Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions

Eighteenth Report 9046

Estimates Committee

Eighth Report 9046

Statement re. Correction of reply to Starred Question No. 581 9046—47

Demands for Grants

Ministry of External Affairs 9047—9174

Daily Digest 9175—78

No. 43.—Thursday, 10th April, 1958

—al Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1576 to 1578, 1581 to 1586, 1588, 1589, 1591, 1593, 1594, 1596, 1598 and 1599 9179—9215

Written Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1579, 1580, 1587, 1590, 1592, 1595, 1597, 1600 and 1601 9215—20

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2248 to 2252, 2254 to 2259, 2261 to 2287 9220—45

Papers laid on the Table 9245—46

	COLUMN
Demands for Grants	9246—938
Department of Atomic Energy	9246—930
Ministry of Rehabilitation	9300—8
Half-an-hour discussion re closure of Tea gardens in Assam	9381—9
Daily Digest	9395—9

No. 44.—Friday, 11th April, 1958

Oral Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1603 to 1605, 1608, 1611, 1614 to 1622, 1624 and 1610	9399—94
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1606, 1607, 1609, 1612, 1613, 1623	9431—34
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2288 to 2301 and 2303 to 2332	9435—65
Paper laid on the Table	946 ^c
Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance—	
Relaxation of restrictions on travel to Goa	9465—66
Business of the House	9467
Demands for Grants	
Ministry of Rehabilitation	9467—9557
Committee on Private Member's Bills and Resolutions	
Nineteenth Report	955
Resolution re. imposition of restriction on persons who had held the office of Governor	9557—
Resolution negatived	9580
Resolution re. re-orientation of the system of examinations	9580—9626
Daily Digest	9627—30

No. 45.—Monday, 14th April, 1958.

Oral Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1625 to 1630, 1632, 1635 to 1637, 1639 to 1642, 1645 and 1646, 1649	9631—
--	-------

Written Answers to Questions :—

Starred Question Nos. 1634, 1638, 1643 and 1644, 1647, 1648, 1650, 1651	9666—72
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2333 to 2409	9672—9717

Papers laid on the Table	9717
--------------------------	------

Clarification of Reply to a Supplementary Question	9717
--	------

Employees Provident Funds (Amendment) Bill—Introduced	9717—18
---	---------

Demands for Grants	
--------------------	--

Ministry of Home Affairs	9718—98 ^c
--------------------------	----------------------

Daily Digest	9895—99 ^c
--------------	----------------------

No. 46.—Tuesday, 15th April, 1958

Oral Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1652 to 1655, 1657, 1659, 1661 to 1667, 1669, 1671, 1672, 1674 to 1676 and 1656	9901—
--	-------

Written Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1658, 1660, 1668, 1670 and 1673	9938—
--	-------

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2410 to 2430, 2432 to 2453 and 2455 to 2457	9941—
--	-------

COLUMN	No.
as laid on the Table	9968
Business Advisory Committee—	
Twenty-third Report	9969
Business Committee—	
Ninth Report	9969
Parliamentary Committee—A Summary of work	9969
Calling Attention to matter of urgent public importance	
Incidence of firing by Pakistani border forces on the East Pakistan Assam Border	9969-72
Statement re. Correction of Answer to Supplementary to Starred Question No. 1503	9972-73
Motion re. Appointment to Joint Committee	9973
Demands for Grants	9973-10126
Ministry of Home Affairs	9973-10005
Ministry of Defence	10005-10126
Daily Digest	10127-32

No. 47.—Wednesday, 16th April, 1958

Oral Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1677, 1678, 1681, 1683, 1686, 1688 to 1693, 1996 to 1704	10133-72
Short Notice Question No. 14	10173-75

Written Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1679, 1680, 1682, 1684, 1687 and 1694	10175-78
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2458 to 2498	10178-10203
Obituary reference to Shri Shambu Dayal Misra	10203
Motion for Adjournment	10204-06
apers laid on the Table	10206
Correction of answer to Supplementary Question	10206-07
Business Advisory Committee	
Twenty-third Report	10207
Demands for Grants	10208-10350
Ministry of Defence	10208-91
Ministry of Finance	10291-50
Daily Digest	10351-54

No. 48.—Thursday, 17th April, 1958

Oral Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1705, 1707, to 1716, 1719 to 1721 and 1723	10355-93
Short Notice Question No. 15	10390-95

Written Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1717, 1718, 1722 and 1724 to 1728	10335-10400
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2499 to 2528	10400-24

Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions	
Twentieth Report	10424
Calling Attention to matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Pakistan Representative's letter to Security Council	10425—36
Motion for Adjournment—	
Alleged massing of Pakistani troops on the Assam border	10427—3
Statement <i>Re</i> . Clarification of Answer to Supplementary to S.Q. No. 730	10431—33
Demands for Grants—	
Ministry of Finance	10432—10554
Daily Digest	10555—58

No. 49.—Friday, 18th April, 1958

Oral Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1729 to 1734, 1737, 1738, 1742, 1743, 1745, 1747 to 1749,
1751 to 1754, 1739

10552—95

Written Answers to Questions—

Starred Questions Nos. 1735, 1736, 1740, 1741, 1744, 1746, 1750
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2529 to 2566, 2568 to 2571

10555—98

10598—1061

Estimates Committee—

Twelfth and Fourteenth Reports

10618

Business of the House

10613—19

Appropriation (No. 2) Bill—Introduced

10619

Finance Bill

10613—10706

Motion to consider

10621—10706

Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—

Twentieth Report

10706—07

Institution of Chartered Engineers Bill—Introduced

10707

Hindu Marriage (Amendment) Bill—Introduced

10707

Dissolution of Muslim Marriages (Amendment) Bill—Introduced

10708

Hindu Disposition of Property Bill—Introduced

10708

Hindu Succession (Amendment) Bill—Introduced

10708

Indian Income-tax (Amendment) Bill—Introduced

10708—09

Salaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament (Amendment) Bill—Introduced

10709

Dramatic Performances (Amendment) Bill—

Motion to consider

10710—50

Companies (Amendment) Bill

10750—72

No. 50. Tuesday 22nd April, 1958.

Oral Answers to Questions—

COLUMN

Starred Questions Nos. 1755, 1757, 1758, 1760 to 1762, 1764, 1766, 1765, 1768
to 1773, 1775, 1778, 1779, 1781 and 1780 10777—1081.

Written Answers to Questions

Starred Questions Nos. 1756, 1759, 1763, 1767, 1774 and 1777 10814—1

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2572 to 2621 10817—5

Death of Shri Awadesh Kumar Singh 1085:

Papers laid on the Table 10852—5

Demands for Excess Grants, 1954-55—

Presentation of statement 1085:

Estimates Committee

Presentation of Tenth Report

Election to Committees

1. Estimates Committee 10853—5

2. Public Accounts Committee 10854—5

Motion re. association of Members of Rajya Sabha with Public Accounts Committee 10856—5

Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1958—

Motion to consider 10858—6

Motion to Pass 1086:

Finance Bill, 1958

Motion to consider 10860—103;

Daily Digest 10991—7

*The sign + above a name of a Member on Questions, which were orally answered, indicates that the Question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

LOK SABHA DEBATES

20777

10778

LOK SABHA

Tuesday, 22nd April, 1958.

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Khaksars' Demonstration in Dacca

*1755. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 150 on the 14th November, 1957 and state:

(a) whether the Government of India have received any reply from the Pakistan Government to their protest with regard to the abusive language used against India and threatening speeches made by Khaksar processionists in front of the office of the Indian Deputy High Commissioner in Dacca on the 11th October, 1957; and

(b) if so, the nature thereof?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of External Affairs (Shri Sadath Ali Khan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In their reply the Pakistan Government have admitted that demonstrations were held but have stated that the processionists were peaceful and had shown no signs of violence. The Pakistan Government have attributed the reason for the demonstrations to the strong feelings in Pakistan over the Kashmir issue and have expressed their inability to stop such demonstrations.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if after the release of the leader of the

Khaksars there has been any change in his attitude?

Shri Sadath Ali Khan: I am afraid, not. Allama Mashriqi was released at the end of January and he has started his campaign of hatred and vilification in his organ called Waqt. So he is very active.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if he has set up some camps again on the Indo-Pakistan border and is recruiting some persons? What action is the Government taking to watch his camps and the recruitment of Khaksars?

Shri Sadath Ali Khan: At present there are no reports of any camp having been set up by the Allama's organisation on our border with East or West Pakistan.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: In view of the fact that the Government of Pakistan have expressed their inability to stop the demonstration of such feelings, may I know if after receiving that communication from Pakistan such kind of demonstrations have stopped or are they increasing?

Shri Sadath Ali Khan: They say that they cannot stop the demonstrations and naturally the Deputy High Commissioner in Dacca has lodged a further protest with the East Pakistan Government saying that their reply was not very satisfactory.

Shri Hem Barua: Is it a fact that the Khaksars, as suspected in certain reasonable quarters, are responsible for the continued disturbance on the Indo-East Pakistan border and are aiding actively from behind the troops that are on the border?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): Is the hon. Member having

in mind what is happening on the East Pakistan-Assam border?

Shri Hem Barua: Yes.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: That is entirely a different question. It is a big question and we have made a long statement about it.

Shri B. K. Galkwad: While giving the reply the hon. Minister has said that the demonstrators had taken out processions before the Indian Deputy High Commissioner's Office. May I know what slogans were they using while taking out these processions?

Shri Sadath Ali Khan: Slogans should hardly be repeated in this House.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: They were chiefly concerned with my humble self.

श्री बाबूपेणी : पाकिस्तान ने इस बारे में तो अपनी असमर्थता प्रकट की है कि वह लोगों को प्रदर्शन करने से नहीं रोक सकता। लेकिन हमने जो पाकिस्तान को पत्र भेजा था उस में खालिकार नेताओं के घमकी भरे भाषणों की ओर ध्यान संचाचा था। तो क्या पाकिस्तान ने इस सम्बन्ध में भी कोई उत्तर दिया है?

श्री बाबूहरलाल नेहरू : कुछ कभी कभी इस क्रिया की व्यक्तियां देने की आदत इधर के लोगों की भी है।

श्री बाबूपेणी : प्रचान मंत्री मेरे प्रश्न का उत्तर दे रहे हैं या आरोप लगा रहे हैं?

श्री बाबूहरलाल नेहरू : मैं आरोप नहीं लगा रहा हूँ लेकिन मैं कह रहा हूँ कि इस क्रिया की बात यहां भी होती है, तो उन से बहुत ज्यादा जोर से कुछ कहने में कमज़ोरी था जाती है। हमने उन को खत लिखा है बताया है और कुछ हम नहीं कर सकते। हमने पत्र भेजा, तबज़ह़ दिलायी, उन्होंने कुछ घसीं नाकाशिलियत का इजाहार किया कि

हम इस को रोक नहीं सकते, बात जल्द ही गयी। हम बहुत कोई तो नहीं ले जायेंगे।

Shri Tyagi: In view of the answer given by the Government of Pakistan, may I know if they have undertaken to make enough security arrangements to protect the life and property of our Embassy people there?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not know if any particular guarantee has been given, but that is their responsibility all the time. They realise that and have recognised that. So far as I know there has been no danger to life or property there. Allama Mashriqi is not known to be a particularly sober or sane person.

Displaced Persons in Bhilai

+

*1757. { Shri B. Das Gupta:
Shri V. C. Shukla:
Shri Ghosal:

Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have a plan under consideration to settle displaced persons near Bhilai in Madhya Pradesh; and

(b) if so, the nature thereof?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) and (b). Certain Schemes for the rehabilitation of displaced persons from East Pakistan near Bhilai are being examined. They relate to setting up of poultry and dairy farms as well as fisheries on the periphery of Bhilai, and construction of a market in the township.

Shri B. Das Gupta: May I know whether while it is being examined, in view of the assurance given to the displaced persons by the Chief Minister of West Bengal that displaced persons will not be taken outside West Bengal without their consent, Government is considering that question or not while selecting persons?

Shri P. S. Naskar: This question has no relation to the original question. The hon. Member can ask a separate question and I will answer that.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: This question arises out of the original question because it is some displaced persons from East Pakistan who have to be sent out and the Chief Minister of Bengal has said that nobody will be sent under compulsion and without his consent.

Shri P. S. Naskar: Many people, as it is, are willing to go.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I know if the 20,000 acres offered earlier by the Madhya Pradesh Government for refugee rehabilitation is being prepared for the reception of refugees by the construction of roads and bridges?

Shri P. S. Naskar: Whatever land hereafter is offered for reclamation in Madhya Pradesh may form a part of the Dandakaranya Scheme. At the moment, for the information of the hon. Member, we have two colonies already set up in Madhya Pradesh at Dharmajayagarh and Ambikapur.

Shri Ghosal: May I know if any provision has been made to provide them with service in Bhilai Steel Factory after training?

Shri P. S. Naskar: All the schemes that we have in mind are in the exploratory stages and we cannot say anything definite now as to what will be the outcome of the few schemes that have been made.

Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd.

*1758. **Shri Ram Krishan:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received complaint from the shareholders of Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd. that Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd. with assets of Rs. 1.52 crores is being transferred to the Swadesh Nirman (Private) Ltd., Delhi, a Company with a capital of Rs. 50,000 only; and

(b) if so, the steps taken by Government in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra):
(a) Yes.

(b) The transfer of shares of Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited to Swadesh Nirman (Private) Limited was made in pursuance of a scheme drawn up under Section 395 of the Companies Act, 1956 and was implemented almost simultaneously as an overwhelming majority of the shareholders had accepted the scheme. The Central Government had no *locus standi* under the Act to prevent such a transfer, but the Company has already been prosecuted for contravention of the prospectus provisions of the Companies Act in connection with the offer by Swadesh Nirman (Private) Limited to issue shares in lieu of the shares of Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited. Also in view of the allegations made regarding the working of Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd. Government have appointed Inspectors to investigate into the affairs of the Company under Section 237(b) and also into ownership of its shares under Section 247 of the Act.

Shri Ram Krishan: May I know by what time this enquiry will be completed by the inspectors?

Shri Satish Chandra: The enquiry under Section 247 has already been completed. The enquiry under Section 237(b) is likely to be completed by August.

Shri Ferose Gandhi: May I know whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the fact that a large number of public limited companies in the last two years have been changing their nature into private limited companies? If so, whether the Government are prepared to do anything about it?

Shri Satish Chandra: It is correct that some private limited companies....

Shri Ferose Gandhi: The number would be over 350.

Shri Satish Chandra: I gave the figure the other day to the House, I do not remember it just now. The proportion is not big if we compare that figure with the total number of companies. But, there has been such a tendency and this fact will have to be taken into account when we consider the amendment of the Companies Act in due course.

Shri Feroze Gandhi: When a public limited company is changed into a private limited company by those who are holding the majority shares, may I know whether the minority shareholders have any right to come before the Government and protest against this? Virtually they are being wiped out.

Shri Satish Chandra: If persons holding more than 10 per cent of the shares of a public limited company do not want that to be done, they have the remedy to go to a court of law to prevent it. The Government have no power to prevent such a thing.

Shri Feroze Gandhi: If a company is registered as a public limited company and the Government have accepted the registration, have not the Government got the right to refuse registration of this public limited company as a private limited company?

Shri Satish Chandra: There is a section dealing with schemes of transfer, section 395 of the Companies Act under which another company can take over the shares of a public limited company or a private limited company according to the provisions of the Act. If more than 10 per cent of the shareholders do not agree to a scheme, they can go to a court of law to get relief.

Shri Ram Krishan: May I know whether the Government is aware of the fact that in this company, about 95 per cent. of the shares are controlled by one person. Seth Ramakrishna Dalmia? What action does the Government propose to take in this matter to check this transfer?

Shri Satish Chandra: According to our information on the basis of the

enquiries made 90.76 per cent of the shares though are held in different names, actually belong to Seth Ramakrishna Dalmia who is the real beneficiary.

Shri Ram Krishna: In view of the fact that the appeal by Seth Ramakrishna Dalmia has been dismissed by the Supreme Court, may I know whether this enquiry will be referred to the Tendulkar Commission?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): This has aroused considerable interest in the House. As the Deputy Minister has just now said, we have taken necessary action within the powers conferred on us. An enquiry by the Inspector was held. Another enquiry under another section is being held. The minority shareholders appealed to the High Court for relief. It was dismissed by the High Court. We do want that this particular matter should be referred to the Tendulkar Commission.

An Hon. Member: Dead.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: But, the Commission is there. Mr. Tendulkar is dead.

Formerly, we had made a suggestion to the Economic Affairs Department. Mr. Tendulkar and perhaps the Economic Affairs Department then considered that it would not be advisable for them to go into this matter as they were going into several other matters concerning Dalmia companies. But, I would again like to take up this matter with the Economic Affairs Department. I would be very happy if that Commission would also include this for enquiry.

Living Conditions of Workers

***1760. Raja Mahendra Pratap:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that thousands of workers engaged in building work are living in alum conditions; and

(b) if so, the action taken by Government to improve their living conditions?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Labour and Employment and Planning (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) It is presumed that the Member is referring to workers engaged in construction industry in Delhi. If so, Government are aware that the living conditions of those workers are not satisfactory.

(b) The Delhi Administration is undertaking a programme for improvement and clearance of slums.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: I have visited these huts. I have also visited the huts of electricity workers. I just want to know whether the hon. Minister has visited these huts and what he thinks about them.

Mr. Speaker: Action taken is the question. What does it matter whether he has personally visited or not? It is not necessary to answer this.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know the total number of such workers and the number of workers accommodated in Government quarters?

Shri L. N. Mishra: The total number of such workers is about 75,000. It is not possible for me to give the number of those people who have been provided accommodation.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The number provided accommodation: 1, 2, 3, 4—any number?

Shri L. N. Mishra: Perhaps the Government has not taken the responsibility of providing accommodation to these people. They are mostly contractors' labourers and it is the responsibility of the contractors. Provision has been made that it should be obligatory on the part of the contractors to arrange accommodation for these people.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: Is it not possible to build small houses near by where big buildings are built, for these people?

Mr. Speaker: That is a suggestion for action.

Dr. K. B. Menon: May I know whether the contract includes a condition relating to labour when these contracts are given, specifically providing the way in which the labour should be provided with accommodation? Is it included in the contract? Is it a condition of the contract?

The Minister of Labour and Employment and Planning (Shri Nanda): It is, so far as works which are given to contractors by the government agencies and government departments, but not necessarily where private people are concerned.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: I want an answer why such shameful condition exists in this capital where these workers live in very shabby huts?

Shri Nanda: Yes, Sir; it is so. We know, apart from the conditions to which the hon. Member has referred, there is a large number of slums and slum dwellers in these areas. We have got schemes for them also. In this particular case, the construction is going on and it is completed within a year or so. It is not a question of a long period where one can be asked to make any very good arrangements. But, certainly there should be some suitable arrangements. We cannot guarantee that because we have neither the powers nor the other facilities now.

Shri Tangamani: Out of the 75,000 workers who are engaged in this construction work, how many have been provided with houses by the contractors?

Shri L. N. Mishra: We have no information at the moment.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: May I know whether in the new slums which are going to be constructed by the Delhi Corporation whether these people working in the building industry will have separate areas in which to live and whether the Government have allocated any funds for them?

Shri Nanda: Slums to be constructed: I do not follow.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Lady Member wants to know how many slums are going to be constructed?

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: That was a slip. I refer to the slum clearance schemes that are going to be undertaken by the Delhi Corporation as the Deputy Minister said. I want to know whether certain areas are going to be built for these construction workers and whether any special allocation has been made by the Government in this behalf.

Shri L. N. Mishra: No special allocation for construction workers has been made.

खुला सामान्य लाइसेंस

*१७६१. श्री खुशबद्द राय : क्या बारिशल्य तक उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) ऐसे कितने खुले सामान्य लाइसेंस आयात के लिये आरी किये गये थे कि १४-११-५६ तक उन की अवधि समाप्त हो जाने के कारण अवैध हो गये थे ;

(ल) इन में से कितने लाइसेंस १४-११-५६ से पुनः मान्य कर दिये गये हैं ; और

(ग) इनके क्या कारण हैं ?

बाणिज्य मंत्री (श्री कानूनगो) : (क) से (ग). एक विवरणलोक समा की मेज पर रखा जाता है। [विविध परिक्षिप्त ७, अनुबन्ध संख्या १२७]

श्री खुशबद्द राय : क्या मैं जान सकता हूँ कि खुले सामान्य लाइसेंस संख्या ४ और ५२ किन किन बस्तुओं के आयात के लिये हैं और उन के आयातकों के नाम क्या हैं ?

Mr. Speaker: Names of importers?

Shri Khushwaqt Ali: . . . and the articles.

Mr. Speaker: I am not going to allow. Next question.

Trade with Tibet

+

*१७६२. { **Shri Hem Barua:**
Shri Sanganna:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether a delegation of traders, representing trans-Himalayan trade interests, recently met him over the situation arising out of the proposed closure of trade with Western Tibet; and

(b) if so, the conclusions arrived at this discussion?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of External Affairs (Shri Sadath Ali Khan): (a) Yes, Sir. A delegation met the Prime Minister on the 7th March and submitted a memorandum. The delegation did not refer to any proposed closure of trade with Western Tibet but expressed concern regarding the future of this trade.

(b) The memorandum submitted by them contains a number of suggestions. These are being examined in consultation with the State Governments concerned.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know if the slump in the Indo-Tibetan trade is due to the fact that the trade interests are facing acute competition from Chinese trade interests and if so, what steps the Government have taken to keep the Indo-Tibetan trade alive?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): So far as I know, there are no Chinese trade interests. It is Chinese Government's. If he is referring to the Chinese Government policy, it is a different matter. There are no private Chinese trade interests that interfere. It is true that the Chinese Government trade policy is not the same as it used to be in the border, and that has affected our people. There is no question of our taking any steps about it, except that this matter is discussed by our representatives on the border on this side or that side. And possibly, the situation might be

better later on; I cannot say, because conditions have changed so much in Tibet.

But the deputation that came to me did not even raise this question; they hardly referred to it. They were concerned naturally with the general conditions all long this border and they wanted help in improvement. They presented a memorandum with, I think, sixteen demands, some of them important, some less important and some rather trivial. The last demand, I think, was that when they come for interview to Delhi, Ministers should give them priority and give them interviews immediately. Of course, that is a justifiable thing to say because they come from far, but it rather took away from the balance of the demands.

I entirely sympathise with them, if I may say so, about these areas which are roughly in three States, namely Uttar Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Punjab. The Punjab area, of course, is chiefly Lahaul and Spiti above the Kulu Valley. It has been hopelessly neglected in the past; so have many of these areas. The very first thing is communications, to get at them, to reach them and to open them out. Roads have been built, and more are being built by the various State Governments and by the Central Government. But still the programme is a tremendous one, and roads in these mountain areas are difficult and expensive.

Shri Sanganna: May I know whether these petty traders are nomadic people having no permanent avocation to lead their lives, and if so, whether Government contemplate to take any permanent measures for their permanent living?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: They are certainly not nomadic people. I do not know how this idea has entered the hon. Member's head, and what firm measures he wants us to take. Suppose they are nomadic; he wants us to take firm measures to make them less nomadic. I do not understand it at all. I like nomadic people. They

are sometimes more advanced than those who are fixed, who sit in the slum.

श्री भक्त दर्शन : आमी प्रवान मंत्री जी ने बताया कि उन्होने सोलह मार्गे रखी हैं। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि उन में से महत्वपूर्ण मार्गे के क्या हैं तथा किन किन विषयों से सम्बन्ध रखती हैं?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: It is a long list, as I said. The first is, I think, that a full socio-economic survey should be made of all these mountain regions. It is a very good demand, no doubt. In fact, something of that kind has been done in the last two or three years and it is still being done, though not in a very integrated way, because the area is a vast area. One must realise that this border area, that is, the Himalayan border is 2,000 miles long, apart from several hundred miles' breadth. That is one thing. But, I think, the first thing is roads, that is, communications. Whatever we undertake, we can undertake with greater facility with roads than without roads. As a matter of fact, the UP Government have taken some action in this regard.

The other demands are about services, about being sent for education to foreign countries, about getting scholarships, about being declared Scheduled Castes so that they may get representation or reservation of seats. These are the types of demands that they have made.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: In view of a report in the papers that the Prime Minister is likely to have a holiday in Tibet, can we expect that whatever difficulties there are would be smoothed over in the course of whatever discussions he might have there?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not think that question arises. But whenever possible, it is my intention to go to Lahaul and Spiti.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know whether one of their demands is that there should be a thorough economic survey of the trans-Himalayan regions

and also that in case of a complete break-down of Indo-Tibetan trade, there should be an alternative source of livelihood for these people?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: That is just the point that has been repeatedly examined. The hon. Member talks about a survey. Perhaps, they have not got much...

Shri Hem Barua: That is what has been stated.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I know. Perhaps, they do not realise that movement is terribly difficult there. To go there, it may take a month; to go to places which are relatively near, at a distance of sixty or seventy miles or less, it may take a month. There are hundreds and hundreds of miles to be surveyed.

Therefore, the first thing is communication. As a matter of fact, we have a fairly long report from UP about the steps they have taken in regard to horticulture and small industries growing up and community schemes. All this kind of thing is being done, but the problem is a big one and can be only tackled in a big way when communications are developed.

श्री हेम र.ब: पश्चीमा की ट्रेड लाहोल बालों की सिवायत के साथ जो भी, वह तकरीबन तकरीबन बन्द हो गई है। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या इस को दुबारा शुरू करने के लिए कोई उपाय किये जायेंगे?

अध्यक्ष लहोल: अलग अलग हर एक चीज़ के बारे में केसे उत्तर दिया जा सकता है?

श्री जाहाहरलाल नेहरू: मैं इसका बगर जांच पढ़ताल किए याकायक जबाब नहीं दे सकता हूँ।

Shri Tyagi: May I know how the balance of trade with Tibet is squared up, and through what agencies?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The balance? The balance being, according to

the hon. Member, in our favour or against us?

Shri Tyagi: Whether in favour or against, what is the agency through which we square up our balances from year to year?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The balance of trade with Tibet is normally very much in our favour, because goods from India go to Tibet. India is the main supply region for Tibet for all kinds of odd goods, consumer goods. That is partly settled, or used to be partly settled, by some special things like wool and such like things coming, and partly in solid currencies.

Shri Ranga: In currencies?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: True; it is so; it is foreign exchange.

Mr. Speaker: Now, question No. 1763. The hon. Member, Shri Jina-chandran is absent.

Shri Ayyakannu: I have got the authorisation.

Mr. Speaker: I shall come to it later.

Shri Jaipal Singh: May I suggest that Q. No. 1766 also be taken up along with this?

Shri Tangamani: That is a different one, I think.

Mr. Speaker: Both relate to Dandakaranya. Can they be clubbed together?

Shri Tangamani: Yes, they can be clubbed.

Mr. Speaker: Is the Minister willing to answer both together?

Shri P. S. Naskar: Yes, I shall answer them together.

Dandakaranya Schemes

*1764. **Shri Tangamani:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 6 on the 11th February, 1953 and state:

(a) whether the work for reclaiming Dandakaranya has started;

(b) if so, the progress so far made; and

(c) the number of workers daily employed in the scheme?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) and (b). Reclamation of about 5,000 acres near Pharasaon in Bastar district has started.

(c) About nine hundred workers on an average are daily employed. They are mainly local tribals.

Dandakaranya

*1766. **Shri Ghosal:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether any survey of mineral wealth of Dandakaranya was made by the Geological Survey of India; and

(b) if so, the nature of the findings of the surveys?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) A large number of surveys have been carried out by the Geological Survey of India at various times and in many parts of Dandakaranya. In certain cases, further investigations will have to be made.

(b) The information obtained is voluminous. Surveys so far carried out show that the area is rich in a number of valuable minerals, such as iron ore, bauxite, graphite, manganese, clays and building stones.

Shri Tangamani: May I know whether the report of Mr. A. L. Fletcher, the Chief Administrator, who toured this area is now available, and if so, whether that report will be laid on the Table of the House?

Shri P. S. Naskar: Mr. Fletcher, the Chief Administrator, visited the site with several experts, and made certain recommendations. On the basis of those recommendations, we have distributed a note on the Dandakaranya scheme to the hon. Members of this House and of other House. All the available information has been given there along with what we are going to do about phase I of the scheme.

Shri Tangamani: May I know whether it is a fact that the Chief Administrator has stated that the present danger to any inhabitant who will be shifted to this area is from wild animals?

Shri P. S. Naskar: I am not quite aware of the fact.

Shri Jangde: It has been decided that the Dandakaranya area will be connected by railway lines with Bhilai and Koraput area. May I know who will bear the cost and whether the railway lines will be laid during the Second Five Year Plan period?

Shri P. S. Naskar: The hon. Member says that it has been decided. I am not aware of any such decision. But it is quite obvious that for the development of the area, railway communications have to be laid and extended. But I cannot assure the hon. Member that it would be done in the Second Five Year Plan.

Shri Jaipal Singh: In view of the fact that it is admitted on all sides that refugees, particularly from East Pakistan, refuse to leave West Bengal territory to go anywhere else, whether it is Dandakaranya or Andamans or anywhere else, may I know what Government propose to do in order to occupy the reclaimed Dandakaranya?

Shri P. S. Naskar: The Dandakaranya scheme is a development scheme of the area, first and foremost. Then there are local people there. Not only should their interests be protected, but

they should be promoted. The hon. Member has said that DPs from East Pakistan are not willing to go there. But my information is that there are several hundreds who are willing to go and work there.

Shri Jaipal Singh: How do Government propose to protect—because I have been hearing of this protection for the last 11 years—the local people who are mainly tribal? What schemes have they to protect?

Shri P. S. Naskar: I said that we should not only protect but promote the economic and other conditions of the local people.

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri: In view of the fact that refugees will probably agree to go to Dandakaranya, what steps are being taken to disseminate information and show the attractiveness of that scheme to the refugees in general?

Shri P. S. Naskar: For the development work under the Dandakaranya scheme, refugees who will work as pioneers will be taken, and not unwilling persons; the latter will not help us. Pioneers will go and work, as they did before.

Shri Panigrahi: May I know the number of refugee families who have been taken from West Bengal to the Orissa part of Dandakaranya area by this time?

Shri P. S. Naskar: The first phase of the Dandakaranya scheme will consist of Bastar, Kalahandi and Koraput districts. We have taken up the Bastar district of Madhya Pradesh first. We have not yet taken any families.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: In view of the fact that a resolution passed last year unanimously by the West Bengal Assembly—Congress and the Opposi-

tion voting together—which asked that information about the reclamation of Dandakaranya should be placed at the disposal of members of all Parties of the Assembly, may I know if the Central Government has taken any steps, or contemplates taking any, in that regard?

Mr. Speaker: He has just said about that.

Shri P. S. Naskar: I have no definite information, but we can check up on this point. We have informed the West Bengal Government and sent copies of a detailed note on this scheme to them.

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

Shri Tangamani: The second question relates to minerals. I would like to ask a question about it.

Mr. Speaker: Every hon. Member cannot be allowed to ask a supplementary to every question.

Shri Ghoseal: As regards minerals, may I know....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Hon. Members will kindly remember that I cannot allow 10 or 15 questions and then wait for an hon. Member to ask a question about minerals or something else. No hon. Member can monopolise the time of this House. Each question takes five or ten minutes and, therefore, I cannot get through more than about 10 or 15 questions a day. In other countries, they get through as many as 100 questions.

Shri Tangamani: Nowadays we are exhausting the list of questions.

Mr. Speaker: That is because some Members are absent. Next question.

Export of Sewing Machines

*1765. **Shri Daljit Singh:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether any permission has been given for the export of sewing machines to African Countries;

(b) if so, for how many; and

(c) the agency which is handling the export?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) The export of sewing machines to African Countries is licensed freely.

(b) and (c). 1,341 sewing machines were exported to African Countries during 1956 and 556 machines during January-September, 1957, through normal trading channels.

Shri Daljit Singh: May I know whether sewing machines are exported to countries other than African countries?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Yes, Sir UK, and now, USA and Germany also.

Shri Ranga: Is it a fact that most of the exporters do not happen to be Indians, and Indians are finding it very difficult to operate in African countries, to have their own branches there and then export these goods. Would Government look into that?

Shri Manubhai Shah: No such difficulties have come to our notice. They are able to sell freely as soon as they obtain orders from the other countries

Shri Tangamani: May I know whether these sewing machines include the Usha machines manufactured in the Jay Engineering Works, Calcutta?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: Is Shri Ghosal here? If he is here, I will allow him one or two supplementaries about minerals to Question No. 1764.

Shri Ghosal: May I know if the mining operations in the Dandakaranya area will be in the public sector or private sector?

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: The Minister is not in his seat.

Mr. Speaker: I find that hon. Members addressed themselves to supplementaries only regarding question 1764 and not 1765.

Shri F. S. Naskar: I do not know. I have answered both questions. If you desire, I will answer the supplementary question.

Mr. Speaker: He will kindly answer.

Shri F. S. Naskar: As regards the question about mining operations asked by the hon. Member, no decision has yet been taken. We are only trying to find out what are the minerals that are available.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What is in the mind of Government?

Shri Tangamani: In view of important minerals which are likely to be found in the Dandakaranya area, may I know whether Government have got any proposal to have a rail link with Vizag?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): We are discussing schemes of development of a huge area. This can hardly be discussed in a few brief supplementaries about mineral development. I think hon. Members are perfectly justified in wanting information about this scheme and I hope the Ministry will supply the fullest in the shape of a pamphlet, statement etc. here and elsewhere.

Mr. Speaker: This relates to general survey of minerals.

Shri Ranga: In view of the fact that places like Baster which are full of minerals, are also included in the Dandakaranya area, and there are very few local entrepreneurs who are capable of exploiting the local minerals, have our Government in mind the advisability—it may be misunderstood as a suggestion—of keeping this particular privilege to the State and not leaving it to entrepreneurs who

may come from outside and exploit it there?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: These are questions to be considered by the Planning Commission.

Employment of Women in Organised Industry

*1768. **Shri Harish Chandra Mathur:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there has been a large scale decline in the employment of women in organised industry;

(b) if so, the extent of decline and the causes thereof; and

(c) what consideration, if any, has been given by Government to this matter?

The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Do I understand that the survey report, which has appeared recently, is not related to facts?

Shri Abid Ali: In 1954, 2.85 lakh women were employed; in 1955, 2.95 lakhs and in 1956, 3 lakhs.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: In the book *Economic and Social Survey of Conditions of Women Workers in India* produced by the Ministry of Labour, it is very specifically mentioned that the number of women engaged in factories is going down. We have also read a report to the effect that there is going to be some sort of a committee to look into this matter. Is this a fact or is the latest set of figures given by the Minister the fact?

Shri Abid Ali: In some of the sections, the number is going down, e.g. jute mills in West Bengal.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: Textile mills.

Shri Abid Ali: I was mentioning the overall figures.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: May I know if it is not a fact that the strength of

women in the coal mining industry has considerably gone down and it is going down still further after the Labour Appellate Tribunal has ruled that women should be given equal wages with men?

Shri Abid Ali: Complaint to this effect has been received and we are studying this particular question. But so far the available evidence does not show the particular result the hon. Member has mentioned.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: May I know if the Government has decided to set up a committee to go into the entire question as to why there has been a decline in certain industries in the employment of women labour?

Shri Abid Ali: We should be convinced that there is a substantial decline; and then only the other question arises. Of course, the matter is under consideration as I have already submitted.

Shri Dasappa: Is it not a fact that because women labour may have to be given maternity benefits etc., which need not be given in the case of men labour, that the managements are usually reluctant to employ women labour?

Shri Abid Ali: Yes, to some extent, it is a fact.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: This question was considered at the 18th Indian Labour Conference held at Mysore in 1954. May I know at what stage the implementation of the recommendations of this Conference is?

Shri Abid Ali: Notice.

Hindustan Antibiotics (Private) Ltd.

*1769. **Shrimati Ila Falchoudhuri:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Hindustan Antibiotics (Private) Ltd. Pimpri, near Poona, has undertaken to manufacture a new drug called "Penicillin V"; and

(b) If so, the details and advantages of the new drug?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A statement giving the information is placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 128].

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri: May I know what percentage of the country's needs will be covered by the expansion in this factory and by the production of this drug?

Shri Manubhai Shah: One hundred per cent in the next two years. The estimated demand is 1.2 million mega units per year.

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri: May I know whether it is also envisaged that they are going to make dehydro-streptomycin and streptomycin in this factory and how soon it will go into production?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Yes; recently an agreement had been signed by the Hindustan Antibiotics and Merck Co. of the United States. The production is likely to start in 1960.

Shri N. R. Munisamy: How is the sale compared with the previous year?

Shri Manubhai Shah: The sale is good. As a matter of fact, against about Rs. 57 lakhs, in 1956-57, it will be about Rs. 180 lakhs in 1957-58.

Non-Payment of Wages to Mine Workers

***1770. Shrimati Renu Chakravarty:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 400 miners at Beraiburu, District Singhbhum, have not been paid their wages by the Mine owner for the last two weeks;

(b) whether the rice ration has also been stopped; and

(c) if so, the steps taken by Government to resolve the difficulties of the workers?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Labour and Employment and Planning (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) to (c). Information available is, that 272 workers were not paid their wages for the period 9th March, 1958 to 29th March, 1958. No information regarding stoppage of rice ration to the workmen is available. On the intervention of the officers of the Central Industrial Relations Machinery the management has promised to make full payment of wages to the workmen concerned for the period in question. Part payment has already been made.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: Is it a fact that in the mines in this area, quite often the Payment of Wages Act is not implemented and may I know on how many occasions this has happened during the last few months and how often the Labour Conciliation Officer has intervened?

Shri L. N. Mishra: The Labour Conciliation Officer has always been alert and vigilant to see that the Act is implemented. We have no information about the non-observance of the provisions of the Act.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: It is now one month since the wages had not been paid in full; only a part payment has been made. In view of the answer which the hon. Minister just now gave, how can Government insist on the employers giving full payment immediately?

Shri L. N. Mishra: We have all sympathies for the working people. The Conciliation Officer is doing his best to ensure speedy payment and we hope that payment will be made.

Shri T. B. Vital Rao: What are the reasons given by the employers of that mine for the non-payment of the wages?

Shri L. N. Mishra: The story is this; the employees absented them-

severs from work without giving proper notice and they went to attend a meeting convened by their Unions and after that discharge notices were served and the labourers were not allowed to work.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: They have been working.

Green Tea

*1771. **Shri Hem Raj:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of green tea lying unsold in the Punjab for the last two years;

(b) the loss sustained by the small tea growers by this slump in green tea; and

(c) the steps taken by Government to remedy this hardship of the small tea growers?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra): (a) and (b). Information is not available.

(c) The Punjab Government have been requested to formulate scheme for the reorganisation and rehabilitation of the tea industry in Kangra for the benefit of small growers.

Shri Hem Raj: May I know whether the Indian-Afghan Trade Agreement is going to expire in June 1958? Since Afghanistan was a great importer of green tea, may I know whether any steps will be taken by the Central Government for greater export of green tea to Afghanistan as before? Can it be taken that in future the imports into India of Afghan goods will not be paid in cash but by the barter system?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo): Constant efforts are being made to increase the export of Indian tea. But it has to be realised that the market for green tea all over the world is being reduced.

As regards the second part of the question about the import of goods

from Afghanistan, that is not possible because we have put the maximum restrictions possible in view of our import trade controls.

Shri Ranga: Are any efforts being made through our Legations in Japan and China to find possibilities for export of green tea to these countries in view of the fact that they consume large quantities of it?

Shri Kanungo: These countries are finding it difficult to consume the green tea that is being produced by themselves.

Shri Hem Raj: A scheme is being prepared by the Punjab Government. May I know when this proposal was made to the Punjab Government?

Shri Satish Chandra: The Punjab Government have made certain proposals and asked for loans as well as grants for the implementation of their schemes. As the hon. Member is aware, the tea industry in Kangra is spread over in small fragmented holdings and it is not possible for the Tea Board to implement those schemes directly.

Shri Hem Raj: Has the Central Government given some subsidy to the tea industry in Kangra district?

Shri Kanungo: The Central Government is helping the Punjab Government whose primary responsibility it is to improve the conditions of manufacture of tea.

हिमाचल प्रदेश में उत्तीर्ण

+

*१७७२. { श्री नेहराज नेहरी :
श्री भवत बहान :

क्या वानिकरण तथा उत्तीर्ण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) हिमाचल प्रदेश में हिमीय पंचवर्षीय योजना काल में कौन कौन से नये उत्तोग स्थापित करेंगे का विचार है ;

(क) क्या केंद्रीय सरकार को इह सम्बन्ध में हिमाचल प्रदेश प्रशासन से कोई प्रस्ताव प्राप्त हुए हैं; और

(ग) यदि हाँ, तो इस के लिये कितनी बन राशि दी गई है?

उच्चोग बंडी (श्री अनुभाई शाह):
(क) दूसरी पंचवर्षीय योजना में अभी हिमाचल प्रदेश में बड़े या मध्यम पैमाने का कोई उद्योग लोनने का प्रस्ताव नहीं है। एक विवरण सभा की मेज पर रखा जाता है जिस में वे उद्योग दिये गये हैं जिनको द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना में हिमाचल प्रदेश में लोनने का प्रस्ताव है [विवरण परिचय ७, अनुबन्ध संख्या १२६] इस विवरण में मौजूदा उद्योग तथा नये लोने जाने वाले दोनों तरह के उद्योग शामिल हैं।

(ल) और (ग). जी हाँ। इस क्षेत्र में दूसरी योजना की अवधि में आमोदोगों और छोटे उद्योगों के विकास के लिए ३४.४२ लाख ५० रुपये गये हैं।

श्री नेक राम नेहं: जितने यह सारे काम शुरू किये जायेंगे क्या यह सीधे सरकारी पूँजी से शुरू किये जायेंगे या प्राइवेट पूँजी वालों को भी भीका दिया जायेगा?

श्री अनुभाई शाह: दोनों किसी के काम काज है। इमादाद भी दी जाती है प्राइवेट इंडस्ट्रीज वालों को और सरकार और जो दूसरे दूसरे बोर्ड्स हैं वे भी अपनी अपनी योजना बहाँ चलाते हैं।

श्री नेक राम नेहं: इस के लिए सोनों को क्या कोई कँजा देने की स्थीम दैयार की गई है और भगर की गई है तो कितने सूब पर और कितने घर्से के लिए की गई है?

श्री अनुभाई शाह: भलग भलग बोर्ड्स की जो योजनाएँ हैं उनके सूब की स्थीम ऐने बार बार यहाँ पर रखी हैं। छोटे पैमाने के उद्योगों की बड़े

परसेंट से लगाकर ताढ़े बार परसेंट तक की सूब के दर है। हिमाचल प्रदेश के लिए ऐने बतलाया कि वहाँ पर आमोदोगों और छोटे उद्योगों के विकास के लिए ३४.४२ लाख रुपये लोन और यान्ट के तौर पर द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना में देने का प्रस्ताव है।

श्री अवल बर्हन: अभी आनंदन्य भंडी ने बतलाया कि हिमाचल प्रदेश में कोई बड़े पैमाने का उद्योग स्थापित करने का विचार नहीं है। क्या यह सत्य नहीं है कि वहाँ जो पहले सोकप्रिय सरकार भी उस ने अखबारी कागज का एक कारखाना स्थापित करने का सुझाव रखा था और क्या वह अब समाप्त कर दिया गया है?

श्री अनुभाई शाह: ऐसा कोई प्रस्ताव हमारे सामने नहीं था। एक सीमेंट उद्योग वहाँ पर लगाने का प्रस्ताव था। एक कम्पनी ने वहाँ लाइसेंस भी लिया था लेकिन चूंकि ट्रान्सपोर्ट की वहाँ बड़ी दिक्कत है इसलिए वह कायम नहीं हुआ। हमारी भी कोशिश है कि प्राइवेट सेक्टर के अन्दर यदि कोई वहाँ पर छोटी, बड़ी या मध्यम पैमाने की इंडस्ट्री लगाना चाहे तो हम उनको उसमें ज़रूर इमादाद करेंगे।

श्री हेमराज: मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि यह जो पहाड़ी क्षेत्र कांगड़ा बरीह का इस में लगता है वहाँ पर भी सीमेंट का बहुत सारा पत्वर पाया गया है और वह बेहतरीन किसी का है तो वहाँ पर भी क्या कोई सीमेंट का कारखाना लगाने की कोई गुजारी है?

श्री अनुभाई शाह: वहाँ तो तमाम पहाड़ी इलाके में सब जगह चूने का पत्वर मिलता है लेकिन उसका उपयोग में लाना कितना कायदेमंद होता है और वहाँ ट्रान्सपोर्ट का क्षय इंजाम है इस पर भी बड़त कुछ नियंत्रण करता है।

Mr. Speaker: Question No. 1773.

की पूछ देव : नामनाम सर्वे मे पर्ति:

.....

Mr. Speaker: I have already passed over to the next question. The hon. Member did not rise earlier.

की पूछ देव : मे दो बार लड़ा हुया किन्तु इट आकृष्ट नहीं कर सका।

Retrenchment of Salt Workers

*1773. { Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Shri Tangamani:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 10,000 salt workers working at Sambhar Lake, Didwana and Kharaghoda are to be retrenched;

(b) whether they have been served with any discharge notices;

(c) if so, the reasons for effecting this retrenchment; and

(d) the steps taken by Government to stop this retrenchment?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) No, Sir.

(b) to (d). Do not arise.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know whether the attention of the hon. Minister has been drawn to a statement in the paper that about 10,000 workers had to be retrenched? May I know if these workers are to be retrenched in the near future?

Shri Manubhai Shah: There is no such intention. Several statements do appear from time to time but they are all totally unreliable. It is not the intention of the new company to retrench any worker.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: What is the new company about which he is speaking?

Shri Manubhai Shah: The Hindustan Salt Company which has taken over the departmentally-run salt works in Rajasthan and Bombay States.

New Karnataka Mills, Hubli (Mysore State)

+

Shri Siddananjappa:
*1773. { Shri Wedeyar:
Shri Agadi:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the New Karnataka Mills, Hubli, Mysore State has been recently closed down;

(b) if so, the reasons for closing down the Mills;

(c) the number of labourers thrown out of employment; and

(d) the action contemplated to restart the Mills and to provide employment to retrenched workers?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir. (Since 4-4-1958).

(b) (i) Financial stringency.

(ii) The machines are worn out and outmoded and practically uneconomical to work.

(c) The number of workers affected as a result of this closure is 1175.

(d) The management is not serious about running the mills even as a powerloom factory. They have requested for cancellation of licence and for permission to sell the machinery as scrap. In view of this position, there is no immediate likelihood of the mills restarting or the retrenched workers being re-employed.

Shri Siddananjappa: Were the Government aware of the detailed position of the mills before the actual closing down? Were any attempts made to prevent the closure?

Shri Kanungo: Yes, Sir. The Management was asked to replace its spinning equipment. They were also offered the opportunity of working it as a powerloom factory.

Shri Achar: May I know why they did not accept such an offer? What was the difficulty?

Shri Manubhai Shah: When the National Industrial Development Corporation offered them a loan, they felt that the security was inadequate. The financial working was not at all satisfactory. That is why they were unable to accept the loan.

Shri Dasappa: May I know whether the permission to work as a powerloom factory enables them to take advantage of the compounded levy or they will have to pay as composite mills?

Shri Kanungo: They will be liable to pay excise duty on the number of looms they run.

Shri Dasappa: I asked whether they would be asked to pay on the basis of compounded levy or on the basis of composite mills.

Shri Kanungo: It is their option. The option is available to them.

Mr. Speaker: It does not matter; the hon. Member has some interest in Madras. Next question.

Building Materials

*1778. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state the latest position with regard to the use of substitutes for steel and cement in the execution of Building Projects by the Central and State Governments?

The Deputy Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri Anil K. Chanda): Recommendations have been made by the National Buildings Organisation for substitutes for cement and steel in building construction and these have been passed on to various State Governments and to the Ministries concerned on the 30th

June and 30th December, 1957 respectively. It is too early yet to assess the extent to which the recommendations made have been adopted and found effective.

Shri D. C. Sharma: What are the main recommendations forwarded to the State Governments and other organisations?

Shri Anil K. Chanda: In the main the recommendations are of a technical nature and I propose to lay on the Table of the House the reports submitted by the National Buildings Organisation. In short, the recommendation is that wherever possible lime mortar should be substituted for cement and pre-stressed and precast concrete components may be used in place of steel.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if it has made any suggestion with regard to the availability of these materials?

Shri Anil K. Chanda: Lime is obtainable in our country in various parts and recently we held a symposium on the use of lime in the building industry.

Shri Tyagi: Are the Central Government acting up to the advice of this Organisation in the matter of their own construction in Delhi or elsewhere?

Shri Anil K. Chanda: Yes, Sir; as far as it is possible, it is being done.

Restricted State Auditors

*1779. **Shri Tangamani:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to declare the Restricted State Auditors (R.S.A.) eligible for appointment as company auditors throughout India;

(b) if so, the steps taken; and

(c) if the reply to part (a) is in the negative, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra): (a) to (c). The recommendation of the Informal Committee to amend the Companies Act in order to make the Restricted State Auditors eligible for appointment as auditors of companies throughout India is under consideration.

Shri Tangamani: In view of the fact that there are a large number of restricted State auditors in the Madras State and also a part of Kerala State, may I know whether this recommendation to enable them to practise all over India, will be enforced without delay?

Shri Satish Chandra: It is true that there are about 25 such auditors in Kerala State. I do not know anything about Madras State because they existed only in Part B States. The recommendation is under consideration. These auditors are at present entitled to practise within their States.

Shri Tangamani: They are allowed to practise only within that State. This question arises when they want to practise in the neighbouring States. People in the TC State, when they want to practise in Madras State, are not allowed now. That is why I ask this question whether the restriction will be removed as soon as possible?

Shri Satish Chandra: I said in reply to the main question that the matter is under consideration.

Small Tea Growers

*1781. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) what arrangements, if any, exist for the purpose of better growth of tea in the Punjab and Himachal Pradesh and advising the small tea growers of these areas; and

(b) the amount spent on this during the last two years?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 130].

Shri Hem Raj: What effort has been made by the Government for the manufacture of small machines which would help in the production of tea as recommended by the Plantation Enquiry Committee?

Shri Kanungo: Licenses have been issued for the manufacture of plant and machinery.

Shri Hem Raj: What action has been taken on the recommendation of the Plantation Enquiry Committee regarding the aids to be given to the planters?

Shri Kanungo: The Resolution of the Government on the report of the Plantation Enquiry Committee was placed before the House.

Mr. Speaker: The Question List is over.

Shri Narayananarkutty Menon: I had tabled a similar question—similar to question No. 1780. That was disallowed and I got intimation that my name would be clubbed to it. I request question No. 1780 may be answered.

Mr. Speaker: All right. He may put it.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: I put
question No. 1780.

Closure of Powerloom Mills

+

*1780 ✓ Shri Narayanan Kurty Menon:
Shri Jnachandran:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that a number of powerloom mills are being closed down in Kerala and other States on account of the high rate of excise duty and heavy stock of unsold goods; and

(b) if so, what steps Government are taking in the matter?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo): (a) According to the information available at present only 9 powerloom mills threatened closure, out of which only 7 were actually closed in all the States. Consequent on the relief announced on 18-3-58, 3 of these mills have since restarted.

(b) It is felt that the relief measures announced on 1-4-58 are sufficient at present.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: May I know whether the relief measures apply to mills with 300 powerlooms only and in the case of mills with more than that will the Government consider extending these benefits?

Shri Kanungo: No, Sir, because the relief has been announced to all groups.

Shri Dasappa: Has it not been brought to the notice of the Government in the various discussions by the powerloom interests of Malabar, Mysore and other places and also the Finance Ministry of Mysore that the concessions they had been given would be of no benefit to looms of narrow width in respect of coarse and medium cloth?

Shri Kanungo: Such representations are always received and they have been received again.

Shri Dasappa: May I know whether the relief was given by way of compounded levy and whether it is not going to work as a greater hardship than the levy on the composite mill so far as coarse and medium cloth is concerned?

Shri Kanungo: We do not think so at present.

Shri Dasappa: What is the margin allowed to narrow width looms in coarse and medium cloth compared to the rates for the composite mills?

Shri Kanungo: The basis is entirely different.

Shri Dasappa: What is the margin?

Shri Kanungo: We have to calculate it.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. The Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

Science Attachés in Foreign Missions

*1756. **Shri Rameshwari Tantia:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state whether Government are considering the question of appointing science attachés in our important foreign missions for the purpose of collecting information on all aspects of scientific developments in those countries?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of External Affairs (Shri Sadath Ali Khan): The Government of India have often considered appointing a number of Scientific Liaison Officers in various regions, but because of the imperative need for economy and the necessity to save foreign exchange, these appointments have not yet been made. Besides, the difficulties of obtaining the services of suitable men are also great.

Sholapur Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd.

*1759. **Shri Goray:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the management of the Sholapur Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd. and the Narsing Girji Mills Ltd. have tampered with the provident fund of the employees; and

(b) if so, the steps Government are contemplating in the matter to recover the amount and to bring to book the persons who are responsible for the above conduct? *

The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abd Ali): (a) Yes.

(b) (1) Regarding Sholapur Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd., Collector of Sholapur has been asked to recover the entire dues as arrears of land revenue. Action to prosecute those responsible for non-remittance of contributions and non-submission of returns has also been taken.

(2) Two prosecutions and recovery proceedings were started against the Narsing Girji Mills Ltd. In both cases two Directors have been fined. As the Mill has gone into liquidation, the provident fund claim will now be investigated by the Official Liquidator.

Manufacture of Tyres

***1763. Shri Jinachandran:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a proposal to establish a factory at Bombay for the manufacture of tyres by an Ital-Indian combine;

(b) if so, what is the present position of the proposal and what are the terms of the contract; and

(c) whether the Central or State Government will have any share in the concern?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 131].

Small-Scale Industries in Bombay

***1767. Shri Pangarkar:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether any systematic study of the conditions of small-scale industries in Bombay State has been made by the Central Investigation Team constituted in July, 1955; and

(b) if so, whether the team have recommended any small-scale industries in Bombay to obtain credit facilities from the State Bank of India under its "pilot scheme"?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) and (b). Yes. Sir.

Cement Supplies

***1774. Shri Jhulan Sinha:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 289 on the 19th February, 1958 and state:

(a) the progress made so far in the implementation of the advice given by the Central Government to increase the public quota and abolish the permit system for the sale of cement; and

(b) whether an adequate quantity of cement has been made available to the States to enable them to act up to the advice given by the Central Government?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) and (b). Allotments to the States have been progressively increased from quarter to quarter. The States are now allotted 365,480 tons per month as against 242,320 tons in September, 1957.

A statement, giving the position in different States regarding permit system for public quotas is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 132]

Trade with Egypt

***1777. Shri Rameshwar Tantia:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) how the linked transactions between Egypt and India are working for the purchase of Egyptian cotton and

(b) the volume of trade carried by reciprocal arrangements during 1957-58?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kansango): (a) The Link transactions under the Agreement dated the 8th March, 1957 for import of Egyptian cotton and export of tea, jute goods, pepper, tobacco, electric fans, diesel engines, centrifugal pumps, dry batteries, other engineering items & chemical and pharmaceutical products etc. are working satisfactorily.

(b) Particulars of the volume of trade between the two countries during the year 1957-58 (upto 16-4-58) under the above Agreement is given below:—

Commodity	Value
Cotton	Rs. 610.26 Lakhs.
Export from India to Egypt.	
Jute goods	Rs. 1,62,46,350.68 NP
Pepper & Cardamom	Rs. 10,61,400.00 NP
Tea	Rs. 3,80,06,455.37 NP
Tobacco	Rs. 1,43,500.00 NP
Rs. 5,52,57,706.05 NP	

दिल्ली-मध्यरा सङ्क के पाल वाली भूमि का विकास

२५७२. श्री म. ला० हिंदूदी :
का निर्माण, आवास और संभरण अंदी यह बताने की हुया करेंगे कि :

(क) दिल्ली-मध्यरा सङ्क पर प्रदर्शनियों करने के लिये और इस प्रयोजन के

लिये भवन बनाने पर भूमि के विकास के लिये अब तक कूल कितना जन अद्य किया जा चुका है और यह अब तक किन किन भवनों पर किया जाया है ; और

(क) इस स्थान व भवनों की देखभाल और उन की भरमत पर कितना आवर्तक अद्य करता पड़ता है ?

निर्माण, आवास तथा संभरण अंदी (बी क० च० देहू) : (क) अग्रवाल २६ लाख रुपये ।

(क) सम्पत्ति की देख भाल और भरमत का आवर्तक अब इस बात पर निर्भर है कि वहां किसी वर्ष में कितनी नुमाइशें और कितने विस्तार पर की जाती हैं । १९५६-५७ में इस अब जी की रकम अग्रवाल ७७,५०० रुपये थी ।

Employees in Silk Industry

२५७३. Shri Ram Krishan: Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state the total number of employees in Silk Industry, Silk Factory-wise, during the year 1957-58?

(The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali): Information for the year 1956 showing the number of silk Mills and Average Daily Employment therein during the year 1956—state-wise is as follows:

States	No. of working factories	Factories submitting returns		Estimated Employment in factories not submitting returns
		No.	Average daily Employment	
Andhra	16	16	1962	Nil
Bihar	11	11	551	Nil
Bombay	746	475	35435	6194
Delhi	4	4	251	Nil
Madhya Pradesh	5	1	622	245
Madras	34	33	704	44
Mysore	181	84	4921	N.A.
Punjab	211	182	6626	1687
Uttar Pradesh	8	7	341	9
West Bengal	7	6	1188	35
TOTAL	1223	819	52591	8214

(N.A.—Not available).

The above figures do not include the information of Jammu and Kashmir and Kerala (Malabar Area).

Constructive Organisations Abroad

2574. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of official and non-official Indian Community Development or Constructive Organizations working in foreign countries (country-wise);

(b) the nature of facilities given to them by the foreign countries and by the Government of India; and

(c) the nature of work done by them there?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) to (c). In so far as the Government of India are concerned, they are not connected with any official or non-official community development organisation in any foreign country. Nor are they in a position to say what work of this kind is being done in other countries.

They have, however, agreed to assist the Government of Nepal in their first Five Year Plan which includes community development work.

Indian Social Organisations Abroad

2575. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Social Organisations in foreign countries (country-wise) run by the Indians settled there;

(b) the nature of facilities given to them by the Indian Government; and

(c) the nature of work done by them?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) to (c). The information asked for is not available in the External Affairs Ministry and it will be very difficult to get any precise information on the subject. This will entail considerable labour in addressing all our Embassies abroad and for them then to make enquiries over their

territories. Sometimes some Indian social organisations abroad ask for information for a general nature about development in India and this is supplied to them.

Industrial Estates

2576. Shri Nenshir Bhaverach: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) how many Industrial Estates are functioning and where they are located in greater Bombay City;

(b) what are the main industries comprised within these Estates;

(c) what has been the cost of construction of immovable structures in these Estates; and

(d) what is the cost of equipment and machinery in these Estates?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) No Industrial Estates are functioning at present in greater Bombay City. It is, however, proposed to set up two industrial estates at Bapty Road, and at Kuria, Bombay.

(b) to (d). Do not arise.

Ashoka Hotel

2577. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state what is the total amount paid so far on contracts in the construction and equipment including furnishing of the Ashoka Hotel?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy): The total amount paid up to the end of March, 1958, is Rs. 2,66,35,875.

Construction Works Under Second Five Year Plan

2578. Shri Kumaran: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Planning Commission has given any directive to the States that to the greatest possible extent labour and construction co-operatives rather than contractors

should be utilized in construction works under the Second Five Year Plan;

(b) if so, the States which have complied with the directive; and

(c) the progress made in this direction by such of the States as have accepted and started implementing this recommendation of the Planning Commission?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): (a) Yes, a recommendation to this effect was made in the Second Five Year Plan and the States were asked to implement that.

(b) and (c). No specific information is available. There are, however, only two State viz. Punjab and Bombay where organisation of these Co-operatives has shown some progress.

In Punjab there were 648 labour and construction Co-operative Societies with 67,700 members at the end of 1957. The State's Second Plan provides for the organisation of 300 more such societies.

In Bombay there were 139 such societies with membership of 7,990 at

the end of June, 1958 as against 11 societies in June, 1949.

Khadi and Village Industries Board of Orissa

2579. Shri Pasighrahi: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount of Government aid or loan received by the Khadi and Village Industries Board of Orissa during 1956-57 and 1957-58;

(b) whether any progress report of the Khadi and Village Industries Board of Orissa has been received by Government for these two years; and

(c) the quantity of Khadi produced in Orissa during 1956-57 and 1957-58?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) The amounts of Central assistance granted to the Orissa State Khadi and Village Industries Board through the All India Khadi and Village Industries Board and the Khadi and Village Industries Commission during 1956-57 and 1957-58 were as follows:—

	1956-57		1957-58	
	Grants Rs.	Loan Rs.	Grants Rs.	Loan Rs.
(i) Khadi (traditional)	2,07,700	5,20,000	42,634	35,000
(ii) Khadi (Ambar)	1,53,950	1,94,360	5,03,315	9,24,000
(iii) Other village industries	6,00,460	7,27,785	8,61,036	9,37,620

(b) Yes, Sir. Two quarterly progress reports for the period ending the 30th June, 1957 and the 30th September, 1957 have been received.

(c)	Year
	1956-57
	1957-58
	(up to the 31st January 1958)

Quantity Of Khadi produced
51,000 sq. yards.
158,000 "

Employment Potential in Various Industries

2580. Shri Jadhav: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the employment potential in the following industries at present:

(a) Textile Industry

- (i) Mill Sector,
- (ii) Handloom Sector, and
- (iii) Power Loom Sector;

(b) Jute Industry;

(c) Cement Industry; and

(d) Various other cottage industries with break-up of all types?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): The number of persons employed at present is roughly as follows:

(a) Textile Industry

(i) Mill Sector. 10,40,000 in cotton, woollen and art silk textile industries.

(ii) Handloom Sector. 37,50,000.

(iii) Powerloom Sector. 63,000 in cotton and silk industries.

(b) Jute Industry. 2,34,000.

(c) Cement Industry. 27,000.

(d) The total employment in village and small scale industries is estimated at 75,00,000. No detailed break-up of the estimate is available.

The Second Five Year Plan provides for an increase in employment in large scale industries and minerals by 7,50,000 and in small scale and village industries by 4,50,000, apart from fuller employment for a much larger number.

सरकारी विज्ञापन

२५८१. { को लक्ष्य वर्षान् :
की श्री शौ. शर्मा :

क्या सूचना और प्रकारस्त यंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि १९५७-५८ में हिन्दी, हिन्दी और अन्य भारतीय भाषाओं में प्रकाशित होने वाले समाचार-पत्रों को अलग-अलग कितने के विज्ञापन दिये गये?

सूचना और प्रकारस्त यंत्री (जा० केसरार) : विज्ञापन तथा दृश्य प्रचार निवेशालय द्वारा यंत्रों, हिन्दी तथा अन्य देशी भाषाओं में प्रकाशित होने वाले समाचार-पत्रों को १९५७-५८ में दिये गये सरकारी विज्ञापनों का घोरा इस प्रकार है:—

यंत्रों की हिन्दी तथा अन्य देशी भाषाओं

सजावटी (डिस्प्ले)

विज्ञापन ६,५०,७२७ ७,६५,०५१

वर्गीकृत

विज्ञापन १२,४८,०६६ २,७५,४२८

Indians Deported from Ceylon

२५८२. { Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri Tangamani:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state the number of Indians deported from Ceylon during 1957-58?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): 1,733 persons.

Second Five Year Plan for Andhra

२५८३. Shri Heda: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated shortfall in expenditure in the second year of Second Five Year Plan for Andhra Pradesh;

(b) the items to which cuts were applied; and

(e) the reasons for this shortfall?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): Information is being collected from the Andhra Pradesh Government and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

विस्तर: मेरे अधिकारीक सहकारी समितियों

१५८८. श्री लाल प्रभाकर :
क्या आविष्यकता उचित नंबरी यह
बताने की हुपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सब है कि दिल्ली में
१०० श्रीदोगिक सहकारी समितियां इन
उद्देश्य से स्वापित की जाएंगी कि ५,०००
समितियों को रोजगार दिया जा सके;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इन उद्दोगों का
स्वरूप क्या होगा; और

(ग) इन सहकारी समितियों की
स्वापना में सरकार द्वारा क्या सहायता दी
जाएगी?

विविष्यकता उचित नंबरी (श्री लाल
प्रभाकर जात्रवेदी) : (क) और (ख) .
बताते हैं कि दिलीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के
अन्तर्गत दिल्ली प्रशासन की एक योजना
कारीगरों की सहकारी समितियों का विकास
करने के लिए है जिस के प्रमुखार दूसरी
योजना की अवधि में ऐसी ५०
समितियां बनायी जाएंगी। प्रशासन से
कहा गया है कि वह १९५८-५९ के अन्त
तक ५० नवी श्रीदोगिक सहकारी
समितियां स्वापित करे। पता चला है कि
दिलीय योजना आनु होने के बाद से दिल्ली
के संचाय प्रदेश में ६६ श्रीदोगिक सहकारी
समितियां बन चुकी हैं और इस स्वरूप ऐसी
समितियों की कुल संख्या २१३ है। सच
उचित नंबरों आम उद्दोगों तक कुटीर उद्दोगों
को सहकारिता के प्रावाह पर संगठित
करने की काहाकार दिया जाता है।

(ग) श्रीदोगिक सहकारी समितियों
बनाने की योजनाओं के लिए राज्य सरकारों
की वित्तीय सहायता निम्नलिखित रूपों
में दी जाती है :—

(१) केन्द्रीय सरकार ७५ प्रतिशत
हिस्सा पूँजी; राज्य सरकार को २ बर्षीय
ऋण के रूप में देती है और शेष पूँजी सम्बद्ध
राज्य सरकार या संबंधित पक्ष जुटाता है।

(२) केन्द्रीय सरकार राज्य सरकार
को ७५ प्रतिशत संचालन पूँजी दस
बर्षीय ऋण के रूप में देती है। इस पूँजी के
अन्तर्गत जमीन, इमारत तथा उपकरण
जारीदारों के लिए आवश्यक बन भी शामिल है।

(३) ये श्रीदोगिक सहकारी समितियों
यदि सहकारिता सम्बन्धी विकास निरीक्षक
कम्बिटी रखें, तो तीन साल तक उन पर
जाने वाले अनावर्तक व्यय का ५० प्रतिशत
आगे राज्य सरकार को अनुदान के रूप में
दिया जायगा।

(४) उद्दोगों को राजकीय सहायता
प्रदानियम या ऐसे ही अन्य विनियमों के
अधीन, साधारण व्याज दर पर राज्य
सरकारों को एक मुक्त ऋणों की भूमिका
दी जाती है जो छोटे कारखानों में बांटने
के लिए होता है। राज्य सरकारों से कहा
जाता है कि वे इस ऋण में से श्रीदोगिक
सहकारी समितियों को २॥ प्रतिशत व्याज
दर पर ऋण दें। जिस सामान्य व्याज पर राज्य
सरकारों को ऋण दिया जाता है और राज्य
सरकारें उस में से श्रीदोगिक सहकारी
समितियों को जिस रियायती व्याज दर पर
कर्तव्य देती हैं, उन के अन्तर को केन्द्रीय
सरकार राज्य सरकार को राज सहायता
देकर पूरा कर देती है।

(५) श्रीदोगिक सहकारी समितियों
को जो ऋण दिये जाते हैं, उसकी सीमा
२ साल २० तक है और उस पर रियायती व्याज
से ही व्याज लिया जाता है। उन्हें इस सीमा

एक वित्ती वर राख दी जाएगी, उस पर विद्युती वर से ही व्याज लगेगा। जिन सामग्रीों में धीरोगिक सहकारी समितियों को ऐसा वर्ष दिये से प्रचिक व्यवहर देने की इच्छा हो, उन्हें इस मंत्रालय के पास भेज देना चाहिये।

(६) सभी व्याज (धीरोगिक सहकारी समितियों की हिस्सा पूँजी के लिए दिये गये ज्ञानों को छोड़ कर) वस वर्ष के लिए होंगे और उन पर साधारण वर से व्याज लिया जाएगा तथा भूल वन तथा व्याज वरावर की वस किश्तों में बायन करना होगा। ज्ञान सौदाने की पहली किश्त ज्ञान लेने के एक साल बाद देनी होगी। हिस्सा पूँजी के लिए दिये गये ज्ञान दो साल के लिए होंगे।

(७) हानि का भार उठाना:— धीरोगिक सहकारी समितियों की विधिष्ठ योजनाओं के मामले में केन्द्रीय सरकार वसूल न हुए ज्ञानों की हानि उभी अनुपात में उठाएगी, जिस में उस ने ज्ञान दिया था। अधिक केन्द्रीय सरकार ७५ प्रतिशत और राज्य सरकार २५ प्रतिशत।

Trade with Afghanistan

2585. *Shri D. C. Sharma:*
Shri Daljit Singh:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the present volume of trade of India with Afghanistan; and

(b) the steps that have been taken to improve it?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):
(a) During January—October 1957 period, India's imports from and exports to Afghanistan were valued at Rs. 360 lakhs and Rs. 141 lakhs, respectively. Figures for the later period are not yet available.

(b) The following steps have been taken to improve Indo-Afghan trade during recent times:—

(i) A Trade Agreement between India and Afghanistan was signed on 14th June, 1957, which stipulated that supplies from Afghanistan would be paid for in Indian Rupees and the trade between the two countries would be balanced.

(ii) Importers in India to whom permits had been issued for the import of fruits and asafoetida from Afghanistan, have been called upon to export Indian goods of the same value to that country.

(iii) A Trade Delegation of the Engineering Export Promotion Council visited Kabul in August 1957 and found in Afghanistan a potential market for India's engineering goods. The report of the Delegation has been published.

(iv) A Delegation, led by the Chairman of the Tea Board, visited Afghanistan in November 1957, with a view to ascertaining reasons for continuous drop in our exports of green tea to that country and to suggest remedial measures.

Gandhiji's Writings

2586. *Shri D. C. Sharma:* Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state the number of meetings so far held by the Advisory Board on Gandhiji's writings?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): The Advisory Board has no fixed dates for meetings and it meets whenever necessary. The Board has met five times but many informal meetings take place of which it is difficult to keep record.

"Zerlina"

2587. *Shri D. C. Sharma:* Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state the cost at which the Zero Energy Reactor known as "Zerlina" to enable

studies in lattice spacing fuel elements and design is proposed to be set up?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): The Zerlina Reactor is estimated to cost about Rs. 22 lakhs exclusive of the cost of heavy water, uranium and graphite.

Electric Goods and Radio Receivers

2588. Shri Kalika Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the figures of imports of electric lamps, electric fans, radio receivers, dry batteries and storage batteries

during the years 1955-56, 1956-57 and 1957-58 so far;

(b) what steps have been taken to produce and manufacture these items in India;

(c) whether any of the above items of Indian manufacture are being exported from India; and

(d) if so, the quantity exported in the year 1957-58 and how much we are behind from the target fixed in the Second Five Year Plan?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) The figures are as under:-

Items	Quantity in Nos.					
	Value in '000' of Rs.					
	Imports during		1955-56		1956-57	
Items	Qty.	Value	Qty.	Value	1957-58 (April-September) Qty. Value	
Electric Lamps	35,388,317	6,246	31,176,959	5,762	5,837,121	1,219
Electric Fans	Not Available	2,054	(Apr.-Dec. Not Available (Jan-Mar) 1,050	1,964	1,575	120
				115	2,079	
Radio Receivers	6,258	1,767	4,984	1,466	Not available	
Dry Batteries	N.A.	6,488*	Apr-Dec. '56—N.A. Jan-Mar. '57—399 Cwt.	4,753* 250	560 Cwt.	821
Storage Batteries	N.A.	4,202**	Apr-Dec. '56—N.A. Jan-March '57—6,738	6,208** 1,800	41,621	3,109

*This includes other types of primary cells and batteries.

**This includes train lighting, stationery and other special batteries including paris.

(b) A number of schemes for setting up new units as well as for expansion of existing units have been licensed approved.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The export figures for 1957-58

(April-September, 1957) are as under:

Items	Quantity in numbers	
	Quantity	Value
1. Electric lamps	8,990	206
2. Electric fans	11,289	1,232
3. Radio receivers	247	58
4. Dry batteries	4,909	713
5. Storage batteries	105	6

The export target for electric fans has been fixed at 80,000 Nos. by 1960-61. No such targets have been prescribed for other items.

Coal Mines Pithead Baths and Mines Creche Rules

2589. **Pandit D. N. Tiwary:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether the breaches of Coal Mines Pit-head Baths and Mines Creche Rules have greatly increased during the last year;

(b) whether it is a fact that prosecutions launched under these rules have proved ineffective to check such breaches; and

(c) the number of Breaches reported in 1955-56, 1956-57 and 1957-58 and the number of prosecutions launched?

The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali): (a) No.

(b) To a certain extent prosecutions have not proved very effective. The question of amending the rules to make them more effective is under consideration.

	(c) Number of breaches	Number of prosecutions
1955-56	791	90
1956-57	779	133
1957-58	725	89
(upto January, 1958.)		

Admissibility Certificates

2590. **Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state

the number of admissibility certificates for purchase of properties issued so far, and the number of certificates tendered so far for adjustment of prices of properties purchased?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): The number of statements of account issued upto 31st March, 1958 is 32,169.

The information regarding the number of cases in which holders of such statements have applied for adjustment of prices of properties purchased cannot be ascertained as no separate records of these transaction are maintained.

Motor Cycles

2591. **Shri N. R. Munisamy:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total requirement of motor-cycles in India;

(b) what is the installed capacity of M/s. Enfield India Ltd. at Tirrattiyur, Madras and what is its actual production;

(c) what are the accessories and parts that are imported from other countries and what is their value;

(d) whether Government have any scheme to meet the entire internal demand from the indigenous production; and

(e) if so, when it is likely to be implemented?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) The present annual demand for motor-cycles is estimated to be about 3,000 to 4,000 numbers.

(b) to (e). The licensed capacity, on single shift working, of M/s. Enfield India Ltd., Madras, for the manufacture of motor cycles is 5,000 Nos. per annum. The programme of complete manufacture in India is spread over a period of five years. 1827 units were produced by the firm in 1957. The capacity of this firm is considered sufficient at present to meet the country's full requirements.

(c) Parts and accessories other than the following are being imported, which constitute about 60% of the C.I.F. value of a complete motor cycle:

Piston complete, Seat, Petrol Tank, Spark Plug, Silencer, Inflator, Frame, Tyres and Tubes, Battery, Rubber Parts, Bolts, Nuts, Pins and Studs, etc.

Faridabad Power House

2592. Shri A. K. Gopalan: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that during February and March, 1958 there have been break-downs in the Faridabad Power House;

(b) if so, the number of days in each month when there were breakdowns; and

(c) the reasons for such breakdowns?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) and (b). There was a major break-down in the power supply at Faridabad between the 10th and the 12th March, 1958, as per details given below:—

On 10-3-58 to 13-3-58 from 6-30 P.M. on 10-3-58 to 11-07 A.M. on 11-3-58

and from 4-20 P.M. on 11-3-58 to 7-45 A.M. on 12-3-58.

(c) Due to leakage of tubes in the Combustion Chamber of one boiler and defects in the Grate of the other.

Import of Tractors

2593. Shri N. R. Munisamy: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the total number of tractors imported during 1956-57 and 1957-58?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): A statement showing number of tractors imported into India during 1956-57 (April-December 1956), January-March 1957 and April-September 1957 is placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 133]. The actual import figures after September 1957 are not yet available.

Deficit Budgets of States

2594. Shri N. R. Munisamy: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:

(a) whether any instructions were given to the State Governments to reduce the deficits of their budgets for the year 1958-59;

(b) if so, to what extent the State Governments were able to carry out the instructions; and

(c) the total amount of taxes that were levied to reduce the said deficit for the year 1958-59?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): (a) Yes.

(b) The Planning Commission has, from time to time, drawn the attention of the State Governments to the desirability of balancing revenue budgets. For 1958-59, a communication to this effect was addressed to the State Governments in February last.

(c) The budget documents of ten States have so far been received in the Planning Commission. For these States, the position regarding deficit on revenue account for 1958-59 (at existing rates of taxation) additional taxation proposed for the year and deficit after taking credit for additional taxation are brought out in the Statement placed on the Table of Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 134.]

Export of Iron Ore

2595. Shri Achar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether a considerable quantity of iron ore is being exported from the ports of Mangalore, Karwar and other minor ports of Mysore State during the last three or four months;

(b) if so, what is the quantity of the ore so exported till now and to which countries;

(c) whether it is a fact that a considerable quantity of iron ore is lying in these ports for want of facilities for shipping; and

(d) if so, whether Government have any proposals to develop immediately any one of these ports as an all-weather port to facilitate the export of iron ore?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Actual Exports of Iron Ore made through minor ports since July, 1957 upto 31st March, 1958 is as follows:

Name of Port	Quantity (In Tons)	Exported
1. Mangalore	7,200	Czechoslovakia
2. Kuwar	2,053	do.
3. Honvar	7,200	Japan.

(c) Some quantity is lying at ports due to recession in the market and also lack of adequate shipping facilities.

(d) Certain proposals are under consideration.

Displaced Persons in Punjab

2596. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that certain Meo-muslim families who had gone to Pakistan and returned afterwards are rehabilitated in some districts of Punjab; and

(b) if so, the nature and details of their rehabilitation?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) Yes.

(b) Orders for restoration of properties were passed in 5840 cases. Physical possession has also been given in all these cases, except in 61, who are being given alternative lands. The number of pending applications is now about 200 only.

Ambar Charkha

2597. Shri R. L. Reddy: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the educational training institutions are not supplied with Ambar Charkhas freely to give training in their arts and crafts sections;

(b) if so, whether Government consider these Training Institutions as suitable places for the dissemination of the knowledge and use of Ambar Charkhas; and

(c) the number of Ambar Charkhas so far supplied free of cost and the number and nature of institutions which received them?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) Yes, Sir. However, separate training institutions have been set up for imparting instruction in the use of Ambar Charkhas.

(b) Yes, Sir. The Ambar Charkha programme is, however, primarily intended to achieve certain targets in de-centralised production of cloth and to provide employment to unemployed and under-employed persons. Accordingly Ambar charkhas are supplied on concessional terms to trained spinners for actual production.

(c) No Ambar charkha has been supplied to educational training institutions of the kind referred to in part (a) of the question.

Contribution to Government Journals

2598. Shri Arjun Singh Bhadauria: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

(a) the rates of remuneration paid to authors for contributing to journals and publications of the Government of India;

(b) whether the rates of remuneration are different according to departments which publish them and the language of publication;

(c) if so, what are the reasons for this difference;

(d) whether the rates of remuneration to authors have been recently reduced;

(e) if so, in which journals has the reduction been effected and which are the journals not affected by it; and

(f) the reasons for this reduction?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) to (f). Information is being collected regarding rates and will be laid on the Table in due course.

Squatters Colonies in West Bengal

2599. Shri Ghosal: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the number of squatters colonies of displaced persons in West Bengal at present; and

(b) how many of such colonies have been regularised by Government so far?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) 149 colonies.

(b) Upto 31st March, 1958, 67 colonies in full and 13 colonies in part had been regularised.

हिमाचल प्रदेश बड़ी जमीदारी उन्मूलन तथा भूमि सुधार अधिनियम

२६००. श्री पद देव : क्या योजना मंत्री यह बनाने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या हिमाचल प्रदेश बड़ी जमीदारी उन्मूलन तथा भूमि सुधार अधिनियम पूरी तरह से लागू कर दिया गया है ; और

(ख) यदि ना, तो १६५७-५८ में कितने काश्नकारों को भूमि पर स्वामित्व प्राप्त हुआ ?

योजना उपमंत्री (श्री इया० न० शिख) :

(क) हिमाचल प्रदेश बड़ी जमीदारी उन्मूलन तथा भूमि सुधार अधिनियम, १६५३ दिनांक २६ जनवरी, १६५५ में लागू किया गया था। अधिनियम के लागू किये जाने के तुरन्त बाद भी जिलों के कुछ भूमिपतियों ने इस कानून की मान्यता के विश्व सुप्रीम कोर्ट में याचिका लेख देना शुरू कर दिया। अब तक विभिन्न जिलों के १५६२ व्यक्तियों ने याचिका लेख दिया है और सुप्रीम कोर्ट ने अधिकारियों को इस अधिनियम के भीतर प्राधियों के विश्व कोई कार्रवाई करने या उनको सम्पत्ति पर अधिकार करने या किसी तरह से उनको सम्पत्ति में दखल देने पर रोक लगाने का आदेश दे दिया है। फिर भी अधिनियम के उपबन्ध प्रत्य मामलों में लागू किये जा रहे हैं। मुझावजा अधिकारियों की सभी जिलों में नियुक्ति की गई है। काश्नकारों द्वारा स्वामित्व अधिकार लेने के सम्बन्ध में दाखिल किये गये ७१४५ मामलों में से १५२२ मामलों का फैसला हो जाका है।

(क) सन् १९५७-५८ में स्वामित्व अधिकार प्राप्त किये हुये काश्तकारों की संख्या ११२२ है।

हिमालय पर्वतारोहण यात्राएँ

विभाग: भवन वर्षान :
२६०१ श्री हरिहर-इ. यात्रुर :

क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या इस वर्ष किसी विदेशी पर्वतारोही दलों ने भारत स्थित हिमालय की विभिन्न चोटियों पर पर्वतारोहण की अनुमति मांगी है ;

(ख) यदि हाँ, तो क्या उन दलों के देशों, सदस्यों, कार्यकर और उद्देश्यों पर प्रकाश डालने वाला एक विवरण मभा पट्टन पर उत्तर जायेगा ;

(ग) उनमें से किन-किन दलों को अनुमति दी जा चुकी है ; और

(घ) उपरोक्त विदेशी दलों ने मात्र किन-किन भारतीय सम्पर्क अधिकारियों को नियुक्त किया गया है ?

प्रधान मंत्री: तथा ये शेष कार्य मंत्री (श्री जबाहुरलास नेहरू) : (क) मे (घ). जी हाँ। श्री जै० जी० जी० स्टीफेनसन क नेतृत्व में यूनाइटेड किंगडम के ६ राष्ट्रियों के केवल एक विदेशी अभियान दल (एंग्लो-पेटीशन) को यह इजाजत दी गई है कि वह कुल और स्थित चोटियों ने निर्बद्ध घोष (नान-रेस्ट्रिक्टेड एरिया) में जुलाई और सितम्बर १९५८ के बीच पर्वत पर चढ़ाई करे। चूंकि इस लेत्र में कोई पावंडी नहीं है, इसलिये यह जरूरी नहीं समझा गया कि दल वे साथ कोई भारतीय अफसर लगाया जाय।

Handloom Export Trade

2602. Shri Jnachandran: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry

be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 180 on the 18th July, 1957 and state:

(a) whether the final report of the team of American experts who visited India under the auspices of the Ford Foundation to advise Government on handloom export trade, has been received;

(b) if so, what are the main recommendations;

(c) what action Government are taking to implement the recommendations;

(d) whether Government have set up an Export Promotion Body for handloom fabrics; and

(e) if so, who are the members of the Body?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Copies of the Report submitted by the team have been placed in the Parliament Library.

(c) and (d). The matter is under consideration.

(e) Does not arise.

Export of Cotton

2603. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to export cotton from India to the U.K.; and

(b) if so, the quantity thereof?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) and (b). Exports of cotton, to the total extent of two lakhs bales, have been permitted during the current year to permissible destinations which include U.K. No specific quota is earmarked for U.K. or any other destination.

Shifting of Offices

2604. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 280 on the 19th February, 1958 and state:

(a) the number of officers and members of the staff who are likely to be moved out of Delhi by the shifting of offices; and

(b) how much office accommodation and how many residential quarters will fall vacant in Delhi as a result thereof?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy):

(a) About 1,600.

(b) Office accommodation: 1.25 lakhs sq. ft. (approximately) Government residential quarters: 300 units (approximately).

In addition, an appreciable number of residential houses in the private market is also likely to be released.

Employees' State Insurance Corporation

2605. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Employees' State Insurance Corporation has finalised its staff regulations;

(b) if so, whether they have been approved by Government; and

(c) if reply to part (a) be in the negative, the steps being taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali): (a) Not Yet.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The Union Public Service Commission has been consulted and their comments on the draft staff

regulations are being considered. These regulations are expected to be finalised shortly.

Liquid Gold Laboratory

2606. **Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**
(*Shri Bhogji Bhai:*)

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Ferozabad glass merchants chamber has approached Government for the establishment of a liquid gold laboratory; and

(b) how many workers are engaged in the glass bangles trade?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a) Yes, Sir. An Extension Centre for glassware is being established by the Development Commissioner of Small Scale Industries at Ferozabad. This Extension Centre will have a small laboratory for carrying on simple tests on liquid gold and other materials.

(b) The Industry gives direct employment to nearly 8,000-10,000 people and indirect employment to nearly, 30,000 people.

Corruption in N.E.F.A.

2607. **Shri Hem Barua:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of political and other officers so far convicted and sentenced to various terms of rigorous imprisonment and fines for corruption and embezzlement of public money in North East Frontier Administration together with the amounts involved in each individual case so far;

(b) whether the fines imposed on these persons have been realised by now; and

(c) if not, the unrealised quantum so far?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) to (c). The information is as follows:—

- (1) One Political Officer was sentenced to one year R.I. on 27-9-57 and fined Rs. 2500. In case of default three months R.I. Amount involved Rs. 2,500. Fine has been realised in full.
- (2) One Cashier was sentenced to six months R.I. on 25-2-58 and fined Rs. 250. In case of default 2 months R.I. Amount involved Rs. 250. Fine has not been realised so far.
- (3) One Head Assistant was sentenced to 2 years R.I. on 16-1-58 and fined Rs. 2,000. In case of default six months R.I. The amount involved is Rs. 2,000. Fine has not been realised yet.

Indian Frontier Administrative Service

2685. Shri Hem Barua: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Army Officials so far recruited to the different cadres of the Indian Frontier Administrative Service; and

(b) the number of Army Officers still in the North East Frontier Agency services?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) 12 Officers in Grade I and 5 officers in Grade II.

(b) 7 Officers in Grade I and 1 officer in Grade II.

Indian Cotton Textiles to U.K.

2686. Shri Damani: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to lay a statement on the Table showing the trend of export of the Indian cotton textiles to the United Kingdom and whether there

has been a progressive increase during the years 1956, 1957 and the first quarter of 1958?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): The trend of export of cotton textiles from India to United Kingdom in 1956, 1957 (upto October) was as follows:—

Year	Quantity in Million Yards.
1956	91.8
1957	132.5

(January-October)

Later figures are not still available.

The above figures indicate that exports of Indian Textiles to U.K. have shown some increase in 1957 over those in 1956.

Small Scale Industries in Assam

2610. Shri Hem Barua: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether a survey has been undertaken in the State of Assam for the promotion and expansion of Small Scale Industries there; and

(b) if so, the steps taken so far to implement the findings of the aforesaid survey?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) Industry Outlook Reports on 15 selected industries in the Eastern Region, comprising the States of Assam, West Bengal, Orissa and Bihar and the Territories of Tripura and Manipur have been completed so far. An area survey of the industrial potentialities of the Darrang Community Development Pilot Project Area in Assam has also been undertaken at the instance of the Ministry of Community Development.

(b) Follow-up action on the recommendations made in these reports, is being initiated through the appropriate agencies.

उत्तर प्रदेश के लेखन तंत्रज्ञानी क्षेत्र

२६११. श्री भवत दर्शन : क्या योजना मंत्री १८ दिसम्बर, १९५७ के अतारांकित प्रश्न तंत्रज्ञान १८४८ के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) उत्तर प्रदेश के तिब्बत सीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों का विकास करने के लिये प्रथम पंचवर्षीय योजना के अन्तर्गत जो ३६.६ लाख रुपये व्यय किये गये उनमें से गढ़वाल, अलमोड़ा और टिहरी-गढ़वाल जिलों के सीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों में कौन-कौन से विकास कार्य किये गये ;

(ल) द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के अन्तर्गत इन क्षेत्रों के विकास के लिये जो एक करोड़ रुपये की राशि नियत की गई है उससे उपरोक्त तीनों जिलों में से प्रत्येक में क्या-क्या कार्य किये जायेंगे ; और

(ग) द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के प्रथम दो वर्षों में इस धन राशि से उपरोक्त जिलों में विकास के क्या-क्या कार्य किये जा चुके हैं और उन में से प्रत्येक पर कितना व्यय हुआ ?

योजना उपर्युक्त : (श्री इया० नं० जिथः) (क) प्रथम पंचवर्षीय योजना की अवधि में किये गये विकास कार्यों के अन्तर्गत बागवानी के लिये लेतों का प्रबन्ध, पशुधन, ऊन, और दबाइयों की विकास योजनाओं में सुधार, शिक्षा एवं विकित्ता सम्बन्धी सुविधाओं की व्यवस्था और सड़कों का निर्माण किया गया ।

(ल) जानकारी प्राप्त नहीं हुई है। (ग) सड़कों पर किये गये व्यय के अतिरिक्त लगभग ७ लाख रुपये पशुधन के विकास, कुटीर उद्योगों की उन्नति, बागवानी शिक्षा, विकित्ता और जनस्वास्थ्या सम्बन्धी सुविधाओं का विस्तार (जिसमें पानी की व्यवस्था भी शामिल है) तथा अन्य योजनाओं पर कार्य किये गये हैं। जिनेवार जानकारी प्राप्त नहीं हुई है।

Production of Sewing Machines

२६१२. श्री Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 367 on the 19th February, 1958 and state:

(a) the number of sewing machines manufactured by various companies in India during 1956-57; and

(b) the target fixed for the production of sewing machines during the Second Five Year Plan?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) 171,539 Nos.

(b) 300,000 Nos.

Export of Rayon Fabrics

२६१३. श्री Rameshwar Tantia: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state what steps have been taken to increase the export of Rayon fabrics?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): A statement showing the steps taken to increase the export of Rayon fabrics is placed on the Table of Lok Sabha. (See Appendix VII, annexure No. 135).

Export Promotion Council for Sports Goods

२६१४. { Shri Bhogji Bhai :
Shri Rameshwar Tantia :

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state to which countries the Export Promotion Council for sports goods sent its representatives to find out export possibilities?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): The Sports Goods Export Promotion Council was registered under the Company Law Act only recently and will be formally inaugurated in the middle of next month i.e. May 1958. The question whether representative of the Council should be sent abroad to find

out export possibilities will be considered by the Council in due course.

Bharat Sewak Samaj

2615. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:

(a) whether any aid is proposed to be given to Bharat Sewak Samaj during the year 1958-59;

(b) if so, what is the amount; and

(c) the details of the various items for which it is to be given?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): (a) to (c). Like all other voluntary organisations in the country the Bharat Sevak Samaj can obtain financial assistance from Government for approved schemes of public cooperation. It is not possible to estimate in advance the financial aid that may be obtained by the Samaj during the year 1958-59.

Name of Scheme	Amount	Sanctioned	Remarks
Scheme for development of Batik works (textile printing) at Puri.	Grant Rs.6,700	Loan ..	The scheme envisages introduction of new designs and colour schemes with a view to taking up large scale production. Four trainees are being trained.

व्यापार आयुक्त

२६१७. श्री पद्म देव : व्यापार आयुक्त तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि इस समय विदेशों में स्थित प्रत्येक भारतीय व्यापार आयुक्त के कार्यालय में कितने कर्मचारी काम कर रहे हैं ?

व्यापार आयुक्त तथा उद्योग मंत्री (श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री) : एक विवरण लोक सभा के पट्ट पर रखा जाता है, जिसमें यह बताया गया है कि इस समय विदेशों में स्थित प्रत्येक भारतीय व्यापार आयुक्त के कार्यालय में कितने कर्मचारी काम कर रहे हैं। [वेतनम् १८५७, अनुसन्धान संसद १५६]

Handicrafts in Orissa

2616. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether any scheme for setting up of a training-cum-production centre and a demonstration-cum-service centre for different handicrafts in Orissa has been approved by the Government of India for the Second Plan period; and

(b) if so, the details of the projected schemes?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) A scheme for a training-cum-production centre for handicrafts in Orissa has been sanctioned during the year 1957-58 and this is under implementation. No scheme for demonstration-cum-service centres has been received.

(b) Brief details of the scheme are as follows:—

Ambar Charkhas

2618. Shri Jinachandran: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Ambar Charkhas distributed in the country (State-wise) during 1957-58 and the quantity of yarn produced;

(b) whether any new handlooms were set up to make Ambar cloth;

(c) if so, how many; and

(d) whether the whole Ambar Charkha programme is being worked by the Cooperative Societies only?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a). A statement is laid on the Table

of Lok Sabha [See Appendix VII, Annexure No. 137]

(b) and (c). The number of new handlooms set up for weaving cloth from Ambar yarn is not available as the arrangement for weaving was made by a number of institutions with existing handloom cooperative societies. It is however known that by the end of December, 1957, 8,000 new weavers had been recruited for weaving from Ambar yarn.

(d) No, Sir. The programme is also being implemented through registered institutions which are certified by the Khadi and Village Industries Commission.

Khadi and Village Industries in Orissa

2619. Shri K. C. Jena: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether any steps have been taken to improve the Khadi and Village Industries in the State of Orissa; and

(b) if so, what are the steps taken so far?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri):

(a). Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of India acting through the Khadi and Village Industries Commission, and the State Government have adopted various measures for the development of khadi and other village industries in the Orissa State. These measures mainly concern production and marketing and the training of personnel. The State Government have constituted a statutory Khadi and Village Industries Board which is responsible for the development of these industries in that State. As elsewhere in the country, these industries are assisted financially and otherwise in several ways, the more important of which are as follows:—

(I) Khadi (including Ambar):

(i) Grant of interest-free loans and subsidy to production centres.

- (ii) Subsidised supply of charkhas to spinners.
- (iii) Grant of subsidy to spinners who spin for their own use (Vastraswalmabis).
- (iv) Payment of a rebate to consumers on the retail sale of khadi.
- (v) Training programmes for spinners, instructors and technicians (misters).
- (vi) Financial assistance for the establishment of emporia.
- (vii) Holding of spinning competitions, exhibitions etc.

(II) Village industries:

- (i) Training programmes to introduce better methods of production, improved implements etc.
- (ii) Subsidised supply of equipment etc.
- (iii) Setting up of model centres.
- (iv) Payment of production and sale subsidies to reduce the price differential between the products of the organised sector and the cottage sector.
- (v) Grant of loans on easy terms.

The Central Government have provided financial assistance for the development of khadi and village industries to the State of Orissa between 1953-54 and 1957-58 totalling Rs. 32,28,179 in grants and Rs. 38,22,570 in loans, either directly to the State Government or to the various agencies operating in the State.

Dogri Programme

2620. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

(a) the arrangements made for the relay of Dogri programme from the All India Radio, New Delhi and other stations in the country; and

(b) the time given to this programme?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keshar): (a) and (b). Programmes in Dogri consist of two news bulletins a day, each of 10 minutes' duration, and rural programmes which total about 1,500 minutes a month.

The Dogri news bulletins are broadcast from Delhi and relayed by the Jammu Station. The rural programmes are broadcast from Jammu Station only. As this is the only Station serving the Dogri speaking areas, the rural programmes are not relayed by other Stations.

Unsold Stock of Cloth

2621. Shri Jadhav: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) what is the stock of cloth unsold that has accumulated with the various mills at present;

(b) what is the cause of such accumulation;

(c) the quantity of cloth exported during the year 1957-58 with its value and the countries where it was exported; and

(d) how these figures compare with the year 1956-57?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) The stock of unsold cloth with the various mills at the end of March, 1958 was 344,800 bales.

(b) Slackness in demand. This stock is not unreasonably high as it is little over a month's production.

(c) and (d). A statement showing country-wise export of cotton piece-goods for 1956 and upto October, 1957 is placed on the Table of Lok Sabha. [See Appendix VII, annexure No. 138] It will be seen from the statement that the exports during 1957 compare favourably with the exports during 1956.

DEATH OF SHRI AWADHESH KUMAR SINGH

12 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: I have to inform the House of the sad demise of Shri Awadhesh Kumar Singh who was a sitting member of this House from Katihar constituency of Bihar. He died at Patna on the 17th April, 1958 at the young age of 32. For some time past he had been suffering from kidney trouble and the House recently granted him leave of absence for the current session.

We mourn the loss of Shri Awadhesh Kumar Singh and I am sure the House will join me in conveying our condolences to the members of the bereaved family.

The House may stand in silence for a minute to express its sorrow.

[The Members then stood in silence for a minute]

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE APPROPRIATION ACCOUNTS (CIVIL) AND AUDIT REPORT

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table, under Article 151(1) of the Constitution, a copy of the Appropriation Accounts (Civil), 1955-56 (including proforma commercial accounts) and the Audit Report, 1957. [Placed in library; See No. LT-663/58].

DHOTIES (FIXATION OF COLLECTIVE QUOTA) RULES

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table, under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the Dhoties (Additional Excise Duty) Act, 1953, a copy of the Dhoties (fixation of Collective Quota) Rules, 1958, published in Notification No. S.R.O. 392, dated the 1st February, 1958.

[Placed in Library; See No. LT-664/58]

AMENDMENTS TO RUBBER RULES

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kannage): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table, under sub-section (3) of Section 25 of the Rubber Act, 1947, a copy of Notification No. G.S.R. 226, dated the 12th April, 1958, making certain amendments to the Rubber Rules, 1955.

[Placed in the Library. See No. LT-665/58]

BROCHURE ON SEMINAR ON LABOUR MANAGEMENT CO-OPERATION

The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Brochure on Seminar on Labour Management Co-operation.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-666/58]

DEMANDS FOR EXCESS GRANTS, 1954-55

PRESENTATION OF STATEMENT

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): Sir, I beg to present a statement showing Demands for Excess Grants in respect of the Budget (General) for 1954-55.

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

TENTH REPORT

Shri B. G. Mehta (Gohilwad): Sir, I beg to present the Tenth Report of the Estimates Committee on the Ministry of Education and Scientific Research on the subject "Technical Education—Part I".

ELECTION TO COMMITTEES

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

Shri B. G. Mehta (Gohilwad): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by sub-rule (1) of Rule 311 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, thirty members from among themselves to serve as members of

the Committee on Estimates for the year beginning on the 1st May, 1958 and ending on the 30th April, 1959."

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put the motion to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by sub-rule (1) of Rule 311 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, thirty members from among themselves to serve as members of the Committee on Estimates for the year beginning on the 1st May, 1958 and ending on the 30th April, 1959."

The motion was adopted.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

Shri T. N. Singh (Chandauli): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by sub-rule (1) of Rule 309 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, fifteen members from among themselves to serve as members of the Committee on Public Accounts for the year beginning on the 1st May, 1958 and ending on the 30th April, 1959."

Mr. Speaker: I shall put the motion to the vote of the House.

Shri T. B. Vitthal Rao (Khammam): Sir, before you put the motion to the vote of the House I would like to say a word. Last year, when there was this question of nomination of the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee, it was represented to you, Sir, as well as to the Government that somebody from the Opposition should be nominated as Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee. Such a practice is being followed in the House of Commons in the United Kingdom. This healthy convention, Sir, we have to evolve sometime or the other. I do not know how to raise this issue; that is why I wanted to say this much at this juncture.

Mr. Speaker: That question arises only after the members are elected—only after the child is born the question of naming will arise.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Once they are elected, Sir, there is no other opportunity to raise this question.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Members are very well aware that whatever the House is expected to do can be brought by way of a motion. They can also write to me or make representations. Anyhow, I am not shutting this out; I shall certainly bear this in mind. My own difficulty is that in spite of eight years having passed, hon. Members in the Opposition have not been able to form a good Opposition consisting of 50 Members. They find fault with the Speaker for not nominating a Member from among them. Wherefrom am I to nominate? There is no Opposition. I am happy to learn that an Opposition is in the offing, sufficiently big. If it matures, I shall try to consider this matter.

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): Is it necessary, Sir, that we follow the United Kingdom precedents and conventions—or the Russian ones also, if you like? If there is a convention in Russia, that may be followed.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: I am not aware of any convention in the Soviet Union, Sir; perhaps, my hon. friend may be able to tell us because he has been there recently.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let us not quarrel. We are following examples of democratic constitutions; therefore, there is no good quoting any other. I shall certainly consider this matter. I am always anxious to see that healthy conventions are adopted by this House. I shall now put the motion to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the

manner required by sub-rule (1) of Rule 309 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, fifteen members from among themselves to serve as members of the Committee on Public Accounts for the year beginning on the 1st May, 1958 and ending on the 30th April, 1959."

The motion was adopted.

MOTION RE: ASSOCIATION OF
MEMBERS OF RAJYA SABHA WITH
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMIT-
TEE

Shri T. N. Singh (Chandauli): Sir, I beg to move:

"That this House recommends to Rajya Sabha that they do agree to nominate seven members from Rajya Sabha to associate with the Committee on Public Accounts of the House for the year beginning on the 1st May, 1958 and ending on the 30th April, 1959 and to communicate to this House the names of the members so nominated by Rajya Sabha."

Mr. Speaker: This is only consequential. I shall now put it to the vote of the House.

Shri Ranga (Tenali): Sir, may I say a word? May I take this opportunity, Sir, of saying that although members have come to the Public Accounts Committee from both the Houses they have all been working as if they had belonged to one House? The question of chairmanship by a Member of the Opposition was also raised earlier. I may also say that although these Members belonged to different political parties in both the Houses, they have all acted as if they had belonged to one party and one House. I do not remember any occasion when there was any serious difference of opinion, and I wish to place on record my appreciation of the valuable services

10857 Motion re Association of 22 APRIL 1958 Appropriation (No. 2) 10858
Members of Rajya Sabha Bill, 1958
with Public Accounts
Committee

rendered by our friend, Shri T. N. Singh, as Chairman of this Committee.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao (Khammam): Also Members of the Committee.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever encomiums are paid to the chief are shared by the members—the chief alone can't do anything without the aid of the members there.

I am very happy that this Committee has been worked properly, but I am always chary of allowing encomiums to be paid on the floor of the House, lest any other Member may get up and say: "No, no; this ought not to be done". In this case I am happy that it is unanimous. I shall consider whether it is desirable to allow such things. The Committee can certainly meet and pass a resolution saying that the Chairman has conducted very well. So far as publicity is concerned, that resolution will also be placed on the Table of the House and I am sure the Press, which is always vigilant, will try to give sufficient publicity to that. I am very anxious to avoid any reference lest there should be any single Member getting up and saying something—

Shri Ranga: I referred to it because it was raised here. In fact, I was for paying a compliment to the Members of the Opposition also.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty (Basirhat): It was not a personal matter that was raised. It was a point of principle.

Mr. Speaker: I am very happy that it was unanimous. Let there be no disturbance.

Shri A. C. Guha (Barasat): Reference has been made to the good service rendered by the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee, but the Chairman of the Estimates Committee was not referred to. He has also rendered good service.

Mr. Speaker: All these matters are raised. I am aware that I am

appointing as many as 16 Committees. Each time there may be a speech relating to the Chairman and others. Whatever has happened has happened.

Shri Khadilkar (Ahmednagar): Let me say one word. The discussion in the Estimates Committee was also carried on in the same spirit. Therefore, the services rendered by the Chairman of that Committee, Shri B. G. Mehta, should also be recorded.

Mr. Speaker: I am very happy that both the Committees had done excellent work—not only the Chairmen, but all the Members of the Committees. I shall now put the motion to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That this House recommends to Rajya Sabha that they do agree to nominate seven members from Rajya Sabha to associate with the Committee on Public Accounts of the House for the year beginning on the 1st May, 1958 and ending on the 30th April, 1959 and to communicate to this House the names of the members so nominated by Rajya Sabha."

The motion was adopted.

APPROPRIATION (No. 2) BILL,
1958.

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): I beg to move:*

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for services of the financial year 1958-59, be taken into consideration".

The House has already discussed most of the Demands in detail. It is not, therefore, necessary for me to make any further observations.

*Moved with the recommendation of the President.

Shri T. N. Singh (Chandauli): Before the motion is put to the vote of the House, I wish to make a small suggestion. The Appropriation Bills are presented year after year. I wish a little more detail were attached to each Appropriation Bill, especially because, under each head there are a number of grants which cover that particular item. So, will it not be better when, say, under a Demand, such as Education, Health or a Demand under any other Ministry, the grants to which they refer are enumerated? That is essential when a reappropriation is made at a particular juncture when there are savings. That is the usual practice which has also been accepted, namely, to make it more specific. It will be better if in the Bill, and along with the Bill, these details are mentioned against each head of account. I would just suggest that this should be considered. Perhaps it may not be possible to do so in respect of the present Bill, but I wanted to raise this point in the open House for consideration.

Shri Morarji Desai: We shall consider it for future years.

Mr. Speaker: I shall put the question to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the consolidated fund of India for the services of the financial year 1958-59, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 1, the Schedule, enacting formula and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, The Schedule, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added, to the Bill.

Shri Morarji Desai: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

FINANCE BILL— contd.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now resume further discussion of the Finance Bill, 1958, on the motion moved by Shri Morarji Desai on the 18th April, 1958, namely:—

"That the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1958-59, be taken into consideration."

Out of 8 hours allotted for general discussion, 3 hours 26 minutes have already been availed of and 4 hours 34 minutes now remain. It is now a quarter past 12. We will go on till 5 o'clock and conclude the debate. How long does the hon. Minister want to take for the reply?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): I shall take about 45 minutes. My colleague, Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha, will also intervene and she will take about 15 to 20 minutes.

Mr. Speaker: It is going to be her maiden speech, as a Minister. She will take about 15 to 20 minutes. So, between them, they will take about 1 hour and 25 minutes.

Shri C. D. Pande (Naini Tal): May I request you to extend the time by one hour and a half? In that case the Bill may be finished by tomorrow evening, and we will have one hour and a half more. Because so far not many Members have taken part in the debate. If one hour and a half

are further allotted, you will be able to accommodate more Members, than is possible now.

Shri T. N. Singh (Chandauli): I would further strengthen this argument. Nowadays, we do not refer the Finance Bill to a Select Committee. Formerly, we used to take the Bill to the Select Committee and it used to last twice as long as it lasts now, once before the Select Committee and once afterwards. So, it is but fair that one hour and a half more are allotted so that more Members may take part and they may explain their points of view. Opportunity for such expression may then be made available. This is a very important measure. After all, the Finance Bill is the most important Bill that has to be discussed in this House, when the supplies come from all the incomes and receipts. Therefore, I would only support the suggestion made by Shri C. D. Pande.

Mr. Speaker: I can only say that representatives of all parties and groups are always invited to the Business Advisory Committee and except on their advice no time is allotted. As a matter of fact, 8 hours were allotted, originally fixed, for the entire discussion, both for general consideration and the clauses. I suggested 12 hours and not one Member said that 12 hours were very little.

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad): Even the Members suggested 12 hours.

Mr. Speaker: Very well, we all agreed to have it at 12 hours, but no Member came and said, "We want more time". I do not know what to say, in the circumstances, so far as this matter is concerned.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): You were also authorised to extend the time.

Mr. Speaker: No, not beyond 12 hours. Anyhow, today, there is going

to be an address by an eminent Swedish economist. Tomorrow there would be no time. We must finish this. Four hours have been allotted for clause-by-clause consideration.

The hon Members have been saying and saying the same thing, since the budget discussion started and the general discussion started. Except the hon. Members who have not spoken at all—two of them have not spoken at all—the others have been speaking and speaking. There is no difficulty at all. They have expressed almost everything that can possibly be said collectively. I am not talking of individuals. Anyhow I will try to do my best to accommodate every Member here as far as possible. Shri Subodh Hansda will continue his speech.

Shri Subodh Hansda (Midnapur—Reserved—Sch. Tribes): Mr. Speaker, Sir, the other day, I was saying that crores of rupees could not be spent for the welfare of the Scheduled Tribes and the Scheduled Castes—they remain unspent—in the first Five Year Plan, and also the progress made in that direction was also not satisfactory. We all know that the people of these communities are very poor. Most of them are landless and live in acute poverty. They maintain themselves from hand to mouth by doing hard labour daily. In these circumstances, I do not think they are in a position to participate actively in, or contribute anything to, the development works and programmes. The Government rules are also so rigid that sometimes it is impossible to agree with the terms and conditions laid down for the achievement of programmes. Therefore, I submit that the rules should be relaxed, namely, the rules that stand in the way of the developmental works. And if it is found that no contribution would be available, then the Government should come forward and see that the necessary things are done in the right manner with their full cost.

Now, there seems to be something wrong in the way of approach an-

[Shri Subodh Hansda]

the machinery that are engaged in the welfare of these people. So far as I know, the personnel that work for the welfare of these people have no knowledge of the customs, the culture, and the language of these classes, and they do not have any knowledge of their daily life. I do not think they have any actual personal touch with these people. Therefore, I think that the progress that has been made in this direction would be naturally very slow. In the second Five Year Plan, crores of rupees are going to be spent for the development of these people. And we have entered now the third year of the second Plan. I do not think much could be done in this Plan period also. So, I want to submit that the personnel who are engaged in the welfare work of these people should be specially trained for this job.

In West Bengal, there are a few officers who are known as tribal welfare officers, who are posted in each district. These welfare officers are to work in other scheme besides their normal work, and so they find little time to come into contact with the masses for whom they are meant. It is a pity that most of these officers do not know the customs, culture or language of these tribal people. In the circumstances, I want to submit that the personnel who are to be engaged in the welfare activities of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes should have extensive knowledge of the people concerned, their way of life, their culture, customs, language and tradition etc. At the same time they should have full idea of the needs of different sections of the population and also different areas. Central Government have started a number of special multi-purpose blocks for the welfare of these people and to raise the economic and social standard of these people. These have been started which the co-ordination of the Community Development Ministry. But I am really surprised to see that there is not a single special

tribal block in West Bengal where there are about 16 lakhs of tribal people. I do not understand why there should be this sort of discrimination and unsympathetic attitude shown towards the tribes of West Bengal. It may be that the Government are under the impression that the tribes of West Bengal are far more advanced than in other parts of the country or they have been brought to the standard of the advanced sections of the population under the first Five Year Plan. If this is the impression of the Government, then, I feel the Government have no idea of these people. It is really very unfortunate. I would like to say that there are areas where the people are still as backward as they were in the pre-independence days. Therefore, I humbly request that the Government should not close her eyes to the needs of these people, but should start 3 or 4 new tribal blocks for these people in order to raise their social and economic standards.

These blocks have come into being in almost all the States and these blocks are meant for taking up intensive work in tribal areas. The area to be developed is fixed at about 200 square miles with a population of 25,000 by the Planning Commission, but I am really surprised to see that this provision is not maintained in many of the States. Like Orissa, the areas have been increased to about four times and its population accordingly. Some of the blocks are not within the compact tribal areas. This, I feel, is highly objectionable. Even the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in his report for 1956-57 has pointed out that this provision is not maintained by many of the States, which is really objectionable. If these blocks are to make success, then the workers who are to be engaged in this work should be recruited locally from the community for which they are to work and they should be given special training for this job, i.e. they should

have extensive knowledge of the traditions, culture, language, etc., of these people.

I would like to say something about the ex-criminal tribes. Rs. 4 crores have been set apart for the resettlement of these people. We all know that these people are all habitual offenders and unless something is done to mend their ways and activities of life, I do not think they could be settled in life, and also if some provision is not made to earn their livelihood it is useless to spend crores of rupees for these people. Colonies have been started and houses have been built, but I do not know whether any provision has been made for them to earn their livelihood. In my constituency, there are three such colonies and houses have been built there. But there is no provision for them to earn their livelihood. A few acres of barren lands have been given to these people which yield nothing. Naturally, these people have no sources of income and they have to maintain themselves by adopting their own procedure i.e. their criminal procedure. So, I want to submit that there should be some provision or some sort of arrangement so that these people could earn their bread.

I would like to say something about the Central Evaluation Organisation. This organisation is strengthened and enlarged by the appointment of number of Assistant Commissioners for different zones. But I could not understand what their actual powers are or what their functions are. These officers tour round the country, collect information and report it to the Government for taking necessary action. A number of complaints are lodged with the officers for taking necessary action by various organisations and individuals, and they also come across a number of problems which need immediate solution. But these officers have no power to solve these problems or for taking any action. They simply report it to the Government for taking necessary action. But the result is that sometimes action is taken and sometimes it

is totally neglected. Therefore, I submit that these officers should be given some sort of wide powers, so that they can do something in this capacity. I am sure, if they are given this power, many things can be solved very easily and without delay. I hope the Government will surely look into this question.

Then, there is the Central Advisory Board at the Centre to advise the Government regarding tribal matters. But I am surprised to see that there is not even a single tribal member from West Bengal where there are 16 lakhs of tribal people. I do not find any justification for not taking any member from West Bengal into this Board from the Tribal community. Therefore, I request the Government to look into this question.

There are lakhs of tribals left out from the list of the Scheduled Tribes in many of the States and they have been included in the list of backward classes. Naturally these people are deprived of all the facilities enjoyed by the tribals from other parts of the country. In Assam, there are lakhs of Santhals, Mundas, and Orangs. They have been left out, because the Assam Government have not recommended them for inclusion in the list of Scheduled Tribes. I could not understand why they have not recommended. Probably because they have migrated from other parts of the country, that is why they are not recommended for inclusion in the list. I know these people have permanently settled there and participated in the last two general elections. So, I do not find any reason for their being excluded from the list of Scheduled Tribes by the State Government. I hope Government will look into this question and take necessary steps to include them in the list of Scheduled Tribes.

Regarding education, free education is enjoyed by the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in almost all the States. But I am really sorry to say that in West Bengal, there is no

[Shri Subodh Hansda]

such provision for the Scheduled Castes students. This matter has been brought to the notice of the Government a number of times, but there is no result. So, I request the Government to see that the students of this community should get free education up to the secondary stage. The Central Government is awarding scholarships for the students of these communities for education of a higher standard, but these scholarships are awarded in such a manner that most of the students have to give up their studies, because they could not afford the expenses of their education. These scholarships are awarded almost at the fag end of the financial year and naturally these poor students have to give up their study. So, I request the Government to make arrangements, so that the scholarships are awarded at least quarterly, if not monthly.

Then, I do not think there is any reservation for admission into the technical and medical colleges, sponsored by the Central Government for the students of the Scheduled Tribes. The students are admitted into these institutions according to merit. The students of these communities generally do not come up to the standard or they cannot compete with the other students. Therefore, these students are deprived of from getting admission into these colleges. So, I request that at least there should be some reservation for the students of these communities, so that they can have a chance of getting admission into these institutions.

I would like to say something about the recommendations of the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. In his report for 1956-57, there was a suggestion that there should be reservation of seats in the local bodies and gram panchayats. This suggestion was given to the State Governments for implementation. But I do not know whether any State Government has implemented this suggestion or taken

any action in regard to it. So far as I know, there is no such reservation in West Bengal. Now the gram panchayats are coming into being and certain powers are given to these bodies. These bodies are responsible for the development and upliftment of the villages. Even in these bodies there is no reservation of seats for these communities. If these communities are not represented in these bodies, there will be nobody to look after the interests of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe people.

I want to say one thing more about the Report of the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. This report is submitted to the Government and it is being discussed in this House every year. There were a number of valuable suggestions and recommendations in the report for 1956-57. There was one suggestion that the report should be discussed in all the State Assemblies so that the States' reactions could be understood. But, so far as I know, no State Government has agreed to this proposal, and I do not understand why. The State Assemblies will be the real forum for discussion of this report, because it contains a number of suggestions which have to be implemented locally. I feel that perhaps the State Governments are not so much interested in the welfare of these people and in solving the problems that stand in the way of their upliftment. So, I request the Government to take necessary steps so that this report could be discussed in all the State Assemblies and their reactions could be understood by the Centre as well as by the members of this House.

Lastly, I want to say something about the services. There is reservation of a certain percentage of posts in the services for members belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. But it is found that even this small percentage is not maintained every year. I do not know why and how it happens. So, Gov-

overnment should devise ways and means whereby at least this small percentage of reservation is maintained and filled up. If the Government really wants, it could easily be filled up. Every year a number of candidates appear before the UPSC and the State Service Commissions for interview for various posts. A majority of such candidates are disqualified on various grounds. I feel that if these candidates are taken in and given proper training, they will surely come up to the standard and serve the country to their best ability.

Lastly, I beg to remind that ten years have passed after independence. But we do not know how much progress we have made in the direction of welfare of these people up to date. It is true that crores and crores of rupees have been spent for the welfare of these people. But that should not be the yardstick of the progress of the community. We must see what material gain they have achieved in their practical life. Therefore, I submit that Government should constitute a small committee with the members of Parliament to assess the work done up to date by Government and the progress made in this direction.

With these few words, I conclude my speech.

Shri M. R. Masani (Ranchi-East): Mr. Speaker, the discussion of the Finance Bill provides a suitable opportunity to consider one's approach to the pattern of taxation prevailing in this country. When one makes this approach, naturally one bases it on one's own outlook or, what might be called, philosophy or way of life. And when one approaches it from that point of view with one's own background, it is often alleged that one does it either from the point of view of socialism or of private enterprise. I believe that this is a false issue and, if I may say so with all respect, it is a red herring trailed across the path to divert attention from more pertinent issues which really confront this country.

So far as socialism and private enterprise are concerned, I do not think that either of them exist today and I for one am rather indifferent about both there are elements of socialism one likes and elements of private enterprise which nobody can deny. This issue is as dead as the Dodo. On the one hand, private enterprise has been changed out of recognition in countries where it has thrived in the last hundred or fifty years and private enterprise today is something entirely different from what it was in the days of Karl Marx. On the other hand, socialism also which was once just a dream which one would like to dream, has now been tried out and it has come out with a different kind of face, a face which is increasingly recognized as State Capitalism, not different in its moral qualities but, if anything, worse than the private capitalism which preceded it.

Therefore, the real issue is not one of socialism vs. Private enterprise. But there is a real issue from which we can approach the problem of taxation, and that issue is: how much should the State do in the economic sphere and how much should be left to the enterprise of the people, or what are the legitimate roles or spheres of influence and activity of the State and the people?

Now, this is very directly related to the problem of taxation, because taxation is one of the many ways in which Government and Parliament can decide how much money should be left with the people for them to invest and how much should be taken away from the people so that Government can invest it in channels which it considers to be productive or of national importance. Now, this is the issue that is emerging. People are taking new stands. The issue is one of concentration of power. Many of us feel that if power is concentrated—political and economic power are combined—in

[Shri M. R. Masani]

the same set of hands, there is a danger to the democratic way of life that is embodied in our Constitution. The policeman becomes the employer in a way and the employer becomes the judge in his own cause. In other words, where there is only one employer in a country, there is no one to whom you can appeal from that employer when he also happens to be the Government. This in its extreme form shows that total State activity is not compatible with freedom of choice or individual liberty. Now, nobody in this country is suggesting at this stage total State activity. But everything is a matter of emphasis and there are limits beyond which one may not safely progress. Now, socialists are re-evaluating their concept in this light—Normal Thomas in the United States of America, Huge Gaitskell in England Ignazio Silone in Italy and Acharya Vinoba Bhave and Jay Prakash Narayan in our own country. Those who formerly held these views have been re-evaluating their concept as how to reconcile State activity with the democratic way of life.

Many of us were socialists when it was not fashionable or paying to be socialists. But now, when it is easy to be a socialist, one has to consider whether all the methods of socialism are as valid as one thought them to be. I for one believe in the objectives of socialism. But I think that the old traditional methods of socialism are defective and I do not think that they will take one towards the socialist goal. I was affected by Mahatma Gandhi's concept of trusteeship. I got interested in this theory as far back as 1945 and I think that the best that the country can do at present is to put emphasis on it when it moves towards a free and equal society. Now, one might say that the issue raised is of an academic nature at this stage. Perhaps it is. But there are others who do not think it academic even today. Here

let me just quote a phrase from Acharya Vinoba Bhave in a recent issue of 'Bhoojan', about the trend as he sees it in this country. Accordinging to him:

"Actually power and responsibility have got concentrated in the hands of a very few at the apex. Today a handful of people, that is, not more than five or six have all the initiative and power in their hands. The rest are just yes men. A small mistake of judgment on their part can destroy and bring misery to countless individuals. Government have power over the entire life of peoples. There is hardly a sphere of life which is absolutely private and personal. This is a dangerous state of affairs."

And there are others like my friend Jay Prakash Narayan, who said recently in Bombay:

"I want the people to do more and the Government to do less. There is need for decentralisation of power."

That is a sentiment with which I would agree. It is an approach, not of private enterprise vs. Socialism, but of considering how much the State can and should legitimately do and how much of the money that people are earning should be left in their pockets for them to spend or in the way they would like to participate in economic activity. It is in that light that I approach the taxation policy of this country and I hope that the distinction between socialist objectives and the methods of socialism will be better understood in certain quarters.

Now, what is the pattern of taxation in this country? The Planning Commission suggested a good starting point when the Second Plan was framed. The Planning Commission in the Second Plan proposed an additional tax revenue of Rs. 800 crores over the period of the Plan, Rs. 350 crores from increased yield at the existing rates of taxes and Rs. 450

crores from new taxes. This was considered by the Planning Commission three years ago to be the maximum possible taxation without material injury to the national economy. They themselves said that to go beyond this would be to hurt the country and its economy. Of this Rs. 450 crores, spread over five years, Rs. 225 crores was to be in the Union Government's sphere and Rs. 225 crores in the States' sphere—an average of Rs. 45 crores of additional taxation per year at the Centre. This was what they considered the safe limit.

Now, let us see what has happened since that limit was set. Shri Chintaman Deshmukh raised Rs. 30 crores of new taxation, and last year Shri T. T. Krishnamachari raised further new taxation of Rs. 90 crores, totalling Rs. 120 crores. And thus the safe limit of Rs. 45 crores of new taxation a year was well and truly passed. And this year, again, the additional taxation that was imposed last year is continued. Therefore, we are well beyond the Rs. 45 crores of new taxation per year which the Planning Commission themselves had considered to be adequate and safe.

The Planning Commission, when they made the Plan, could not be accused of timidity; they could not be accused of pegging their targets very low, whatever else one might think. And yet this was their limit. I am pointing out that that limit has been passed and we are well beyond the safe limit of taxation.

To look at it another way, a more superficial one perhaps, there are nineteen kinds of direct taxes known to be in existence in any part of the world. Of these nineteen, most countries use from six to ten. India has the distinction of using more of these taxes than any other country, namely, fifteen out of the nineteen known forms of taxation, all at the same time.

Now, one feels that this budget does not show an adequate recognition of these facts. I am aware that the

present Finance Minister took over the portfolio after the budget was framed and introduced, and so far as he is concerned this is accomplished fact. Nor can one legitimately and reasonably expect him to undo the budget half way through the session. So it is not a criticism of the present Finance Minister. It is, however, appeal to him with the fresh mind that he brings to this portfolio—and I feel that this portfolio could not be in safer and better hands it is for him to consider whether what has been done last year and what has been done this year really fit into the needs of this country and the safety of its economy.

What is the level of taxation? So far as taxes on individuals are concerned, on those in high income brackets they are the highest in the world or about the highest in the world. Many countries which use the same taxes as we do, like the Wealth Tax, have placed a ceiling on direct taxation. They have said that no one individual would be made to pay more than 75 or 80 per cent of his total income by way of taxes in a year. Professor Kaldor, whose name was used to justify the Wealth Tax and Expenditure Tax, had himself stipulated that 26 per cent of a man's assessable income should be left in his hands at the end of the year: in other words, that 74 per cent should be taken away and the rest should not be touched. But according to the present taxation in India, 100 per cent, and even 120 or 130 per cent, if a case arises, can be taken away. In other words, direct taxation can exceed his income and encroach into his past savings or capital.

Sweden, which has the highest level of taxation in many ways, prescribes a limit of 80 per cent—something beyond which you cannot go against one man in one year. Norway does the same. And in West Germany and Holland also, though in different ways, the ceiling is placed at 80 per cent. Among the amendments that

[Shri M. R. Masani]

I have tabled to the Finance Bill, there is one which tries to introduce the Swedish or the Norwegian pattern or the Kaldor pattern if you like to call it, by stipulating that the direct taxes imposed on one individual in a particular year should not exceed 80 per cent of his total income. I do hope the Finance Minister will give consideration to that, not because it is important for many people, but because in a way it symbolises a reasonable, moderate attitude towards this matter which has been lacking in the past years.

Corporate taxation, which is more important, because it involves investment and development of the country, is also in India above the highest in the world. The combined income, Wealth and dividend tax works out to between 51 and 56 per cent. This, again, is among the highest in the world. It is exceeded only by the U. K. where 57 per cent. is touched at the top. Sweden has 56 per cent., United States 51 per cent, West Germany 41 per cent. and Japan 39 per cent. So we are just next to the U.K., while not being in the position of industrial development which the U.K. has enjoyed for many years.

Similarly, indirect taxation through excise duties levied last year has been disproportionately high. Many of us opposed the excise duties last year. I remember we divided the House. But Parliament passed the excise duties. We bow to them. But the fact remains that all the taxes, direct and indirect, whether on the rich or on the poor, have exceeded the limit of safety.

The question arises whether the law of diminishing returns has set in. This is a matter of opinion. I would only quote from an article written by a Minister of the Government, Shri A. K. Sen, which was published in the Economic Journal of the A.I.C.C. on November 18, 1957. And what he says there applies today because no relief

in the taxation that prevailed in November 1957 has taken place or is proposed. This is what Shri A. K. Sen, a Minister of the Government, says there:

"The yield from Income-Tax indicates the operation of the law of diminishing returns. The structure of Income-tax is tending to reduce the incentive of the entrepreneur to work more and earn more."

Then he goes on to say:

"While the incidence of tax burden increased in India, there was a fall in the number of assessee, indicating that the law of diminishing returns has already set in. In other countries more taxes are paid where there are a larger number of assessee. Such trends indicate that in India the sources of personal income are drying up. And the policy of progressive increased taxation has not been yielding increased revenue. Government will not be able to realise increasing yield of taxes unless the income of the people expands at the same time at least proportionately."

In so far as indirect taxes are concerned. Mr. Sen comes to the same conclusion:

"As the total yield from Income-tax is falling, Government are depending more on taxes on consumption expenditure which would ultimately lead to a diminishing return from this source also."

Sir, I have cited this opinion because I do not suggest that anything that I might feel is of very much importance, but when a Minister of the Government has come to these conclusions, certainly his conclusions deserve careful examination and thought.

The effect on savings of all this is very direct. The fact that we were not able in the last two years to raise

the savings that were anticipated by the Planning Commission is on record. The fact that we do not propose to find them this year also has been conceded, up to a point though not adequately, by the Finance Minister's predecessor. The Study Group of the Planning Commission has dealt with this point directly in a publication called *The New India* where they say—here again I am quoting from the Study Group on the Planning Commission:

"The dilemma is that if Government takes too much in taxes and loans it will dry up money needed by Private enterprise for expansion."

This is the dilemma, and the facts have already shown that it is in the operation. It is, therefore, Sir, that I suggest that with this pattern of taxation which has gone beyond the needs of the case, a thorough re-examination from scratch, with a clean mind, with an open mind, is necessary, so that we may not be bound by the preconceptions of the past year or two.

Finally, there is a very important reason why the taxation structure needs to be re-examined and overhauled. And that is the increasing awareness in our country and in Government of the need for investment in this country of foreign equity capital. I am very glad that in recent weeks and days this awareness has been given expression to boldly and straightforwardly. But then, if we want foreign equity capital to come to India, have we done anything in this budget or are we proposing to do anything which will make the climate more acceptable for it? Last year, on 27th November, 1957, while opening a debate on the Finance Minister's visit to America and the Western countries, I had occasion to list half a dozen concrete detailed measures of a rather modest nature which would do something to attract foreign capital to this

country. It is not important what my suggestions were. But those suggestions were repeated, more or less in similar terms, by an important report commissioned by the Finance Ministry themselves from the National Council of Applied Economic Research in Delhi. And the report of the Council has been made available to Government and is before it for many months. That report makes many concrete suggestions for modifications which would help foreign capital to come to this country.

I am sorry to say that in this budget not one of the suggestions have been given effect to, not even the smallest or the most insignificant. On the contrary, there is one change in this Budget which I hope will be dropped as it moves exactly in the opposite direction from the recommendations of the Council of Applied Research and the recommendations of the Industrialists' Delegation to the United States and Europe contained in their report to Government last year. It was felt that the relief given to foreign personnel in this country was too restricted. Because it was restricted to technicians, managerial personnel, whom we may call technical in a certain sense and whom we also need unfortunately at this stage of our economic development, were ruled out from the benefits of certain concessions of current taxation. One would have thought that the technicians' definition would have been widened to include essential managerial personnel, but one is rather upset to find that the suggestion made in the Budget is that the definition of technicians should be further narrowed down, far from making it elastic to meet the existing cases which have been brought to the attention of the Government. Now less foreign technicians will be able to get these benefits than were able to get last year. This is very unfortunate way to meet suggestions made by a learned body whom Government had invited to make proposals in this behalf.

[Shri M. R. Masani]

I now come to a concrete point on which I would like to say, something, and that is the important issue of the development rebate. The history of the development rebate is that, since the end of World War II, there was a tremendous increase in the cost of plant and this increase in the cost of plant was accentuated by higher rates of taxation. This made it difficult for joint stock companies in the industrial field to set aside out of profits adequate sums for replacement or expansion of plant which they needed. Therefore, the Finance Act, 1955, gave a development rebate, i.e. an assessee installing new plant was given a special taxation allowance in respect of the year of installation. The quantum of this allowance was 25% and half of this, i.e., 12½%, was roughly the saving in taxation to the party putting up a new plant. This rebate was in respect of expansion that had already taken place and not for future expansion. The amount was available immediately for the repayment of debts or obligations undertaken in creating this plant.

This year, the Finance Bill had some amendments on this subject. I am very glad that the hon. Finance Minister in introducing this Bill in the House has been good enough to approach this problem with an open mind, and if I may say so, also the amendments that I had tabled before he made his speech. I would say that the amendments that he has introduced go half way, and I am very happy that he has done so. I think he has shown an understanding of the facts that were placed before him. But I wish that he would realise certain difficulties. I would mention certain difficulties that still remain so that he might even now consider whether further trimming of this proposal or provision is not possible.

The original proposal was that the whole of the development rebate should be debited to the profit and loss account. Now the hon. Finance

Minister has said that 75% or three-fourths may be so debited. This undoubtedly takes away some of the deterrent effect on investment which the original proposal would have had. If a company makes a small profit, say of Rs. 2 crores and their development rebate is Rs. 10 or Rs. 15 crores, then obviously if only three-fourths of the profit has to be debited in the first year, 25% remains for distribution of dividend. The shareholders of the company will not be penalised for going in for economic development in the same drastic way as if the Finance Bill had remained as it was originally introduced. So, some concession has been made. But even so the position today remains that a company which wants to go in for expansion if it goes to the shareholders and say: "We want to go in for so many crores of expansion. We shall get a development rebate but the price of the rebate will be that for two or three years you will not get any dividend". I think there are few groups of shareholders, however patriotic they might be, who will cheerfully agree to such a proposal. They will say: "Why should we be punished if the country needs expansion? Why should we be denied a dividend?"

When expansion takes place the normal functioning of the plant is affected, either it stops or the production goes down to half, one-third or one-fourth in the year when the plant is installed. In the very first year, one cannot afford to find these funds. I had tabled an amendment to the effect that only the tax saving should be put aside and debited to the profit and loss account. That would be fair. The Electricity Act, which deals with this matter, provides exactly this precedent in that only the tax saving element, i.e., 51½%, is to be set aside, that is, the money that the Government gives to the party cannot be distributed but the company's own money is not interfered with. I still feel, and with all respect suggest to

the hon. Minister, that the Electricity Act provides a very good and fair model and that 51½% or whatever be the tax saving element should be the amount which should be put aside, and not 75% which is well in excess of that amount. However, if the hon. Minister does not accept the suggestion, I will not press the amendment because I realise that he has partly met this difficulty.

But, one thing which does need amendment, and I would urge of the Finance Bill is that part of Clause 10 which says that for ten years after the installation of the plant cannot sell or transfer it to anyone except to the Government. This does not appear to me to flow from the amendments that the hon. Finance Minister has introduced. There are occasions such as amalgamation or the scrapping of obsolescent plant which may take place in less than ten years sometimes and the mortgaging of the plant which is a transfer in law, when it should be enough if Government's permission is obtained. What I am suggesting to the hon. Finance Minister is: Why be so rigid in demanding that the plant should be transferred to Government? Will you agree if, instead of transferring it to Government, it may either be transferred to Government or transferred to somebody else with the approval of the Government? Transfer with the approval of the Government would be perfectly adequate to stop any abuse or misuse of this concession. Buying plant every year and selling it would be abuse of the development rebate system. Taking it three or four times in ten years is not a practical proposal, but the amendment that I am suggesting i.e. "to Government or with the approval of Government" would meet this difficulty because Government would not approve of quick purchases and sales for the sake of making profit out of the development rebate. I do think in all sincerity that this amendment, which says that a private party cannot sell or transfer the plant to anyone, but only to Government or to any

other party with the approval of the Government, should be acceptable to the hon. Finance Minister.

Then I address one last enquiry to the hon. Finance Minister as to certain facts. When I spoke in the Budget Debate I had given certain figures in regard to deficit financing, from official records which appeared to be accurate. Those figures were that during 1956-57 there was deficit finance of the order of Rs. 216 crores. In 1957-58, it was Rs. 380 crores, and in 1958-59, according to the Government there will be deficit finance of Rs. 205 crores but according to me, because of shortfall in savings, there will be deficit finance of the order of Rs. 300 crores. So, I arrived at a total of Rs. 896 crores of deficit finance in the first three years of the Second Plan. Now, the hon. Finance Minister's predecessor in reply gave a total of Rs. 760 crores in the first three years of the Plan. He did not break it down year by year but in his speech, quoted on page 7380, he says that he expected a figure of Rs. 380 crores for 1957-58 and the figure for 1958-59 is in the budget, i.e., Rs. 205 crores. That leaves during 1956-57 a deficit finance of only Rs. 175 crores. I have never seen that figure quoted by Government or by the Planning body up to this day and I am puzzled as to where this figure comes from. Since that debate, the Hindustan Times in a well-informed article on 1st April, 1958, has given these figures. For 1956-57 it gives the figure as Rs. 250 crores, for 1957-58 as Rs. 400 crores instead of Rs. 380 crores, making it Rs. 650 crores in the first two years, contrary to the hon. Finance Minister's predecessor. It would be good if the country could know the official figures of deficit finance for the last two years and for the current year. When the hon. Finance Minister replies, I hope he will clarify this matter because I think the country has every right to know how much deficit finance has actually taken place in the

[Shri M. R. Masani]

last two years and what is proposed in the coming year.

Shri C. D. Pande: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is indeed rather fortunate for the hon. Finance Minister that he has the easiest time this year. Unlike former years, the Finance Bill is not encumbered by substantial amendments to such revenue acts, like the Excise Duty Act or the Income-tax Act. That is a good feature of this year's Finance Bill and we welcome it. I have to congratulate the Finance Minister on various things that he has done; from the time of assumption of office he has taken certain measures which have been welcomed by the industrialists of this country.

18 hrs.

Apart from these there are certain things which he has totally omitted to do. The first is the raising of the income-tax exemption limit. The Finance Minister, along with the Prime Minister, made emphatic statements that there is no room for raising the exemption limit. In spite of their emphasis on this point, I still maintain that there is a case for raising the income-tax limit in the lower level.

Two years ago it was Rs. 4,200. Things are much worse than they were two years ago. Life is more costly today than it was two years back. It is said that people have to know that the Plan is on, that they have to contribute their mite for the success of the Plan. May I ask: are they not contributing a great deal by way of excise duties? Everything that they purchase, everything that they consume is paid for and a certain part of it goes to Government in the shape of excise duties. The high cost of living itself is enough indication of the fact that people are aware of the Plan. Therefore, to tax them further is not justified.

All of us know that the Pay Commission, as an interim measure, has recommended Rs. 5 per month to

every employee who gets less than Rs. 300 per month, the amount being adjusted in the case of those drawing between Rs. 250 to Rs. 300. If there is a case for giving them a relief of Rs. 5 per month, is it logical that you should ask them to pay Rs. 2 per month. This is absurd. Government should reconsider this matter. Either the decision to give them additional relief of Rs. 5 per month is wrong, or the Government decision to lower the exemption limit. If the category requires a relief of Rs. 5 per month, the amount they are asked to pay by way of income-tax is a great deal. Therefore, this exemption limit should, in my opinion, be definitely raised to Rs. 4,200, because life is costlier and there is no case made out for lowering the limit.

The Finance Minister and the Prime Minister were emphatic last year and the House kept quiet. But I want to raise this point that this question should be reopened and the limit should be raised to Rs. 4,200. It is said that in England the limit is much lower than this. First of all, I question this statement, because even in England it varies from £300 to £450, the old age limit being £450 and for unmarried persons £300. Those who are aware of the social conditions obtaining in England know that in England life is quite different. Social life is well organised; it is cheaper to live in England with a certain standard of living. If you want to live in a high standard, it is cheaper to live in England, with the same amount of money, than it is here. There are so many things provided by the State: medical aid, cheap transport etc, etc. It is much cheaper to travel in London than in Delhi. Therefore, comparison with foreign countries is not on all fours.

Apart from this English social life is quite different. Have you ever seen a single dependant in an English family? No girl, or no boy who

is more than eighteen is allowed to stay in the parent's house. A girl as soon as she reaches the age of eighteen has to pay for her boarding and lodging in her own father's house. Here there are nephews and nieces, parents and grandparents, father's relations and mother's relations all to be provided, and you cannot ignore the responsibility. Therefore, to quote the English system that because there is a limit of £300 it should be followed in this country, is absolutely wrong. I hope that the Finance Minister, in spite of the emphatic remark of the Prime Minister will reconsider this matter and raise the limit to Rs. 4,200, if not more.

This is only for raising the limit of income-tax. But there are more fundamental things which are expected from the Finance Minister. He has to lay proper emphasis on the economic policy of this country. Of course, we all know that we have made clear our economic policy. It is a policy based on mixed economy. This has been reiterated in various resolutions from time to time. We do stand by it, but a proper emphasis has to be laid on it. There are, for example, three categories of industries in this country. Apart from the Railways and Posts and Telegraphs, there are the iron and steel, electricity, coal and many other industries of this type. In this sphere we want that the State should zealously guard its interests and make the sphere so prosperous and well-managed that the people should say that the private sector should emulate the public sector, and take lessons from it.

Then there is the second category of industries. If Government thinks it convenient, it can take those industries. If the private sector has the capacity to run them, they may do so. It is a mixed category. Then there is the third category which is wholly left to the private sector. Again and again it is mentioned in this House as well as outside that

the private sector is being given certain benefits at the cost of the public sector. I think it is a wrong notion to believe that there is any difference between the State sector and the Public sector, because in this country it is all national sector. Who does not know that even the private sector, if it is well managed, and provided the taxes are properly collected, would pay 50 per cent. of the profits to Government? Thus every textile mill, or jute mill or tea garden belongs more to Government than to the shareholders, provided you realise the taxes properly. My hon. friend Shri Ranga knows that under the Corporation Tax 51 per cent. of the profits of limited companies to Government; so that if a company earns Rs. 2 crores as profits, one crore belongs to Government and the other crore goes to the shareholders. Even on that Rs. 1 crore which goes to the shareholders they have to pay income-tax, to the extent of 80 per cent. or more as Shri Masani suggested a few minutes ago.

I do agree that severe measures should be taken to realise the taxes from persons from whom they are due and there should be no leniency shown as far as collection of taxes is concerned. Having decided that the industries will be managed on a certain principle, and having apportioned the spheres as between the public and the private sectors carping criticisms and complaints that the private sector is encroaching on the public sector is not justified. The State is too big and too powerful to harbour any grudge against the private sector. The private sector belongs to Government and very existence is dependent on the pleasure of the Government. Therefore it is the duty of the Government to see that both prosper.

Apart from this I have got another pet theory. You are curtailing the consumption of consumer goods. Consumer goods are being produced

[Shri C. D. Pande]

in lesser quantity, comparatively speaking, than they should be. My opinion is that the Plans will last for many years. You can ask the people to curtail their luxuries; to be austere to make savings for some time. Our First Plan lasted for five years; the second plan will last for five years; then we may have the third and the fourth Plans. You cannot expect people to practise austerity the whole of their life. It is very nice, it is very encouraging that in this country we are establishing big steel factories, big fertiliser plants, heavy water plants, big locomotive works. We are proud of them. If we go on doing this and side by side we do not produce goods consumed by the people, that would be an unbalanced economy. What is the aim of production by all these big plants? The ultimate aim is consumer goods. You must produce food, you must produce cloth, you must build houses and other social amenities. Or, there is no use for all these steel plants and big plants. For example, this year and for the last two or three years, there is a tendency to slow down the progress in the textile industry.

Shri Ranga: It is no selling. We have got stocks.

Shri C. D. Pande: I am coming to that. It is said that stocks are lying. My complaint is not that stocks are lying. My complaint is that the capacity to purchase has not gone up correspondingly with the progress of the Plan. You say that the national income has gone up and the people are paying a bigger amount as taxes. The aim of the second Plan was that the people should be in a position to consume 18.5 yards per capita. Today, we have not even reached 16.6. There is no possibility of realising that figure. The progress is so slow between 1956 and 1957 and I do not see that even in 1958, we will reach that figure. The Second Plan is coming to a close after two or three years. We do not expect that we will reach that limit

of 18.5 yards per capita, by 1960. Therefore, my apprehension is that we are not laying the proper emphasis on consumer goods. Of course, there is some increase in cement. Even in cement, the progress is slowed down. It is 5½ million tons. It may be 6 or 7 million tons. I doubt if it would be 14 or 15 million tons by 1961. Therefore, proper emphasis has to be laid on the production of consumer goods also.

I am really afraid that Government have not done anything and that they have kept almost absolutely quiet on the biggest problem facing this country, that is the problem of unemployment. There are questions now and then that the Members ask the Government as to what is the number of unemployed persons. But, I say, the problem has not been tackled as a major problem of this country. We should give a concrete shape to the solution as you are doing for the Plan. You are making a plan and then you are taking one step after another step. No visible step has been taken with regard to the tackling of the unemployment situation. This is a major problem of the country and the Government should come forward with definite measures to eliminate unemployment situation. This is a major problem of the country and the Government should come forward with definite measures to eliminate unemployment at least among the educated people.

My third point is, we think that because we have found out the very nice formula of deferred payment, our problems are solved. This is an erroneous idea. After all, what is deferred payment? It is accommodation by a bank or by a firm or foreign country for a certain number of years, 3 or 4 or 5 years. In my opinion, 1961 will be the most difficult year. We think that because payment is deferred till 1961, everything is all right. The psychological

trend is like this. It is quite all right that we get over the present difficulties. But, it is only putting off the difficulty by 2 or 3 years. The real substitute for deferred payment is that we should invite, encourage foreign capital. As we are sending deputations to foreign countries and asking them to lend us money, in the same manner, we should invite foreign capital to come into this country. A suitable climate should be created in this country. In my opinion, foreign capital in this country is much preferable to a loan of that very size. Let me give an illustration. When we get a loan of Rs. 100 crores, and pay interest at 5½ per cent, in six years, we would have paid Rs. 63 crores both by way of capital and interest. If Rs. 100 crores were invested in industries either in collaboration with the Government or with private capital, you only pay a reasonable dividend of 6 or 7 or 8 per cent. After payment of taxes, I do not think there are many companies which make more than 8 per cent. You only pay 6 or 8 per cent as dividend. The sum of Rs. 100 crores that they have spent will be assimilated in the economy of the country. We have seen that in the last 50 or 60 years, the Britishers or other people invested crores and millions of rupees in this country. They have left this country. They take away the dividend. Our country is richer today and the canals, etc. and all the plants that you see in the country are there because the foreigners have invested money and the industries are there. Therefore, there should be a systematic campaign to encourage foreign capital in this country. We should not be ashamed. We should not think that because the Americans are investing money in this country, because the Germans are investing money in this country; we are being bound down or that foreign capital is invading this country. This mentality should go. Our Finance Minister is in a position to tell this House that we are not ashamed of this. We are not afraid of this fore-

ign capital coming into this country. We are not afraid that a loan from Russia or America is in any way binding us hand and foot. As it is not doing so, in the same manner, any capital coming from other countries and being invested in this country will not bind us down. These are the main things on which we should lay the proper emphasis.

Shri Naushir Bharucha said that there are men who think that the Plan is too ambitious. Some people say that it is not ambitious. It is a perfect plan and we are in a position to fulfil the plan. Our country has shown a remarkable capacity to pay taxes. Who could imagine five years ago that we could raise Rs. 1000 crores a year apart from the regular budget. Every year we are spending Rs. 1000 crores and it looks as if we are doing nothing. I do not agree with the people who say that you cannot raise Rs. 4800 crores and that your Plan is too ambitious and so it has to be curtailed. In the two years, we have already spent Rs. 1500 crores. We expect to spend Rs. 1000 crores this year. The progress and the momentum will grow and we will be in a position to spend the balance of Rs. 2300 crores. I am confident we will have the resources because the world is satisfied with our sincerity to develop things. Our solvency, our honour is so great and we will get money from all parts of the world without strings. There should be no occasion for apprehension that our resources are short. Our people are imbued with the idea of helping our industries and therefore they are paying money, which we could never have expected. No Finance Minister in the past would have expected that this country will be willing to pay Rs. 1000 crores every year. Even if a loan is taken from other countries, it is a payment by this country. Therefore, when I say Rs. 1000 crores, I include the loans which will also be a contribution from the country. That much of taxation the country can bear. If you can show results, the people will pay cheerfully.

[Shri C. D. Pande]

One set of people say, you are falling short of expenditure, you are not able to spend. The same set of people say, your resources are too small. We are correct in one thing. We are falling short of expenditure. If that is so, we should be glad because our resources are not expanding as we spend. My idea is, it is better to spend less, but spend carefully. I want again to emphasise one thing. There is room for saving in all departments of the Government. There is room to save at least 5 per cent. Be it Bhakra-Nangal, or Bhilai or Durgapur, a five per cent saving can be done anyway. There are many things to which Shri Tyagi referred in his Budget speech. There are many things in which a greater percentage of economy can be effected. Even if we put it at five per cent throughout, since we are spending almost Rs. 1800 crores a year, we can save Rs. 80 crores; and Rs. 80 crores every year is not a small sum. Everybody admits that there is room for a saving of at least five per cent. Therefore, economy should be our watchword. But we should not mind spending where its full benefit is being derived by the people and by Government.

In conclusion, I congratulate the Finance Minister for his good luck in having a clear and perhaps the easiest passage for his Finance Bill this year. At the same time, his task is difficult because he has to give a new shape and a new life to our economy, and he has to enthuse the people that things are sound, that we are not going down and that we are not crumbling. He has to create that prestige and confidence in the people. I hope that as he has robust commonsense he has also got the strength of power and strength of conviction and, therefore, he will succeed.

Dr. P. Subbarayan (Tiruchengode): I am only going to deal

with the cess on cotton cloth with which my part of the country is concerned. Fortunately, the Commerce and Industry Minister has announced a rebate of a further anna, making it to two annas on a yard, to handloom cloth. It is welcome, but it has been given only for two months. I do not think this will help to clear the handloom goods we have on hand in the Madras State, where the handloom weaver is very prominent. This concession must at least last till the end of the year.

There is another aspect of this question which, I hope my hon. friend the Finance Minister will consider, and that is this. We must not forget that most of the mills in Coimbatore, Madurai and Tuticorin etc. are all spinning mills, and they depend for the sale of their yarn on the handloom weaver. Therefore, the question goes round in a vicious circle. If the handloom cloth is not sold, the handloom weaver does not buy the yarn; and if the yarn is not bought by the handloom weaver, the yarn is left in the hands of the spinning mills. The result has been that the Coimbatore mills have announced 25 per cent reduction in production for the present, because there is no market for their yarn. Therefore, unless something is done in the matter of getting rid of this yarn in sale to the handloom weaver, we shall be in the position we are in now, in spite of the rebate that has been given by the Commerce and Industry Minister. This is a point which I hope my hon. friend the Finance Minister will consider while levying the cess on cotton cloth. The reduced cess, no doubt, is a good thing because a lot of cloth has been left in the hands of the mills, and so, they have got to find a market for it; I hope that this reduction will find the market they need.

I would like to make one other suggestion for the consideration of the Minister, and that is this. Instead of levying a cess on a yard of

clothes I wish it were levied ad valorem for the simple reason that it will help the user of the coarse and medium cloth. For, after all, a man who is able to pay Rs. 35 for superfine cloth need not consider paying a little more by way of cess, whereas a man who buys a dhoti which is of 20 to 40 counts cannot afford to pay on the yard a cess ad valorem would work out better for the relief of the buyer of such cloth.

I would also like to mention that what is given as rebate would go only to the co-operative societies, but more than sixty to seventy per cent of the weavers do not belong to co-operative societies, and, therefore, their cloth is left in their hands, because of no rebate being given. No doubt, we may ask them to belong to co-operative societies. I am all in favour of that. But, at the same time, we must allow for what is happening in the country. Most of these people are unwilling to belong to co-operative societies, but still they produce the cloth, and it has to be sold. If no rebate is given to such cloth, they are not able to sell it in competition with those who belong to co-operative societies.

I would, therefore, request the Finance Minister to consider the question, and also the Commerce and Industry Minister to pay a little attention to this, because if it is their desire and their will that the handloom cloth should be sold, and the stock that is in the hands of the handloom weaver should be cleared, then it is up to them to find a remedy for such cloth being cleared; and the only way it can be cleared is by giving the rebate to all handloom weavers and not merely to the weavers who belong to co-operative societies.

So, there is the case of the spinning mills being left with their yarn on hand and also the question of the handloom weaver being left with a lot of cloth on his hand. Therefore, I would request the Finance Minister to consider this problem in all its aspects.

13.27 hrs.

[Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

I would also like to mention for the consideration of the Finance Minister that we should stop importing of yarn. Superfine yarn, I believe, is being imported now. But I know that mills in the south, in Madurai as well as Coimbatore, produce up to one hundred counts, and this can be utilised in the mills which weave the superfine cloth; they could buy from the mills in the south and use it in the weaving areas.

Shri Morarji Desai: On a point of information. Mills are not importing superfine yarn from outside; they are producing their yarn. It is the handloom weavers who want this superfine yarn. It is not obtainable here, and we are trying to produce it.

Dr. P. Subbarayan: I am glad to be corrected in this matter, because I was given the impression that yarn was being imported. Therefore, I would like to plead that it is not the handloom weaver who wants this superfine yarn...

Shri Morarji Desai: He does want it.

Dr. P. Subbarayan: To a certain extent, he does, no doubt, but he can get all he needs in the south, as they are producing even one hundred counts in the south. I know it from experience.

I would like that some amount of relief be given to the handloom weaver by the rebate being continued longer than the two months' period that has been allowed at least till the end of the year so that he will get some relief to sell his cloth, because I do not think that two months would suffice to clear off the stocks, as I mentioned before. Therefore, I would like the Finance Minister to consider this aspect of the question.

श्री शूभ्रमहाला (भागलपुर) : उपायक महोदय, मेरी इच्छा प्लैन पर बोलने की थी, परम्परा उस दिन बीमारी के कारण

[विधायक संसदीय कानून]

नहीं आ सका। मैं जब यह देखता हूँ कि किस तरह हमारे देश में कर पर कर लगाये गये हैं और करों से जो आमदानी होती है उसको किस तरह सर्व किया जाता है तो मुझे अकसंतों के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि हमारी जो एकोनामी है वह बड़े सारांश रास्ते पर चल रही है। मैं बताता हूँ कि अगर हम सन् १९५६-५७ से कम्पेनर करें तो सन् १९५७-५८ में हम को १८० करोड़ रु. मिला। इस में से हमने जो प्लैन पर सर्व किया है, जिससे हमको प्रोडक्शन मिलता है और हमारे देश की एकोनामी की तरफकी होती है, वह कुल ५ या ६ करोड़ रु. है। बाकी का जितना सर्व किया गया है वह सारी की सारी रकम इधर उधर में सर्व कर दी गई है। सन् १९५७-५८ में हमारी प्लैन पर रेवेन्यू से सर्व किया गया ६१.५ करोड़ रु. और सन् १९५६-५७ में सर्व किया गया या ५६ करोड़ रु.। इसके माने हैं कि करीब ५.५ करोड़ रु. हमारे प्लैन के प्रोडक्टिव कारों में ज्यादा सर्व किया गया। बाकी का सारा ५० हमारे सर्व में चला गया है। इसी तरह हमारा काम चलेगा तो हम नहीं कह सकते कि किस तरह हम अपनी एकोनामी को सुधार सकेंगे।

अब सर्व की हालत में बताता हूँ। सन् १९५५-५६ में हमारे रेवेन्यूज से ४११.४७ करोड़ रुपये की इनकम हुई, सन् १९५७-५८ में ५५७.६० करोड़ रुपये की इनकम हुई और १९५६-५७ में ५७२.३४ करोड़ का प्रस्टिटेट किया जाता है। अब इधर हमारी बढ़ोत्ती कुछ हुई है लेकिन यह बहुत कम हुई है करीब १५० करोड़ के हुई है और इधर टोटल एक्सपेंडिचर में हमारा जर्मा सन् १९५१-५२ में ३८१.४० करोड़ और १९५६-५७ में ७१२.०४ करोड़ रुपया हो गया है और हमारी इनकम १०० करोड़ लोकी हो गयी है। मैं बिल मंत्री महोदय के

प्रश्ना जाहांगी कि आपका किस तरह से काम चलेगा? आप को टैक्स लोगों से लेते हैं उसका क्या रेट है? वह हमारे दूसरे देशों की अपेक्षा कम नहीं है बल्कि बहुत से देशों में अधिक रेट है। जो भी कोई एक नई चीज़ हमारे यहाँ देखी जाती है वह हमारे यहाँ इस दी जाती है परन्तु हम उसका अवहार करें करे यह नहीं जानते हैं। आप जले ही इससे भी ज्यादा टैक्स लगा दें परन्तु उसके लिए की ओर भी आपको देखना चाहिये कि जो टैक्स देने वाले हैं जिन लोगों से हम टैक्स लेते हैं वह लोग उनके पास रुपया रहने से वे उस रुपये का किस तरीके से अवहार करते हैं और किस तरीके से वह प्रोडक्शन करते हैं, उसकी ओर भी आप देलें। एक और आप ऐसे लोगों से रुपया लीज़ लेते हैं जो लोग कि आपके यहाँ प्रोडक्शन करते हैं और आपके एकोनामी को बढ़ाते हैं और वह रकम ले कर के आप वहों सर्व में बढ़ाद करते हैं तो इससे तो एकोनामी बढ़ेगी नहीं। इससे तो हमारे देश की उन्नति नहीं बदलती होती जा रही है।

मैंने अखबार में पढ़ा था कि हमारे बिल मंत्री महोदय बड़े आशावादी हैं और उनका यह ख्याल है कि हमारे देश की एकोनामी सारांश नहीं हो रही है और अच्छी है। मैं कुछ भी यही जाहांता हूँ और सदा इधर से यही प्रार्थना करता हूँ कि उनकी बात सफली-भूत हो परन्तु जब मैं उन आंकड़ों को देखता हूँ तो मुझे यह समझ में नहीं आता कि किस तरीके से हमारे देश की तरफकी होगी। हमारे भाई भी अशोक मेहता ने इन सब बातों को बहुत अच्छी तरह से आप लोगों को समझाया है और बतलाया है कि किस तरीके से हमारी एकोनामी सारांश हो रही है और गढ़वाल हो रही है। अब देखिये हमारे गरीब युवक देश में विजय हासि में स्थानीयता आपकी है जहाँ मैं १३०० करोड़

समय का अन्न विवेदों से अंगदाया है। जब हम अन्न का प्रोडक्शन देखते हैं और जो तरीके देखते हैं कि किस तरीके से वह पैदा किया जाता है और अन्न प्रोड्यूस करने वालों को क्या मात्र मिलता है तो आप देखेंगे कि यह चीज़ जो चल रही है वह दूसरी चीजों के भाव की अपेक्षा कोई बहुत अच्छे तरीके से नहीं चल रही है और बहुत ही खराब तरीके से चल रही है।

मब में आपको एक बात बतलाना चाहता हूँ। हमारे यहां करीब ३८ करोड़ एकड़ जमीन कलिट्वेट की जा रही है जिसमें से कि ५० लाख एकड़ जमीन ट्रैक्टर्स आदि से कलिट्वेट होती है यानी करीब १ परसेंट जमीन ट्रैक्टर्स आदि से बोई जाती है जाकी हमारी जितनी भी जमीन है वह सारी बैल आदि साथियों से बोई जाती है। परन्तु आप उन चीजों की अवस्था को देखिये, उन बैलों और एनीमल्स की अवस्था को देखिये। उनके लिलाने के लिये हमारे पास आरा नहीं है। उनकी ओर हमारी सरकार विलकुल ध्यान नहीं देती है। वह समझती है कि यह चीज़ ऐसी है जो पही रह जायेगी। हम लोग तो ट्रैक्टर्स आदि से ही सारा काम निकाल लेंगे। जो हमारी ६६ परसेंट जमीन बैलों आदि से जोती जाती है उनकी तरक्की के लिये आप कुछ भी नहीं करते हैं। यदि किस तरीके से हमारी आंग यह एकोनामी बढ़ेगी और किस तरीके से हमारी अन्न की पैदावार में बढ़ोत्तरी होगी? आप १३०० करोड़ रुपये का अनाज जो बाहर के देशों से भेंगते हैं और हर साल भेंगाने का प्रोडायम बनाते हैं, यदि हम बाहर से अन्न न भेंगा कर अपने ही देश में काफी निकार्डर में अन्न उपजायें और उसके लिये यहां पर अच्छे तरीके से इंतजाम करें तो हम बाहर के फ़ारेन एक्सचेंज को बचा सकते हैं। बार बार इस बात को कहा जाता है परन्तु हमको सरकार की ओर से कोई सन्तोषजनक उत्तर नहीं मिलता है कि किस तरह से यह चीज़ की आय। यदि जो हमारी जमीन

बैल आदि से जोती जाती है वह बहुत अच्छी तरह कलिट्वेट नहीं होती है। उनके पास जैसा मैंने पहले भी कहा था कि सब चीज़ भुहैया नहीं है, न उनके पास पैदा है न उनके पास बीज है और न उनके पास इन्जेशन की सुविधा ही प्राप्त है। बार बार पेपर में निकलता है और यह बात कही जाती है कि अन्न की पैदावार बड़ाना बहुत ज़रूरी है। देश में अन्न की पैदावार बड़ाना सब में ज़रूरी काम है। लेकिन मुझे लोद के साथ यह चीज़ कहनी पड़ती है कि अन्न की पैदावार किस तरह से बढ़ाई जा सकती है और उसके लिये किन्तु किन चीजों की व्यवस्था करनी चाहिये, उस और हम ध्यान ही नहीं देते हैं। उन लोगों की क्या क्या आवश्यकताएँ हैं, उनकी क्या क्या ज़रूरयात हैं उनको हम लोग पूरा नहीं करते हैं। हम लोग केवल इसी बहस में लग जाते हैं कि कोआपरेटिव्स होनी चाहिये या बिना कोआपरेटिव्स के यह काम होना चाहिये या किस तरीके से होना चाहिये। हम केवल ऑरेटिकल डिस्केन्म में लग जाते हैं। और जो लोग अन्न पैदा कर सकते हैं उनकी ओर आप लोगों की नज़र नहीं जाती है कि वह किस तरह से पैदावार को बड़ा सकते हैं। उनकी क्या कमी है और उनकी क्या ज़रूरयात है उन सब ज़रूरयात को आप लोग पूरा नहीं करते हैं और इबर कहते हैं कि अन्न की उपज बढ़नी चाहिये। यह भी कहते हैं कि जब तक हमारी अन्न की उपज नहीं बढ़ेगी तब तक हमारी एकोनामी नहीं सुधरेगी। आप वह बात कहते तो हैं लेकिन मैं पूछना चाहता हूँ कि आप अन्य इंडस्ट्रीज में रिवेट देते हैं और अन्य रियायतें देते हैं लेकिन हमारे खेतिहार जाइयों को न पानी में रिवेट मिलता है, न पावर में रिवेट मिलता है और न ही बीज आदि चीजों में उनको कोई रिवेट अवधा रियायत मिलती है जिससे कि उनको अन्न की पैदावार बड़ाने में प्रोत्तर होन मिले। कर्ज़ों भी सही आदवियों को नहीं दिया जाता है। ऐसे आदमी को कर्ज़ों नहीं दिया जाता है जो कि ज्ञान और बूँदूक

[र्षी शुभनवाला]

कर सकता है और जो उसका अच्छी तरह से अवाहर करके आपको बेसी उपय करके दे सकता है । कर्वा लोज करके और छाट करके ऐसे आदमी को दिया जाता है जो कि छाँड़े के लिये गवर्नरेंट को सिवपुरिटी दे । छाँड़ा देते समय वह नहीं देखा जाता है कि हम जिसे यह कर्जा दे रहे हैं वह उस काम में उसको लगायेगा भी कि नहीं और वह उस इपये का ठीक तौर से इस्तीमाल करेगा कि नहीं । सरकार की तरफ से कर्जे के लिये रुपये देने में कोई कमी नहीं है लेकिन जैसा मैंने पहले कहा सही आदमी को जो कि उस रुपये को उसी लंती बाड़ी के काम में लगाये उसको न दे कर गलत शर्स को दिया जाता है जो कि उस रुपये को दूसरे काम में ले आता है । ऐसे आदमियों को रुपया दिया जाता है । जो आदमी उस रुपये को काम में लगा सकता है और उससे उपज बढ़ा सकता है उसको वह रुपया नहीं दिया जाता । जो बड़े बड़े हंडस्ट्रियलिस्ट हैं और जो बड़े बड़े लोग हैं उनको रुपया मिलने में इतनी दिक्कत नहीं होती । वह सीधे दिल्ली आते हैं, बात-चीत करते हैं और उनको रुपया मिल जाता है । मैं अपने अनुभव से कहता हूँ कि यदि बेकारे किसान को सौ रुपया लोन लेना होता है और उसको लेने में उसका पचास रुपया तो कर्वा हो जाता है और उसको उसके लिये इधर उधर आने में बढ़ा तरह उठाना पड़ता है । उसकी इस दिक्कत की ओर कोई भी व्यापार नहीं देता । उसको अपना काम छोड़ कर रुपया लेने जाना होता है । वह देखता है कि उसके बदले में उसको कुछ नहीं मिलता । इसलिये वह हताश हो कर अपने घर पर बैठ जाता है । तो मैं सरकार को पूछूँगा कि वह बतलाये कि वह इसके लिये क्या उपाय कर रही है । बहुत बार इसके बारे में बहस हुई है । हमारे जो कृपि मंत्री हैं वह यह कह देते हैं और वही सहलियत से कह देते हैं, कायद वह कानून पढ़े होंगे, कि यह हमारी किम्बेवारी नहीं है, यह तो स्टेट गवर्नरेंट की

किम्बेवारी है । हम बातें हैं कि यह स्टेट गवर्नरेंट की किम्बेवारी है । परन्तु रुपया तो आप देते हैं । आप स्टेट गवर्नरेंट से पूछिये कि आप जो रुपया हम से लेते हैं उसका अवाहर किस तरह से करते हैं, उसके बाले में आप-हमको देते क्या हैं । आप हमारी उपज बढ़ाते हैं या नहीं । यदि आप हमारी उपज नहीं बढ़ाते हैं तो हम आपको रुपया नहीं देंगे । यदि आप इस तरह से नहीं करेंगे तो कैसे काम चलेगा ?

यह बड़ी कुशी की बात है कि हमारे बतावान वित भंडी महोदय काम करने में बहुत ही दिक्कत हैं । अगर वह एक बात को नम्रता लेते हैं कि यह ठीक है तो उसके ऊपर उतारू ही जाने हैं, किसी न किसी तरह से उसको काम में लाकर वह देखते हैं कि वह अफलीभूत ही और सफलीभूत करना उनका ध्येय सा रहा है । अगर वह एक बात को पकड़ लेने हैं तो उसके पीछे पड़ जाते हैं । मैं आर बार उनको यह मुझाव देना चाहता हूँ कि जब तक आप उन लोगों को सहलियत नहीं देंगे जो कि वास्तव में आपकी उपज को बढ़ा सकते हैं, तब तक आपकी इकानमी नहीं उठ सकती । उन लोगों की दिक्कतों की तरफ हमारा काफी ध्यान नहीं रहता और हम बाहर से गल्ला भंगा लेते हैं । अगवान की कृपा से हमारी बाहर साल है, हमारे प्रधान मंत्री जी की सब जगह इन्जिन है, इसलिये अगर हम चाहते हैं तो हमको बाहर से गल्ला मिल जाता है । परन्तु यदि हम इस सहलियत का दुरुपयोग करेंगे तो उसका नतीजा अच्छा नहीं होगा । हमको इसका दुरुपयोग करना चाहिये । अगर हमको बाहर से गल्ला मिल सकता है तो हम इस बात का ध्यान रखें कि हम उसको केवल उसी समय में कि जब हमको बहुत आवश्यकता हो । पर हमारा ध्येय यही होना चाहिये कि इन जीजों को हम अपने यहां ही उपजायें ।

हमारे यहां दूध की कमी की एक बड़ी समस्या है। शायद हमारे वित्त मंत्री जी इस पर सोचते होंगे। हमारे यहां बाहर से विद्युत पाउडर आता है। लेकिन हमारे यहां सन् १९५१-५२ से वे तो वै पॉवर करोड़ मत्र प्रति वर्ष दूध की कमी हो गई है। तो मैं वित्त मंत्री जी से पूछूँगा कि क्या यह दूरी हालत नहीं है। आप सोचें कि दूध की जो आवश्यकी होती है वह किन लोगों की होती है और किन लोगों का इससे ऐट भरता है, किन लोगों को इससे रोकगार मिलता है। मैं उनसे कहूँगा कि वह इसके प्रति ध्यान दें। इसके लिये कोई प्रेक्टिकल स्कीम बनावें। मैं जानता हूँ कि इसमें विकल्प बहुत हैं, बहुत से आवश्यकी से काम लेना है, परन्तु यदि ऊपर से हम लोग अच्छा उदाहरण पेश करें और प्रयत्न करें कि जो काम होना है वह सहृदयत से हो, तो नीचे बाले भी उमी नीति का अनुसरण करेंगे।

बब आप देखें कि हमारे यहां कम्प्युनिटी प्रायोक्ट्स हैं। जैसा कि मैंने आपको बतलाया इस पर लक्ष्य बहुत होता है। लेकिन वह लक्ष्य बास चीज़ के लिये नहीं होता है। मैंहां साहब की रिपोर्ट में बतलाया गया है कि जो भी लक्ष्य होता है वह उन लोगों की ऐसेनीटिंज़ के लिये लक्ष्य होता है। मैं भी एक गांव में गया था वहां कम्प्युनिटी प्रायोक्ट है। गांव के एक किलारे ही मैंने जा कर देखा कि केवल एक घर में सेटिक पालाना बना दिया गया है। लेकिन वहां पर न पानी का ब्रावन्च है और न किसी और चीज़ का प्रबन्ध है। यह चीज़ लोगों को सुनाने के लिये की जाती है कि वेलो तुम्हारे यहां यह चीज़ भी हो रही है। लेकिन मैंने देखा कि सारा गांव गंदा पड़ा हुआ था। मैंने मुखिया से विलना चाहा और पूछना चाहा कि यहां की क्या हालत है तो मालूम हुआ कि मुखिया साहब अपना बाल ले कर मिल पर कुटवाने के लिये गये हैं। मैं दो एक बड़ों में और गया और पूछा कि तुम्हारी क्या हालत

है। लोगों ने मुझे बतलाया कि उनको उस दिन कोई रोकगार नहीं मिला था, परन्तु नहीं था कि शायद को आना मिलेगा या नहीं। उन्होंने बतलाया कि पहले तो हम आन कूटते थे लेकिन अब हमारे मुखिया अपने आन कुटवाने के लिये मिल पर ले जाते हैं। तो इन चीजों की यहां पर बात नहीं होती है। यहां पर तो भारी भारी प्रोजेक्ट्स पर ध्यान दिया जाता है। यहां पर लोग सोचते हैं कि यह इतने इतने भारी काम है, कौन खोदी खोटी बातों पर ध्यान दे। तो यह हमारी हालत है कि गांव बालों के बारे में सोचते हैं कि उनका काम तो स्टेट गवर्नरेट करेगी और वह लोग करते नहीं। मैं अपने वित्त मंत्री यहोदय से कहूँगा और प्रार्थना करूँगा कि वह उस पर ध्यान दें। जब तक हमारे गांव बालों को काम नहीं मिलेगा और वह जो काम करते हैं उसमें उनको उत्साह नहीं दिया जायेगा, उनको जिन चीजों की आवश्यकता है वे जीजें मुहम्मा नहीं की जायेंगी तो उनकी जो आज खराब हालत है वह और भी खराब होती जायेगी और जब तक प्रभ का प्रोबलम हल नहीं होगा तब तक आपकी इकानमी नहीं सुधरेगी।

हमारी सरकार जो नीति बता रही है वह हमारी समझ में नहीं आई। लेंड पालिसी क्या हो, कोआपरेशन आदि हैं; बारे में हम यहां पर डिसक्रेन करते हैं लेकिन असली चीज़ को हम एकदम भूल रहे हैं। इसलिये मैं प्रान्त में वित्त मंत्री यहोदय से कहूँगा कि आप इस तरफ ध्यान दें ताकि हमारे देश की उत्तिहास हो वेल ऊपर ऊपर की चीजों को ही देख कर उनमें न रह जायें।

Shri Bimal Ghose (Barackpore): In the course of his reply to the debate on the Demands for the Finance Ministry, the Finance Minister had stated that he would deal with the position on the resources for the Plan. But, unfortunately, in actual fact, he had not done so. I, therefore, make no apologies to revert to this very important question.

[Shri Bimal Ghose]

You may remember that when the Plan was formulated, it was anticipated that the crisis will come in the third year of the Plan. In point of fact, the crisis supervened much earlier and, as we are entering the third year, there seems to be a feeling that the crisis is over. The question is, is it really over? That feeling, I believe, was generated because of the fact that we have got promises of very large external finance only recently. I want to examine this question from the point of view of both external and internal resources.

In so far as external resources are concerned, I had intervened in the debate on the Commerce and Industry Ministry's Demands and had given my suggestions then. Therefore, I make a few bold propositions now.

Firstly, although we might be obtaining external finance, the question really is to make our balance of payments balanced in the normal way. I should like the hon. Finance Minister to tell us as to what are the obligations that he has already undertaken in so far as the Third Plan is concerned and how he now visualises that he would be able to pay or meet those obligations. I should think that our obligations would amount to about Rs. 100 crores a year only by way of interest and repayment of principal.

At one time the idea was that we shall be able to export steel and thereby meet our obligations. But, in view of the larger internal demands and the present position of world recession, I should like to know if the hon. Finance Minister still feels that he will be able to sell steel in sufficient quantities to make our balance of payments improve.

The second point is that in view of the fact that we have to depend to a very large extent on external assistance which, usually, is tied to specific projects, the shape and size of the Plan have become distorted. Because the assistance is for specific purposes we have had to proceed with schemes

which probably in the beginning we had not included in the Plan...

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I must now repeat the request that has already been made once, twice and thrice to the hon. Members. The hon. Speaker has requested the hon. Members that they should not come to the Chair. That makes the position of the Presiding Officer embarrassing. The same purpose can be served if they send chits. I repeat that request, I hope hon. Members would observe it.

Shri Bimal Ghose: The third proposition is this. The foreign assistance has also entailed rupee expenditure and that is probably one of the reasons why rupee expenditure is increasing.

I should also like to have some information as to the quantum of foreign assistance that we may be receiving and the estimate of our requirements. When the Plan was formulated we estimated it would be Rs. 1100 crores. It appears from a Finance Ministry Publication, *External Assistance*, published in July 1957, that there was a total authorisation, up to July 1957, of about Rs. 783 crores out of which about Rs. 213 crores had been utilised in the First Plan period. The balance available for the Second Plan is about Rs. 570 crores as on July 1957. At the same time, we have run down our Sterling securities by another Rs. 400 crores. Thus, the foreign exchange available is about Rs. 970 crores. The Finance Minister has stated that in the year 1958-59, he expects about Rs. 325 crores so that the total comes to about Rs. 1300 crores, whereas our original requirements were estimated at Rs. 1100 crores. Why are we always in this crisis about foreign exchange even though the anticipation in the Plan has been more than fulfilled because if by the end of the third year of the Second Plan we get Rs. 320 crores, we should have had foreign exchange of about Rs. 1300 crores. Therefore, that point also requires explanation.

Then, I got on to internal resources. I do not want to spend much time on external resources about which I have already spoken. Now, the internal resources can be examined under three heads: taxation, savings and deficit financing. It was assumed in the Plan that over a period of five years, an amount of Rs. 350 crores would be available from the revenues of the Central and the State Governments out of the Rs. 5,000 crores that they would be able to raise. In addition Rs. 450 crores had to be raised by additional taxation. There was a gap of Rs. 400 crores. If we assume that Rs. 5,000 crores was to be raised and if we add Rs. 450 crores by way of additional taxation and also another Rs. 400 crores which forms a gap, the total comes to Rs. 5,850 crores. I would like to add another Rs. 150 crores to this figure which is the amount which the Centre will have to hand over to the States as a result of the Finance Commission's recommendations. Then the total is Rs. 6,000 crores. In the first three years, the revenues of the Centre and the States have amounted to Rs. 1100 crores, Rs. 1300 crores, Rs. 1400 crores—totalling to Rs. 3800 crores. If the revenues are of the same dimensions—they will certainly increase i.e. at Rs. 1400 crores a year, in the next two years, we will get Rs. 2800 crores. Then the total revenues in the five years would come to Rs. 6600 crores as against the requirement of Rs. 6,000 crores which was the assumed figure in respect of the Second Plan, taking into account the gap of Rs. 400 crores and the amounts that the Centre may have to transfer to the States as a result of the recommendations of the Finance Commission. Then the question is: why this stringency about internal resources? The rise of prices does not come in since we are talking of financial targets and not physical targets.

The difficulty might have arisen from two counts: the increase in defence expenditure and the increase in non-plan expenditure. I should

like to know what these amounts are. What are the figures for non-planned development and non-development expenditure? I hope the Finance Minister will not just say that it does not matter so long as expenditure incurred is on development schemes, because the essence of the Plan is that we fix certain priorities from among desirable objectives. If it is said now that there has been some development expenditure which was not in the plan but which was good, then the basis of the Plan is wanting and there is no sense in having a Plan. So, I want to know as to where we are going wrong.

In this connection, there are two things that require examination. One is the effort made by the States. It has always been less than expected. Even during the First Plan period, the States raised only 35 per cent of the resources that they were expected to raise. In the figures I have quoted I have deducted from the State revenues the assistance which the States get from the Centre so that the same figure may not be counted twice. I should like to know whether there is any machinery to find out whether the States really carry out the commitments they make. The States are becoming increasingly, dependent upon the Union's revenues. Almost to the extent of 50 per cent, they are depending upon transfer of funds from the Centre and it is certainly not a very happy situation.

I also want to know whether the Government is examining the recommendations of the Finance Commission about the anomalous position of the Finance Commission and the Planning Commission going over the same subject, namely, the assessment of the requirements of the State. That anomaly should be removed. I want to know whether the Central Government has taken any decision on that matter.

With regard to taxation, let us again examine it from the point of view of direct and indirect taxation. I do not

[**Shri Bimal Ghose**]

think that there is any scope for very much further increase in either direct or indirect taxation. But at the same time, I do not think that Shri Masani has made out a case that there should be any concession given to the direct tax payers. There are two main reasons. One is that we know that there is a large amount of tax evasion. As a matter of fact, one financial journal has stated that the evasion is gargantuan—gigantic—evasion.... (Interruptions).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Some extraordinary word is used to express an extra-ordinary situation.

Shri Bimal Ghose: I quoted from the financial journal; it is not my word.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am not objecting to it.

Shri Bimal Ghose: The estimate of evasion may be Rs. 30 or Rs. 40 crores as the Department has stated or it may be something more, Rs. 60 or Rs. 70 crores as the former Finance Minister, Shri T. T. K. stated or it may be Rs. 200 to Rs. 300 crores as Prof. Kaldor mentioned. The fact remains that there is a large evasion. All the new taxes that we have levied, the Expenditure Tax, the Gift Tax, the Estate Duty, etc. will not bring in more than Rs. 20 crores a year. So, there is no justification yet for a reduction in the rate of income-tax. As and when we are able to rope in more income by getting the loop-holes plugged and checking evasion, only then a case may arise for the revision of the rate of income-tax.

The second ground is that we find that the Government draft on private income in regard to direct taxation has decreased from 2.8 per cent in 1948-49 to 2.5 per cent in 1954-55 as will be found in the national income statistics, whereas Government draft on private income in regard to indirect taxation has increased from 4.1 to 5.3 over the same period from 1948-49 to 1952-53. Therefore, I do not think a

case has been made out for any reduction in direct taxation.

14 hrs.

Because of this incidence on indirect taxation, I believe there is a case for raising the exemption limit, for which my friend Shri Pande had pleaded. If you take the excises, the per capita incidence of central excises is about Rs. 8, and if you add the customs duty, and State excises on commodities and services the incidence will come to about Rs. 15 per head, which is not a very small figure. Therefore, I think that there is a case for raising the exemption limit on small incomes. Today, the exemption limit is Rs. 3000, and for people with two children it is Rs. 3600. I should plead with the hon. Finance Minister that he may raise it, if not to Rs. 4200, at least to Rs. 3600 as a general case.

The next point I want to take up is savings and, first I should like to have an explanation on a discrepancy which I find in two official publications. It is stated on page 347 of the Explanatory Memorandum that for the first two years—1956-57 and 1957-58—the net borrowings have been about Rs. 146 crores—Rs. 78 crores in 1956-57 and Rs. 68 crores in 1957-58. I find from the Economic Survey, on page 20, that the net public loans is stated as Rs. 213 crores. I do not know what this discrepancy is for, why at one place it is Rs. 213 crores whereas at another place I find that it is Rs. 146 crores. But there is no denying the fact that both public borrowings and savings have gone down and are not likely to reach the figure of Rs. 140 crores a year in one case and Rs. 100 crores a year in the other case.

In so far as small savings is concerned, my friend, Shri Masani stated, while speaking on the Budget that this fall in small savings means an 'anti-Plan vote on the part of the people', that it shows that they do not favour the Plan; because, that is why they are not contributing to small savings.

But I am not quite sure of that. Could it not be, as it appears to me to be possible, that this fall in small savings is due to the fact that the interest rates paid are very low? The structure of interest rate has changed in this country; even on call loans it has varied from 3 to 3½ per cent to 4 to 4½ per cent. In that context a rise in the rate of interest allowed to small savings by half a per cent, from 4 per cent to 4½ per cent, may not be enough and, therefore, I should plead that the rate of interest on small savings should be increased.

The argument that is put forward that there should be a reduction in direct taxation if savings is to be increased does not appear to me to be reasonable, because if we take the middle-class people as sources of savings, then, certainly, the factor that you have to take into account is not direct taxation so much as inflation. Even if you reduce taxation, savings will not increase in the present context if there is this rise in prices going on. Therefore, I am not now in favour of reducing direct taxation as a means for raising savings. I should plead for an increase in the rate of interest.

Another suggestion I should like to make is that Government may consider the possibility of introducing compulsory deposit reserves over a certain income at a progressive rate on which an interest will be paid, but which will be lower than what is paid on small savings. It would have two effects, if it would be possible. One is, we shall have more funds and, secondly, it would have an anti-inflationary effect.

The next point is with regard to deficit financing. And, in regard to deficit financing, we want to know what the Government's present thinking on this matter is. Shri T. T. Krishnamachari stated that we should not resort to deficit financing in excess of Rs. 900 crores, although the figure provided in the Plan was Rs. 1200 crores. The former Finan-

Minister in the course of his reply to the Budget debate stated:

"My predecessor in the Finance Ministry told Parliament last year that we should not go beyond Rs. 900 crores. We cannot fix any rigid limit about it, but we have to be careful."

What is the Government's present thinking about the limit to which they think they can safely go? Is it Rs. 900 crores, or Rs. 1200 crores or more than Rs. 1200 crores? The Finance Minister may take consolation from the fact that prices have not been rising and, therefore, we might probably indulge in a certain amount of deficit financing more than what we have done so far. But there is one factor which was not present in former years, but which is present now. And, that is this. Both in 1956-57 and 1957-58 there was a huge import surplus which has a deflationary effect. In 1956-57 it was Rs. 400 crores, I believe, and in the first eight months of 1957-58 it was about Rs. 225 crores. This cushion will not be available to the same extent in 1958-59. Therefore, we have to proceed much more cautiously and warily. I am not quite sure if we can resort to deficit financing to the extent of Rs. 1200 crores, the figures provided for in the Plan. But, at the same time, I should like to know as to what the Government thinks is the safe limit up to which they can resort to deficit financing without having, of course, bad effects on the economy as a whole.

I said, Sir, that the resources position, as we will see, both internal and external, is really not very safe. It is serious, although the assumptions in the Plan both in regard to internal and external resources have been more than fulfilled—that might appear paradoxical, but that is a fact, namely, in respect of what we had assumed in the Plan should be raised in regard to foreign exchange resources or internal resources by way of taxation. We have not been able to reach the target

[Shri Bimal Ghose]

with regard to small savings and borrowings, but with regard to other things we have more than fulfilled the assumptions. But still the position remains very serious, and unless something is done to raise the resources or to cut down non-Plan expenditure very severely, the prospect is not very bright.

I have given, Sir, certain suggestions for increasing our resources both external and internal. I would like to say this before I finish. It does not appear to me to be quite honest to pour ridicule on the head of Shri Masani and proclaim the inviolability of the Plan, and then proceed quietly to shear it off layer by layer, first by declaring that we shall only adhere to the financial targets and not the physical targets, and then by saying that we shall only save the core of the Plan and let the axe fall heavily all the time on social services and certain types of social overheads.

Shri Ranga (Tenali): Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am certainly in agreement with those friends who have pleaded for raising the exemption limit for income tax. It is easy to say that the standard of living has gone up, the incomes of these people are going up and, therefore, the limit need not be raised. But, after all, Sir, there is not much scope for assumptions or estimates. Government knows the incomes of these people—most of these people—more or less accurately, and it should be possible for them to see whether those incomes have gone up. A great majority of these people happen to be salaried employees. Their salaries have not been pushed up so glaringly or so greatly. Therefore, there is a very strong case, indeed, for raising this exemption limit. I do not know whether it would be possible for the Government here and now to do it, but I think there is justification for Government to give some thought to this consideration.

Secondly, I am one of those who have begun to feel that the tax bur-

dens on those people who are below the limit of income-tax payment have gone rather too far and have gone too high. The burden of excise duties has been growing very rapidly, and the incidence of it has been estimated varyingly from Rs. 10 to Rs. 14 or even at Rs. 15 to Rs. 16 also, per head. Whether our people are capable of bearing such high burdens by way of excise duties is a matter that deserves very careful consideration.

That brings me to the suggestion made by my hon. Friend Shri C. D. Pande when he said that the taxation structure deserves to be re-examined in the light of what has been happening during these two Plan periods. We have to think also of the sources of income of the States. I do not wish to say anything against prohibition as such. Nor do I wish that it should be withdrawn from where it has already been imposed. But I would like the Governments at the State level, and at the same time to the extent that the Government of India can possibly give any advice to the State Governments, to be rather cautious about its extension in those areas where it has not been enforced. Because, after all, this is just not the time when we can afford to give up such a huge source of income, although the purpose for which we want prohibition is very praiseworthy.

Some people have been lightheartedly, as I felt, suggesting the reimposition of the salt-tax. I am very much opposed to it. As I have said, the burden of excises has already grown so high, and I do not think it is fairer towards the general masses of our country that this additional impost should also be exhumed and then imposed upon them, because, who knows once it comes to be reimposed again, the incidence of it would not come to be increased year after year and in an inordinate manner?

There is very great room indeed for exercising economy. I am glad my hon. Friend the Finance Minister has assured us the other day that they would certainly do their best to bring about such economy as far as possible. One suggestion was made by Shri C. D. Pande that they should impose a kind of five per cent cut on all those various demands that are being made by Government. Whether you make it five or ten, Government should begin to think seriously about the need for economy as they have been anxious to increase the expenditure in different directions.

Again, we have also got to develop this new trend. Hitherto, of late the trend has been that the Government itself should be taking the initiative in spending more and more money, in helping the people to develop in various directions. That was not the case in the past, but that has come to be our latest trend. But I would like them to think of giving a push to a new trend: expecting our people to help themselves, giving them every possible assistance in order to help themselves, from the bottom upwards, that is, from the villages, from the *mohullas*, from the towns. Many of the things that our people need are capable of being achieved by the people themselves provided that are given the necessary leadership, the necessary incentives and also encouragement by the popular leaders and their followers as well as the Governmental officials and all those who are associated with them by way of advisory committees and so on. Unless we develop this spirit of self-help, self-reliance and local initiative, in order to achieve constructive projects in all levels, the village level, and the *mohulla* level, it would not be possible for the Government even with all the hundreds of crores of rupees that are being placed at their disposal and with the loans and other things that we are taking from abroad, to give any kind of noticeable satisfaction to the masses of our country.

I know some real effort is being made in this direction through the Community Development schemes,

but that does not go far enough. Much more effort has got to be made and put in, and in this we would need the co-operation of all political parties, of all sections of our people, social as well as economic. And it is there that I welcome the suggestion made by some of our hon. Friends from the opposition also, that Government should try to invite the co-operation of every section. But then there are ways of inviting the co-operation of every section. It is quite possible that we can come to have a National Coalition Government at all levels. If that is not possible, at least we should be able to have an all-embracing Congress Government, because we know only too well in too many places that the Congress Governments themselves are confined to certain groups only within the Congress. That is not satisfactory.

Thirdly, there have been very many complaints made that at so many local levels also, representation is being given only to one party or to one group and so on like that. I would like that in all those advisory committees that are associated at different levels of administration, due representation is given to all political parties. Coming to the top here, as well as at the State level, I think it is high time we reincarnated or exhumed our old advisory committees—the standing advisory committees—that used to be associated with every Ministry and almost with every department. In those days, when the British were here, so many of us had the privilege of being associated with those Committees, and in spite of the fact that the Government used to be a bureaucratic government, we used to have the satisfaction of having made very constructive and substantial contribution to the making of policies in various directions so far as administration and so far as the various planned schemes were concerned, and when they came up for discussion.

Now, it is most unfortunate that the particular mechanism has been given up now for various reasons during the past seven to eight years. I would like that mechanism to be taken up

[Shri Ranga]

again. Supposing, for example, there were to be associated with my hon. Friend the Finance Minister a standing finance committee on which there would be represented the various political parties and their representatives, certainly it would be possible for him to convince them of the need why such and such things are being proposed by the Government and why such and such things are possible and such and such things are not possible. It should also be possible for the various representatives of the different political parties also to have the satisfaction that their views are being considered and given effect to to a very great extent and so they would also be able to feel one with the plans and the decisions that the Government comes to make from time to time.

We are now going on increasing the salaries and dearness allowance and various other privileges that are demanded by our salaried employees and the Government feels itself obliged to grant them. I do not begrudge it. The House itself has agreed to the appointment of the Pay Commission. But that is all the more reason why Government should take care to see not to increase the number of State employees to such an extent, but to come to depend upon honorary workers in different parts of the country only offering them out-of-pocket expenses and at the same time expecting from them national service. In olden days we used to have the patel and the patwari. They were not assured of any of these dearness allowance and increment and all the rest of it. They were given only a kind of spot payment from year to year. It was the same from generation to generation. They served the country more or less not too unsatisfactorily anyhow. I do not mean to say that the salaries should not be raised. But there must be something in that scheme and that sort of thing ought to be experimented not by payment of salaries as such, but more in the direction of honoraria

and meeting the out-of-pocket or actual expenses.

Then there is the question of income-tax and super-tax rates of last year. I agree with the hon. Finance Minister in what he said the other day. But then there also this is a question of seeing to it that our agriculturists are given the necessary incentive. A question was posed the other day by my hon. friend Shri Asoka Mehta whether we will be willing to persuade our peasants, to supplement the efforts of the Government, to part with the portion of the additional price that has to be paid for what they produce for all their different crops.

I wish to say that there is a kind of precedent established already in the past in the case of sugarcane growers. In U.P. and Bihar there used to be a system by which from out of the additional price that was paid for sugarcane, a particular percentage of it was kept aside and put into a special fund for research and also for reimbursing them whenever the prices went down rather too low. I would like to make a suggestion that if Government really were to be keen upon achieving near self-sufficiency in food, the best thing, the proper thing and the just thing they ought to do is not to fight shy, as they have been doing, of paying remunerative prices, but to agree to pay more. Supposing they are paying now Rs. 20 per bag of two maunds today, if they agree to pay another Rs. 2, Rs. 5 or Rs. 6 whatever it might be, according to the calculations they make for reaching a remunerative level of prices, then from out of that, 25 per cent can be put in what is called postal savings certificate or small savings or whatever it is. In that way, Government would be able to assure for themselves large enough additional resources for their own planned economy and at the same time, they would also be preventing any inflationary trends to come very much into evidence.

Particularly they would be giving such incentives for additional production on the part of our peasants that

they would make a substantial contribution indeed towards the solution of what has been for a very long time an insoluble problem of agricultural production, especially food production.

I am also in favour of a suggestion made by my hon. friend, Shri Ghose, that the rate of interest on small savings should be raised. It should be raised substantially. When co-operatives are willing to lend money to our own agriculturists at interest of anywhere from 6 to 9 per cent or even 12 per cent, when people in the villages are capable of lending money to their own neighbours at 6 to 12 per cent and sometimes even 15 per cent interest, would it not be reasonable for Government to come forward and say to all the small-holders, small traders, small business people in our country and the middle-class people of salaried employees, "We are prepared to pay you 6 per cent; you lend your money to the Government." I want Government to take such dynamic steps in order to increase the resources to be placed at the disposal of our Government.

Lastly, I would like to say that sometime ago, some important people made the suggestion that the Prime Minister should resign from the prime ministership and take charge of the party organisation and so on. Some other friends have said that all other democratic parties also should be asked to come and join the Congress and let them make a kind of united front.

Shri Bimal Ghose: Is not the Communist Party also a democratic party?

Shri Ranga: I do not wish to go into very great detail. But one thing that I want the Prime Minister certainly to do, as he happens to belong not only to the Congress Party, but to the whole of the nation, including opposition parties, is to divest himself of many of these less important responsibilities that he has laid on himself. I am sure it is not necessary for the Prime Minister himself to have to come to the House and move those demands for the atomic energy depart-

ment or scientific research and so on. Even in regard to foreign affairs, now that he has achieved this tremendous prestige and status for the whole of the country, it would be a splendid thing indeed for him to find another colleague of his own to take charge of that work, just when his reputation as the most successful Foreign Minister is at its highest. It is always the good fortune of any great statesman in any part of the world to know when to take up a particular responsibility and when to give it up. Mahatma Gandhi had that genius and he was able to retire from the Congress organisation as an organisational person in 1934, but nevertheless he continued to be at the head of Congress consultations right to the day of his death. So also, I think it is time that the Prime Minister should be satisfied to be the most effective Prime Minister for us all and to be able to have an over-all supervisory and directory power for the whole of the Central Government as well as the State Governments. In that way, he can give even more effective leadership to our country and also find more satisfaction for himself in the work he will have to discharge. Otherwise, I am afraid the country would be losing very heavily, if that sense of staleness, as he said, were to grow upon him. We would not like it to grow upon his mind. We want him to be just as fresh and come to us just as fresh and with just as much fragrance as, his own red rose which we all admire every day.

बी. राम शरण (मुरादाबाद) : वित्त विभाग या काइबोर्ड बिल, जिस तरह का प्रियंका वर्ष या उसी तरह का कुछ सुधारों के साथ इस वर्ष भी ऐसा किया जाया है। कुछ माननीय सदस्यों ने कम से कम जितनी धारा पर कर लगाता है, उसको ३,००० से बढ़ा कर ४,००० या ४,२०० पर जैसी कि पहले भी, पर कर लगावे जाने का आवश्यक किया है। इस के सम्बन्ध में वे यह कहते थे कि इस समय इस तिमिट को बढ़ावा दी बरा कठिन यात्रा होता है। लेकिन वे यात्रा का इस तरफ ध्यान दिलाना चाहते

[श्री राम शरण]

हूँ कि जो तीन हजार पर आयकर लगता है उसका मतलब यह है कि जिसकी आमदनी डाई सी रुपया माहजार होती है, उसको ही कर देना पड़ता है और जिस की इसी कम होती है उस पर कोई कर नहीं लगता है। इसके साथ ही साथ यह भी व्यवस्था की गई है कि जिन लोगों के बच्चे हैं उनको कुछ छूट दी जाय और उनको ३,६०० पर कर देना पड़ेगा उससे नीचे की रकम पर नहीं। पिछले वर्ष यहां पर यह कहा गया था कि इससे कम जिन व्यक्तियों की आमदनी है, उनके साथ किसी भी प्रकार की जोर जबर्दस्ती नहीं होनी चाहिये और वित्त मंत्री जी ने भी यह कहा था कि उनके साथ ऐसा नहीं होगा। लेकिन पिछले वर्ष के अनुभव से पता चलता है कि उन लोगों के ऊपर जिन की आमदनी डाई सौ या दो सौ से भी कम है, टैक्स लगाया जा रहा है और उनके पास इस तरह के अक्सर नोटिस भेज दिये गये हैं जिससे वे लोग बड़ी परेशानी में फँस गये हैं। जिन पर टैक्स नहीं लगना चाहिये उन पर भी इस तरह से लग रहा है। जो मेरा हल्का है, वहां पर बहुत सारा बरतनों का व्यापार होता है और वहां पर इस धंधे में चालीस पचास हजार व्यक्ति लगे हुये हैं। उनमें से भी जो साधारण कार्य करते वाले हैं, जो साधारण बरतन बनाने वाले हैं, उनके पास भी बिना किसी भेदभाव के इंडिस्क्रिप्टिनेटली नोटिस भेज दिये गये हैं। वे लोग यहां वित्त मंत्रालय के पास भी पहुंचे हैं, जो हमारा यहां कामसं एंड इंडस्ट्री का मंत्रालय है, उसके पास भी वे पहुंचे हैं। और साथ ही साथ जो उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार है उसके पास भी वे लोग पहुंचे हैं, लेकिन अभी तक कहीं भी उनकी सुनवाई नहीं हुई है और न उनको किसी प्रकार का एशोरेंट ही दिया गया है। मुझे मालूम हुआ है कि उड़ोने केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास भी तथा उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार के पास भी इस

तरह का नोटिस भेजा है कि जो बिना सोचे बिनारे उनके पास इस तरह के इनकम-टैक्स की डिमांड के नोटिस भेज दिये गये हैं उनको बापिरा लिया जाना चाहिये, बर्ता, साथ दी उत्तरोने यह भी तय किया है कि वे १७ मई से अपना साथ बन्द कर देंगे। जब काम बन्द करने तक की नौबत आ जाय और वह भी केवल इस कारण से कि उनके पास वित्त मंत्रालय के द्वारा या उसके अधिकारियों के द्वारा बिना सोचे बिनारे साधारण से साधारण व्यक्ति से भी आयकर वसूल करने के नोटिस भेजे जायें और आय कर वसूल करने का प्रयत्न किया जाय तो यह चीज़ हमारे लिये और खास तौर पर सरकार के लिये सोचने की हो जाती है कि क्या जो हम कर रहे हैं ठीक कर रहे हैं या नहीं। यह व्यवसाय ऐसा है जो कि लाखों का नहीं बल्कि करोड़ों का विदेशी विनियम उपार्जित करता है। इसलिये मैं वित्त मंत्री जी का ध्यान इस ओर आकर्षित करना चाहता हूँ कि उन लोगों से जिन की आय तीन हजार से कम है और कम से कम जिस आय पर आयकर लगता है, यदि उसकी सीमा को नहीं बढ़ाया जा सकता है, तो कम से कम उन लोगों से जिन की इससे कम आय है, उनसे तो यह कर वसूल न किया जाय और उन लोगों को जो डिमांड है उस पर अवश्य ध्यान दिया जाय।

इसी सम्बन्ध में दूसरी बात जो मुझे कहनी है वह यह है कि आज आयकर तीन हजार या इससे ऊपर जिन की आमदनी है उन पर ही लगता है। इसमें एक भेद यह किया गया है कि अविवाहितों के लिये तो एक हजार की छूट दी गई है और जो विवाहित हैं उनके लिये तीन हजार की छूट होती है और इसके बाद.....

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): It will give incentive to get married.

बी राज भारत : अविवाहितों को एक नियम की छूट के बाद, अगर उसकी दीन हिंदू वासी है, तो वो हिंदू के अपर कर देना पड़ता है और जो विवाहित हैं उनके लिये पहले एक हिंदू की छूट की ओर अब वो हिंदू की ओर बढ़ करके तीन हिंदू तक की छूट कर दी गई है। यह जो अन्तर है यह आगे तक चलता है जहाँ तक कभी आमदनी वाले व्यक्तियों का सम्बन्ध है मैं एक सुनाव देना चाहता हूँ जो कि वित्त अंतरालय के कायदे का भी होगा। इससे साधारण आमदनी वाले लोगों को भी कुछ राहत मिल जाएगी। दोनों को जो आप छूट देते हैं यह एक सी होगी जाहिये और उसके बाद विवाहितों और अविवाहितों के लिये जो परमेंट कर की है, यानी उन पर जो तीन परमेंट लगाई जाती है, अविवाहितों के लिये उसको बार परमेंट कर दिया जाय और इस प्रकार से योग्यता के अनुसार जैसे जैसे हिस्सी की आमदनी बढ़ती जाये, जैसे जैसे उस पर कर की मात्रा को भी आप बढ़ाते जायें। इससे एक साथ यह होगा कि जो कभी आमदनी वाले जाते हैं उनको कुछ बोझी सी राहत मिल जाएगी और बोझता के अनुसार—जैसे जैसे उसकी आमदनी बढ़ेगी जैसे जैसे उसके अपर अधिक कर, जैसे परमेंट में भेद बढ़ा जाये, जगता चला जाये।

आज, ये देश की अधिक विभिन्नता के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहता हूँ। देश की विकास योग्यताओं को कार्यान्वयित करने के लिये एक सो आप जग लेते हैं, दूसरे आपने जोड़ी बधाते ही जोग्यताओं को कुछ किया है और तीसरे आपके लैंबिस्ट बैंक के डिपायिट्स होते हैं। इन तीनों को देखने से पता चलता है कि आप वर्ष में कुल ३८ करोड़ रुपया और बत्तीर रुपये के मात्र कुपा है और इसमें से तीन करोड़ रुपया देश है जो कि दीन आमदनी जी जोड़ी अधिक के लिये ही था। और आमदनी अपर के रूप में आप हैं।

वे केवल ३८ करोड़ के ही तह जाते हैं। जोड़ी बधातों में यह विचार किया गया था कि १०० करोड़ रुपया हर साल हम को मिलेगा लेकिन देखने से पता चलता है कि चालू वर्ष में हमें केवल ३७ करोड़ रुपये ही प्राप्त हुये हैं। इसी प्रकार से लैंबिस्ट बैंक में पिछले दो सालों में किंवद्दि प्रकार की बढ़ती होने के बजाय कभी ही होमी जीड़ी गई है। सब और कमियां होने का कारण तो यह लक्ष्य को प्राप्त न कर सकने का कारण यही हो सकता है कि कीमतों में बढ़ि होने के कारण लोगों की क्रय प्रक्रिया कम हो गई है और क्रय-प्रक्रिया कम होने की बजाए से वे कम बचा पाये हैं। इस प्रकार हमारी अर्थात् स्थिति बजाय सुधरने के देश मालूम होता है कि, खरब होनी चल जा रही है। एक तरफ तो लैंबिस्ट की यह हालत है और दूसरी तरफ हमारा जो सर्वांगी है वह बढ़ता चला जा रहा है। हमने कर तो अधिक से अधिक लगा रखे हैं, दुनिया के जो दूसरे देश हैं और जिन्होंने अधिक से अधिक कर लगा रखे हैं, उनकी की तरह से हमारे देश में भी कर लगे हैं। और दूसरी तरफ व्यापत करने की यह हालत है जो मैं भी बयान कर रखा हूँ। इस स्थिति में से निकलने के भी उपाय हो सकते हैं कि या तो इस विदेशी देश से या फिर डिफिसिट फाइंडिंग की सहायता से जैसा कि हम दो बर्जों से लेते था रहे हैं। पिछले दो बर्जों में हमने १०० करोड़ से ऊपर—६०० करोड़ के करीब—डिफिसिट फाइंडिंग किया। सरकार का १२०० करोड़ तक का डिफिसिट फाइंडिंग दूसरे प्लान में करने का विचार है। लेकिन जैसा कि कुछ आर्नेन्यू सदस्यों ने कहा है और इस और आपका व्याप भी दिलाया है अगर इसको हम बढ़ाते जाएं गये तथा कंजों के वियाद पूरी ही नहीं हो इसमें अधिक नहीं बढ़ा सकते। इस तक अपने जी जायेगे।

[बी राम चरण]

पितुना हम चाहते थे उतना हमसो नहीं भिजा है। आखिरी तरीका डिफिलिट काइनेंसिंग का है और यदि हमने इसकी वारंग तो तो स्थिति सुधरेगी नहीं, कीमतें बढ़ जायेगी और उससे स्थिति में सुधार होने के बायाय बिगड़ ही अधिक होगा। इसलिये अच्छा तो यही तरीका है कि लार्ज को बढ़ाने का प्रयत्न किया जाये और केवल वही लार्ज किया जाये जो जरूरी है। एक माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि पांच परसेंट या बस परसेंट एक दम इसको बढ़ा दिया जाये। इस तरह से आर्डिनेशनी इसको बढ़ाना तो ठीक नहीं होगा लेकिन जैसे कि आपने हाई पावर कमटी प्लांट प्राईवेट्स के बारे में बनाई है और नान-प्लान्ट प्राईवेट्स के बारे में काइनेंस डिपार्टमेंट में एक रिपोर्ट आईजेशन कमटी है, उसी तरह से लार्ज कम करने के लिये अगर ज्यादा से ज्यादा कोशिश की जाये, तो अच्छा होगा। अभी तक मुझे पता नहीं है कि इसके द्वारा कितना लार्ज कम हुआ है लेकिन अधिक से अधिक प्रयत्न लार्ज को कम करने के अवश्य किये जाने चाहियें। यदि लार्ज को कम नहीं किया गया तो जो हमारी आर्थिक विकास की योजनायें चल रही हैं या दूसरी योजनायें चल रही हैं, उनको पूरा करने में आपको सफलता नहीं मिलेगी और हमारी आर्थिक दशा भी नहीं सुधर सकेगी। इसलिये इस तरफ ज्यादा ज्यान देने की आवश्यकता भालूम होती है।

एक बात जिस की तरफ में खाल तौर पर ज्यान दिलाना चाहता हूँ, यह है कि गवर्नरमेंट के जो निम्न विभिन्न विभाग हैं, जब तक उन में अच्छी तरह से कोआर्डिनेशन अर्थात् सहयोग नहीं होगा तब तक न प्लैनिंग ठीक तरह से चल सकती है और न हमारी योजनायें ठीक से चल सकती हैं जो कि हमारे देश में चल रही हैं। इसी तरह से हमारे कम्प्यूनिटी प्रोजेक्ट विभाग के सम्बन्ध में भी कहा जाता है और यहाँ भी कहा गया है कि

बहुत सारे विभागों में आपस में सहयोग नहीं है। लेकिन यही तक कम्प्यूनिटी प्रोजेक्ट का सम्बन्ध है, यह सूची की बात है कि वहाँ यदि एक कमेंटी बन गई है जो कि एक तरफ लाली कमिशन से, दूसरी तरफ जो कम्प्यूनिटी प्रोजेक्ट मंत्रालय है उस से और तीसरी तरफ जो उद्योग विभाग का मंत्रालय है उस से सम्बन्ध रखेगी और उन सब की मिला कर जब वह काम करेगी तब सारा कार्य ठीक तरह से हो सकेगा, जब अगर हर विभाग अलाहुदा अलाहुदा कार्य करेंगे तो न तो सब का सहयोग मिल सकेगा और न कार्य ही ठीक से चल सकेगा, जिस प्रकार से कम्प्यूनिटी प्रोजेक्ट्स के सम्बन्ध इस प्रकार की कमटी बना कर और तीन विभागों को मिला कर उन के सहयोग में काम चलाने का प्रयत्न किया जाय तो उन के आपस के सहयोग से काम ठीक तरह से चल सकता है। कम्प्यूनिटी प्रोजेक्ट्स के सम्बन्ध में यह देखने में आता है कि सब से नीचे काम करने वाला ग्राम सेवक है, उसके ही पास गांव की जो आर्थिक समस्यायें हैं या दूसरी दिक्षिण आती हैं। लेकिन यदि उसका सम्बन्ध वहाँ के रेवन्यू विभाग से अच्छा न हो तो हालांकि सारों जांचें उसके पास आती हैं, लेकिन विकासीयों को दूर न कर सकने के कारण उस का कोई असर नहीं पड़ता है और एक बड़ी कमी यह या जाती है कि उस के प्रति लोगों में अच्छा उत्पन्न हो जाती है। इस बास्ते यह अच्छी है कि वहाँ पर उस का सम्बन्ध ग्राम लोगों से हो। पर यदि तक ग्राम सेवक का सम्बन्ध वहाँ के रेवन्यू विभाग से नहीं होगा, उस के साथ सम्बन्ध और सहयोग नहीं होगा तब तक गांवों में उस के काम करने का जो बंग है वह सकल नहीं होगा।

यहाँ तक आर्थिक नीति का संबंध है यह सब से महत्व का बंग है कि हमारी

आर्थिक नीति की होनी चाहिये । इसके विषय में माननीय सदस्यों ने उपर्युक्त अपने विचार प्रकार किये हैं । हमारी आर्थिक नीति विभिन्न नीति कहलाती है । मिश्रित आर्थिक नीति का मतलब यह है कि एक तरफ तो बड़े बड़े उद्योग भी चलते हैं, दूसरी तरफ छोटे उद्योग भी चलते हैं, इस के अलावा बरेली उद्योग और ग्रामोद्योग भी चलते हैं । जब तक सब का आधार ठीक प्रकार से नहीं हो—जब तक हमारी आर्थिक नीति स्पष्ट न हो—जब तक सब का एक साथ चलना बड़ा कठिन होगा । मिश्राल के तौर पर कांग्रेस से गुह्यत में कपड़े को ने लीजिये इस के लिये एक तरफ मिल भी काम करती है, दूसरी तरफ अम्बर चर्चा से भी काम लिया जाता है, तीसरी तरफ हैंडलूम भी कार्य कर रहा है । जब तक हर एक के बारे में हमारी नीति स्पष्ट नहीं होगी तब तक तीनों के प्रयत्न ठीक से नहीं चल सकेंगे । जब उन में स्पष्टी न हो, अर्थात् एकी योजनायें हों, जिनमें अधिक से अधिक आदमी लग सकते हैं या अधिक से अधिक काम मिल सकता है, यानी जब तक एक प्रकार से हमारी आर्थिक नीति स्पष्ट न हो, जब तक इस प्रकार की आर्थिक नीति न हो जिस से कि अधिक से अधिक आदमी एक तरफ काम पर लग सकें और दूसरी तरफ देश की सांस्कृतिक और सामाजिक स्थिति का विचार रख सकें, तब तक हम जिस प्रकार की तरकी देश की करना चाहते हैं, वह नहीं कर सकेंगे । मेरा यह विचार है और चूंकि नये वित्त मंत्री महोदय का इस तरफ ध्यान है, इसलिये उन से प्रार्थना करता चाहता हूँ कि वे विभ्र विभ्र प्रकार के विभागों में और विभ्र विभ्र प्रकार की चीजों में एसी नीति अपनायें जिस से स्पष्ट तौर पर विवित हो जाय कि हमारी आर्थिक नीति क्या है, और हिन्दुस्तान की आर्थिक नीति कही होनी चाहिये जिससे बेरोजगार सोगों को काम मिल सके, और वे अच्छी तरह से रह सकें । उन्हें बता,

कपड़ा, मकान, निःशुल्क शिक्षा और खेल कूद की चीजें उपलब्ध होनी चाहिये । जो भी एक व्यक्ति की जरूरियात की चीजें हैं, कम से कम उतनी चीजें जब तक उनके लिये उपलब्ध नहीं होंगी तब तक साधारण व्यक्ति को सुल नहीं मिलेगा । साधारण व्यक्ति से मतलब है वह व्यक्ति जो गांव में रहता है, इस तरह का व्यक्ति जो कि अन्तिम हो । हमारे देश का अन्तिम व्यक्ति वह है जो ऐसे समुदाय से आता है जिस के पास साधन नहीं हैं और साधन न होने की वजह से योजना के अन्तर्भूत जो बहुत सारी रियायत हैं वे भी उन को उपलब्ध नहीं होती हैं क्योंकि उन के पास जमानत देने के लिये कोई चीज नहीं है । उन के पास इस तरह के कांडे साधन नहीं हैं सिवाय इस के कि उन के पास अपनी साल है, यदि माल पर उम को मदद की जायेंगी तभी उसका भला होगा । कोई दूसरा यदि उसके लिये जमानत नहीं देता है वह इस तरह की रियायतों का उपयोग नहीं कर सकेगा । इसलिये इस मंत्रिम व्यक्ति की तरफ ध्यान दे कर, जो कि देहात में रहता है, और ऐसों की संख्या बहुत अधिक है, अगर हम अपनी आर्थिक नीति नहीं बनायेंगे तो देश का निर्माण होना कठिन जान पड़ता है ।

Dr. Krishnaswami (Chingleput): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I have so much to say and so little time in which to compress what I have to say. Let me wish at the outset the new hon. Finance Minister, the hon. Minister of Economic Affairs and his deputies success in the arduous tasks that face them. Men of goodwill in this House, irrespective of the political party to which they belong, would be agreed on one fact that we are facing a difficult financial situation—a situation which has been rendered most difficult by the policies that have been pursued during the past twenty months. But before I plunge into a consideration of the basic issues of

[Dr. Krishnaswami]

financial policy, may I be permitted to refer to two matters which merit some consideration?

Mr. hon. friend, Dr. Subbarayan, referred to the handloom industry. Now we all desire reduction of excise duty on textiles but reducing duty on textiles without compensating the handloom industry is to reduce the differential between the two. To give a concession of an extra half-anna rebate and that too for only two months is but one more indication of our policy of muddling through. Unless a concession for a permanent period is given, unless we make up our minds to extend such a concession to the hadloom industry irrespective of the manner in which it is organised, we are in for a period of complaints and distrust from this handloom industry.

I shall now deal with the question of development rebate. Let me assume at the outset that there is a strong case for more stringent control on utilisation of the development rebate funds, but I would like to ask, what is it that a development rebate is expected to achieve? Essentially the development rebate is a way of reducing the hardships inherent in a system of depreciation allowances based on historical costs—hardships which are real and hardships which are bound to be with us in an economy where replacement costs are out of focus with the original cost. In essence, a development rebate allows a depreciation allowance in excess of 100 per cent of the value of the plant over the life of the plant. The excess of 40 per cent. or 25 per cent. over the 100 per cent. value of the plant is, therefore, a benefit which is linked with the life of the plant. Therefore it is not necessary that the entire benefit of the development rebate must be used up in one year. If this reasoning be accepted, then it is completely fallacious to suggest that there is a tax saving of 50 per cent. of the development rebate in that particular year. The insistence of debiting 75 per cent,

though it is more welcome than that on insisting on 100 per cent. to one year's profit and loss account apart from being illogical is likely to be self-defeating in my opinion. It would make well nigh impossible for some of the more rapidly expanding firms to avail of this development rebate without passing over dividends for some years.

Logically, there are two alternatives of getting over this predicament and I place these two alternatives before my hon. friend for his mature consideration. I do not want a reply from him today, but when the time comes for moving the clauses he might think it over and then consider whether he can accept my suggestions. We can frame the law so that the amount to be debited in any particular year is linked with the declaration of a reasonable dividend. The other alternative, an inferior alternative in my opinion, would be to vest the taxing authority with the power to refuse the benefit of this concession in cases where *prima facie* such funds are used for increasing dividends instead of being ploughed back into industry. If my suggestion is accepted, a possible solution would be that the amount to be debited in any particular year in the profit and loss account in respect of development rebate would be a residual one after paying 6% on capital employed or the average of the dividends distributed over the last three years, whichever is higher. This will prevent excess dividends declaration and yet safeguard the interests of ploughing back of funds into industry.

The other alternative, an inferior one in my judgement, is that of giving powers to the taxing authority to disallow. This is administratively possible. After all, to how many firms are we going to give a development rebate? There would be about one thousand or two thousand companies who would avail themselves of these things and if abuses occur it should not be beyond the wit and intelligence of the

highly efficient Central Board of Revenue to find how abuses occur and then stop such payment being made in respect of development rebate.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao (Khammam): Are they efficient?

Dr. Krishnaswami: I think they are more efficient than we give them credit for. It is a very bad practice that we are indulging in continuously at present, though unduly. They are efficient up to a point. They may not be efficient beyond that. But so far as this particular thing is concerned, I would set it if I were quite being equal to the job of undertaking this task.

But what are the major issues that face us? What are the issues that citizens are discussing practically every day? I think it is right that I should come straight to the point. Are we moving in the direction of more coherent and rational system of taxation? Is our tax structure economic in the sense of raising larger and larger resources out of the national product any yet at the same time promotes the growth of the national product? Lastly, is our tax structure consistent with the pattern of society we envisage—and we have envisaged a pattern of society in the Directive Principles of our Constitution, which we would do well to follow? This last consideration is the most important because ultimately all fiscal means have to be judged by the objective that we have in view. Surely in a democratic society obviously large inequalities of wealth and consequently inequalities of economic opportunity cannot be permitted for an indefinite period. At the same time our ideal is not a monolithic society in which ownership of property carries with it a stigma or where the State alone is the owner of all forms of property and men are but mice waiting for nourishment at the hands of an all-powerful bureaucracy. Our ideal is to move towards a society where the wider dispersal of wealth and opportunities consequently end

glaring inequalities of income. Judged by these tests, the way the tax structure has evolved during the past twenty months appears haphazard, ill-organised and in quite a number of respects, totally anomalous. We appear to have looked upon Mr. Kaldor's proposal not as a substitute for, as he envisaged them, but as a supplement to the existing system of taxation. We have probably the distinction of being the first country in the world to have adopted wholesale Mr. Kaldor's cogent and highly original proposal. May I add, we have the dubious distinction of altering these proposals to such an extent as to be probably unrecognisable even to the author of these proposals. Mr. Kaldor wanted the Expenditure and Wealth taxes to be substitutes for the highly progressive super-tax. We have added them all without materially altering the marginal rates of taxation. The Gift-tax was to take the place of the Estate duty, according to Mr. Kaldor. We have decided to have both. Mr. Kaldor never thought of a Wealth tax on companies. We have not only adopted it, but it is there in addition to the Excess dividend tax and tax on company's issues. In brief, we have put together in our Finance Acts almost every conceivable form of impost without unduly troubling ourselves about the total impact of the system of taxation on our economy.

What are the consequences of this policy? It is time we think about them. We have reduced the efficiency of the tax system and the present tax structure has none of the virtues claimed by Mr. Kaldor. It continues to be inimical to incentives. It has affected our economy visibly by sharp decline in share prices and new issues. For this, I have the high authority of the Economic Survey published by the Government of India. It may be suggested by some of my friends that it does not really need to be over-emphasised that these things happen. It may be suggested that after all, if there has been a check in the growth of the private

[Dr. Krishnaswami]

sector, no tears need be unduly shed. Let us remember, let us remember again and again, that 96 per cent of our manufacturing industries, according to the National Income statistics, is in private hands and what happens there is of vital import to the economy. Even if we double our public sector's output, it still would be a small part of the manufacturing activity in our country. It is, therefore, necessary above all to retain a sense of proportion and a sense of perspective and avoid being carried away by slogans. What is at stake today is not the way the private sector should live or the public sector should live. But, what is at stake today is whether the rate of growth of our economy should be jeopardised, whether it should be slowed down and all our actions must be judged only in this context.

The tax structure, as I have pointed out, is inimical to incentives. It is also inequitous since certain forms of wealth are doubly taxed. We have not as yet made any serious efforts in evolving an administrative structure necessary for implementing the new taxes. Of course, it will be suggested by some of my friends that in the transitional period, when we have to step out from the old world of the old tax system into the new highly original world of Mr. Kaldor, there are bound to be anomalies, and that we cannot reduce the level of Income-tax until the gap is filled up by other forms of taxation. I am willing to give some credence to this line of reasoning. But, the most serious indictment of the way in which we have been moving during the last 11 months is that, instead of concentrating our energies on organising the revenue collecting machinery, we are having recourse to the line of least resistance. Instead of making an all-out effort to improve and expedite the assessment procedures, we are satisfied with grabbing at source from which it is easy to collect the taxes. How else can we justify the Wealth-tax on companies, if it is not

from the point of view of facility of collection? And that seems to be the only justification. Of course, this has some value because from the days of Adam Smith we have been told that statesmen should take into account the facility with which the taxes could be collected. But, then, there are other criteria which we have to take into account.

We talk big of a socialist pattern of society without realising that facility of collection plays only a minor part in this evolution of what we should have as a better society.

Let me proceed to discuss some of the other aspects of this difficult question. My hon. friend's predecessor, a great master of flouts and jeers, never once made an attempt to answer our arguments. But, facts cannot be evaded. Facts have a way of taking revenge even if flouts and jeers for a temporary purpose do the trick. If we seriously launch forth on this kind of a radical change, the least that the people can expect of the Government is that there should be an equally radical change in the outlook and organisation of the tax collection machinery. What is it that we have done about this tax collection machinery? A developing economy needs better trained and better organised system of tax collection, even as it needs a better type of Engineer, because as life becomes complicated, we have to have a better type of people trained for finding out how best to . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member is not expected to address somebody on that side.

Dr. Krishnaswami: I am addressing them through you; I apologise for turning.

I should like to point out that a developing economy does need better trained and better organised system of tax collectors. Are we doing all

that can be done to infuse dynamism into our tax collecting machinery, into the administration of Income and other taxes? Do we have any method for recruiting the right kind of personnel or training them for these highly onerous responsibilities? Do we have in this important matter which we cannot avoid, an overall review of the way tax receipts are behaving, so that the administration can suggest steps for improving the methods of assessment? To my knowledge, we have not as yet taken any step in this direction. I suggest that the new Minister of Finance and the Minister of State for Finance will have that task cut out for them in so far as they have to organise the tax collecting machinery afresh. Instead, all that we have done in order to protect the so-called revenues is to land ourselves in a maze of taxation in the hope that we are plugging the loopholes. What we are doing is not so much to plug the loopholes as to plug the windows of our mind to some of the things that are occurring around us. What makes the situation most dangerous, in my opinion, is that so far, no Minister, no responsible Cabinet Minister in the Union Government has publicly admitted that this present system of taxation is only transitional and that steps will be taken to move towards the new system envisaged by Mr. Kaldor. If the Ministers would lay down the policy, then, I am sure that the efficient Central Board of Revenue would be able to find out how to bridge the gap and make the transitional period as short as possible. But, the policy has to come from the Minister. It is only then that the Board of Revenue will be able to re-organise its services and import a new dynamism into those services. If the present system is continued, if the present disregard for logic and consistency continues, the only result will be that we will have a monstrosity of a tax structure foisted upon the country with infinite harm to the economy. For, let us realise that in the present tax system, there is no scope for the little man to start a small business

and see it grow within his life time. We have today about 30,000 companies in our country. We have had them with us for the past 10 years. If we persist in this policy, the number will rapidly decline. The whole tenor of our tax policy favours existing companies as against new companies, favours ploughing back of profits by established companies as against diversion of saving to new companies. Since we have piled tax upon tax illogically, we are forced to mitigate hardships by concessions which will provide encouragement to investment. But in the major number of cases, this investment will come mainly from old companies. If we are serious about promoting a dynamism in our society, about having the national product increased as rapidly as possible, I say the time has come for a review of the tax structure, and for us to understand that today we are in the worst of both worlds; we have neither the virtues of the old world nor the virtues of Mr. Kaldor's world; we have the vices of both worlds. And the time has arrived when our statesmen should face up to the responsibility, think afresh and give a proper lead.

15 hrs.

This House, for its part, will always respond to suggestions from the other side. A responsive Minister will be able to make the Opposition more responsible. I hope and trust that my hon. friend the new Minister of Finance will be responsive to our suggestions and thus promote a greater atmosphere of responsibility and unanimity in this Parliament.

Dr. Subhila Nayar (Jhansi): I am grateful to you for giving me time to say a few words at this juncture. I confess I am not an economist, and I do not know too much about high finance. I even confess that some of the terms used in this connection are unfamiliar to me. However, there are a few general observations that I

[Dr. Sushila Nayar]

would like to make from the point of view of the common man in this country, and while doing so, I would first like to say one or two words with regard to some of the remarks made by some of the hon. Members from the opposite benches.

Dr. Krishnaswami has said just now that the present taxation structure in this country is all calculated to kill incentives, and that it does not encourage people to earn more. In terms of the directive principles of the Constitution which he quoted himself, not only have we to increase the production in this country and increase the total wealth of this country, but we have also to see to it that there is an equitable distribution of that wealth, and that wealth does not accumulate in a few pockets. If incentives mean that wealth should be allowed to accumulate in the pockets of a few hundreds or a few thousands or even a little larger number than that, then, certainly, that is not what we understand by the Directive Principles of the Constitution or the declaration of a socialist pattern of society which we are pledged to achieve. Therefore, I believe that the taxation policy followed by this Government is the correct policy, and it is calculated towards the establishment of the socialistic pattern, and towards the elimination of the tremendous inequalities which are the rule of the day and which we must eliminate. And it is most important to do so even to encourage more production so that the man at the bottom feels that if production is increased that the benefit is going to be distributed equitably and it would not go into the pockets of the rich or those at the higher levels of society.

I would further like to say that not only is it necessary for us to increase production and encourage equitable distribution through taxation and through law, but we, the people of this country, have also to learn to think in different terms. The incentives should change. The incentives

of the old times, accumulation of a tremendous amount of wealth, should no longer be held as the incentives. The incentives to see a happy and prosperous nation, incentives to see poverty and disease and ignorance disappear from this country should act as better incentives than the incentives of accumulation of individual wealth; and that is in the interests of the rich also because if there are a large numbers of have-nots in society, the few haves will always remain in perpetual terror and perpetual fear. Why is there all this tension in the world today? It is because there are too many have-nots in the world, and the haves are, therefore, afraid, and they have all the time to keep thinking in terms of their safety and their protection, and gradually it leads to all these tremendous tensions which are today endangering the peace of the world and the future of all mankind.

Shri M. R. Masani made the point that the embargo placed on the sale of new ventures for ten years is undesirable and should disappear. I am told by people that in some of the smaller new ventures, what they do is to keep on changing the name. After they have achieved a certain status, when they would fall due for a certain amount of taxation which they do not wish to pay, they change the name, and they are supposed to have sold the concern from one person to another; and in that fashion, they want to escape taxation. This is happening a good deal. With regard to this, I could give a small instance. It has just been brought to my attention at the time of my last visit to my constituency that the production of Dalda vanaspati up to 75 tons is exempt from duty, and thereafter, the production tax or excise duty or whatever they call it comes in. Therefore, what they do is that as soon as production begins to go higher than that, they change the names; and a few venture arises. The original is supposed to have been sold out to some one else.

Therefore, it is necessary that this sale process which goes on indiscriminately or used to go on indiscriminately should be curbed, and, therefore, I think the embargo placed on this kind of transactions, by Government, is correct.

I would, however, agree that the taxation collection machinery needs to be somewhat reoriented. Somehow, on the one hand, there is too much of leakage in tax collection all the time, and on the other, there is so much harassment of honest citizens, that goes on in the process of tax collection. One feels puzzled; and one does not know what is to be done. An hon. Member of the Upper House, Rajkumari Amrit Kaur, herself was telling me her experience in regard to the income-tax returns. Her family has been honestly filling in their income-tax returns for every penny, throughout a whole generation, under the British and afterwards, but even they are put to a huge amount of harassment before the income-tax returns are accepted. For that reason, I do feel that there should be some reorientation and some change in outlook and some re-education of the tax collection machinery. For that, it is necessary to enlist men and women of every high calibre into that Department. Their remuneration should be adequate, so that the temptations which are very many for illegal gratification should not be so necessary, or rather, I do not say they are ever necessary—that is a wrong term—but the temptations should, if anything be reduced to whatever extent it is possible.

Again, the second point that is necessary, for that reason, and that needs to be considered is that the margin of discretion left to the collector should be reduced as far as possible. At the time of the levy of the wealth-tax and the expenditure tax, the former Finance Minister stated that for medical instruments and other instruments for humanitarian use, relief would be given by making calculations on a special basis.

I do not think that this kind of discretionary power given to small officials to make calculations on a special basis is desirable. As far as possible, these discretionary powers should be eliminated, and the collection system simplified in such a way that, on the one hand, the leakage will stop or at any rate be considerably reduced, and on the other, the harassment caused to honest citizens and respectable citizens is minimised.

Now, I also agree with some of the hon. Members who have pleaded for increase of the income tax exemption rate. The limit of Rs. 250 per month is, I think, a little bit hard, as has been done at present. I would rather think that we should have more savings through creating this incentive for small savings, and in regard to that, I agree with the hon. Member of the Opposition, Shri Bimal Ghose, who has suggested a higher interest rate than 4 per cent on small savings. When banks today can get short-term deposits at 4½ per cent, for us to offer 4 per cent on the small savings for 12 years does not seem to be right. We should offer at least 6 per cent interest. The reason given for the present lowering of the income tax exemption limit was that a larger number is falling within this Rs. 3,000 limit and, therefore, income-tax should be brought to a lower level to cover this new group which comes up to the Rs. 3,000 level. I suggest that if we create this incentive, with the increased income for these people that is utilised in the form of small savings, so that it is available to the Government, and at the same time it is available to these poor families—for they do need money in these days of high cost of living—it will perhaps give more money to the hon. Finance Minister than his new lower level of income tax limit.

Then I do plead that the distinction, as was pointed out by Shri Ram Saran, between the married and the

[Dr. Sushila Nayar]

unmarried with regard to income tax level should go, and we should have the same level for income tax for all concerned. We want limitation of families. We want population control. Therefore, people who do not get married should not be taxed more for pursuing the policy of population control. At the same time, I do not think the exemption given for children, which is at the present moment Rs. 300 per child, is enough. I think we should at least have an exemption limit of Rs. 1,200 a year per each child. Maybe, we can encourage people to have two children, if we like, by giving exemption only for two and no more. But to give them exemption of Rs. 300 for the whole year for a child is, I think, not enough. That should be increased.

Now, I would come to some of the general things I wanted to say. The primary thing to which I wanted to draw the attention of the hon. Finance Minister is the functions of the Finance Ministry. I have been thinking that the job of the Finance Ministry is to see that maximum finance is raised, money is raised, revenue is raised and that it is spent wisely to produce the maximum results to promote the welfare of the people, to promote the prosperity of the people in the country. For that therefore, naturally, the Finance Ministry has created a Planning Commission and the Planning Commission, very rightly, tries to determine the priorities and in that fashion, encourage expenditure in the right directions. However, what actually does happen is that somehow or other, representatives of the Finance Ministry, wherever they may be, seem to think that their job is to stop expenditure or, at any rate, to place the maximum hurdles in the way of expenditure.

Now, I shall give you an instance. For the Education Ministry, the demand in the first phase before the Planning Commission for the Second Five Year Plan was Rs. 1,100 crores.

Ultimately, it was cut down to Rs. 307 crores. Now, in the year 1956-57, out of this, only Rs. 23·3 crores was spent. An amount of Rs. 307 crores is to be spent in five years. In the first year of the Plan, Rs. 23 crores was spent. In the second year of the Plan, the revised estimate of expenditure is Rs. 44 crores; maybe when the final figures come, it may be even less than that. So that in two years out of five, we have spent about Rs. 65 or Rs. 66 crores out of Rs. 307 crores.

Now, how is the rest of the money going to be spent in the remaining three years? Why does it happen? I plead with the Finance Minister that when the budgets are framed in the States or at the Centre let them exercise the maximum amount of scrutiny; let them see that the plans are properly prepared and that the plans are complete. But when budget has been passed, once finance has given their sanction to the budget to insist that each and every item at every step should be shown to them to be passed by them acts as a great bottleneck and discourages expenditure. I plead that it is the job of the Finance Minister to see that the expenditure that has been planned is spent, and spent in the right manner, and there is expeditious expenditure of that money so that the results of that can be achieved in time and can be enjoyed by the people in time.

The second point is that there seems to be a lot of fallacy in thinking that those items which bring in money are more important. Now, ultimately when we are thinking in terms of the welfare of the mass of the people, it may be that some of these social services, on which the guillotine is being continuously applied, are the very things which are ultimately going to increase the prosperity and the earning capacity and the production capacity of the nation. Today we seem to think that those items which bring in money are more important and those which only increase

expenditure like education, health and so on are not so important. I trust that the Finance Minister will see to it that this fallacy is corrected as far as possible.

In this connection, while talking of the social services, I would like to say one word about the disparity that exists between, for instance, the money that we provide for defence and for social services. Social services is not one thing. Social services include education, health, housing, welfare of tribes, social welfare, labour welfare and rehabilitation, slum clearance—everything is included in this omnibus item 'Social services'. And how much is the proportion of expenditure? 19 per cent. As against this, we are spending more than 45 per cent on defence in this budget. What is going to defend the country ultimately? Not these outmoded armaments and arms that we import, which are changing every year. What is their value in the face of all nuclear weapons. The real defences of this country lie in the hearts of the men and women of this country. If we develop that moral, that self-confidence that feeling that this is our country and, we have to defend it, that spirit is the thing that really matters. How did we win independence from the British? By having that spirit within us, not by having a stick. With the same spirit, we can defend our country and defend our independence. That spirit can be created only if we divert money towards development of the human material, human resources in this country, and not towards defence, which pours money into foreign countries to bring in all this outmoded defence apparatus.

The Deputy Minister of Economic Affairs (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir. . . .

Shri Ferose Gandhi (Rai Bareli): We were told that only back-benchers would be allowed to take part in this debate.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: I am also a back-bencher in the Treasury Benches.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That was for the earlier day, not today. Today, we are calling leaders of groups.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I have taken this opportunity to take part in this debate to elucidate and clarify certain points raised by a few of the Members of the Opposition, including Shri Asoka Mehta.

The point raised by Shri Asoka Mehta during the budget debate that Government have no price policy has created a lot of interest in the House as well as outside in the country, and I think it my duty to clarify certain miscalculations that have given rise to that analogy or analysis which Shri Asoka Mehta has been pleased to make on the floor of this House. The hon. Member has argued that Government have no price policy, and that Government should have a price policy and the contribution by having a good price policy for the agriculturist specifically, the contribution of the Plan might be raised by increasing the potentialities of the resources.

15-20 hrs.

[Shri C. R. PATTABHI RAMAN in the Chair.]

There are no two opinions about the fact that we should have a price policy, a more scientific policy for the agricultural sector—not only the agricultural sector, but almost all the sectors of economy. I do agree with Shri Mehta that it is very important to ensure to the agriculturists a fair deal and to reduce the sharp fluctuations in price which occur from time to time in our economy, though, I think, Shri Mehta might also agree with me that some variations in the agriculturists' income is bound to happen. It is rather unavoidable because crops themselves are so variable and unpredictable. However, I again

[Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha]

say that we should reduce fluctuations as far as possible by suitable purchases and stock-piling. There cannot be two opinions in regard to that. But in supporting what, on merits, is a good case, Shri Asoka Mehta and some of the other economist friends like Shri Ranga on that side have . . .

Several Hon. Members: Shri Ranga is not on that side.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Minister only meant sitting on the opposite side.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: They have put forward some estimates of the losses suffered by the agriculturists and even suggested that something or other—whether in money terms or in the real terms—that is not clear from his speech—has gone up in smoke or vanished into thin air.

He quoted during the Budget debate two figures, as far as I remember, of the losses beginning with Rs. 1,000 crores and ending with Rs. 1,500 crores. Perhaps, he could not make up his mind as to which of the two was the correct estimate of the losses to the agricultural sector. That is why it is very necessary to examine this point and I would like to take some time of the House to analyse that point of Shri Mehta.

Presumably, Shri Mehta has taken the value of the output in the agricultural sector at current prices since the beginning of the First Five Year Plan—that means to say 1950-51—and has worked out the losses by multiplying that year's output by the number of years and deducting from it the sum of the output of each year at current prices. The relevant table would then be as follows:

The gross income of the agriculturists was as follows:

1950-51	Rs. 48.90	crores
1951-52	Rs. 50.20	"
1952-53	Rs. 48.10	"
1953-54	Rs. 51.70	"
1954-55	Rs. 43.50	"
1955-56	Rs. 45.30	"

That brings out the minus that has been quoted by him; it comes out to Rs. 1,080 crores. But, it will be seen on the basis mentioned above, that though agricultural income of the earnings of the agriculturist sector were Rs. 1,080 crores lower for the period 1950-51 to 1955-56, than they would have been if the 1951 prices had prevailed—and that is the line of argument that has been taken by Shri Asoka Mehta—there is no doubt that there is a semblance of validity in his calculation. But what he has overlooked—and that is the point that I want to bring before this House—is the fact that in 1951-52 the agricultural prices were exceptionally high. The index of food articles of that year was 398.6 and of the raw materials was 591.9 and the general index for the year was 434.6.

But, I do not think even the Asoka Mehta Committee that went into this question of agricultural prices has suggested—nor any other economist in the country has suggested—that the level of 1951-52 was the right level or the sustainable level for the agricultural economy or for the agricultural sector. And the demand all over the country—I remember from the debates raised in this House—was for measures to bring down this high level of prices.

Shri Ranga: Question.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: The hon. Member will look at the debates. In 1956-57, the agricultural prices rose again so that even if there had been a loss of Rs. 1080 crores according to Shri Asoka Mehta's line of argument, as much as Rs. 670 crores out of the accumulated losses have been wiped out this year by the rise in prices.

But, there is another and very important point that has been overlooked by Shri Asoka Mehta and that is how much agricultural produce is actually marketed. He is a very learned economist and he himself knows this that not more than 50 per cent of the agricultural produce goes to the

market for the purpose of sale and that 50 per cent is consumed or retained for direct home consumption. Therefore, this part of the output is unaffected by any changes in the prices and the losses on this part of the output is purely valuational. He has made an overall estimate of the losses and has not taken note of that aspect—of the produce that does go for sale in the market.

Therefore, if we really compute the real income that has come out or gone into the pocket of the agricultural sector, if we take the figures for 1952 as the basis of comparison because the price was the highest at that time, the position of the real income that has gone or come into the pocket of the agricultural sector will be as follows:

in 1951-52, i.e. w.s.	Rs. 210	crores;
in 1953-54,	Rs. 500	,,
in 1954-55,	Rs. 460	,,
in 1955-56,	Rs. 280	,, and
in 1956-57,	Rs. 880	crores.

That means if we compute on the basis of 1952-53 figure, the losses over the First Plan period works out at only Rs. 30 crores to the agricultural sector and on that basis the income that has gone to the agriculturists in 1956-57, there is an income of Rs. 850 crores. If we add the total real income of the agriculturists, then, there has been no loss; rather there has been a gain of Rs. 850 crores in the income of the agricultural sector. It would appear otherwise, depending upon the level of consumption or the level of money income that you get as your background or that you fix as a reasonable percentage of real income that should go as prices to the agricultural sector. I repeat that Shri Asoka Mehta also, as the Chairman of the Foodgrains Enquiry Committee, has not suggested that for fixing the reasonable income for the agricultural sector, the price level of 1952-53 should be taken as the criterion. Therefore, what actually matters is not so much the gain or loss in terms of prices but the movement in real terms of trade, that is the purchasing power of the product of the agricultural sector in terms of the products of the other sectors.

Shri Asoka Mehta (Muzaffarpur): Have you worked that out?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Simha: Yes. I am just quoting. If we make a calculation, between derivation of terms of trade and real income of agriculture, it will be noticed that as compared to 1948-49, the real incomes of agriculturists are Rs. 4700·1 crores, Rs. 4800·2, Rs. 5100·2, 4300·3 and Rs. 4500·2 crores from 1951-52 to 1955-56.

The figures that I have quoted reflect the changes in the real purchasing power of the agriculturists and we can say that the loss to agriculture as a result of changes in the relative prices as compared to 1948-49 works out of about Rs. 890 crores during the First Plan period. In 1956-57, however, the gain was of Rs. 470 crores. So, there has not been much loss to the agricultural sector if we take these figures into consideration and arrive at the real conclusion on the basis of these figures. I would also like to say another thing. The losses that had been mentioned in the debates of the House are not really losses to the economy. I was surprised that Shri Asoka Mehta should say this. All the Rs. 1050 crores cannot go into the air. The national wealth has increased. The income of one sector is affected; the other sector, however, has gained. I do not accept the viewpoint that something has gone into the smoke and completely lost and it cannot be counted as an increase in the national income. There may be need to transfer the purchasing power from this sector to that sector but as a whole the national income has gone up and so every sector has gained on the whole.

I agree that there is scope for utilising the rural savings. But I do not agree with Shri Asoka Mehta that rural saving is being affected because of rise in prices or because of fluctuation in prices. There are other things that should be taken into consideration and we must find out ways and means as to how to increase small savings or how to increase the capacity of the agriculturist

[Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha]

to save. But I do not think that there is any bottleneck or lacuna so far as small savings is concerned exclusively due to the fluctuation in prices.

Some other hon. Members had referred to foreign investment. Some hon. Members from the Communist Party were very vociferous in regard to this and have said that no encouragement should be given to foreign investment. Shri Nagi Reddy almost threw a challenge on the floor of this House saying that no country has gained by foreign investment. I have taken up this point and want to quote some figures. I want to throw a counter-challenge and say that no under-developed country has developed without it....(Interruptions).

Shri V. P. Nayar (Quilon): We are not prepared to accept that challenge.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: No under-developed country has ever developed without any foreign assistance or loan or investment in recent history.

Shri Nagi Reddy (Anantapur) rose—

Shri Feroze Gandhi: Be chivalrous and sit down.

Shri Nagi Reddy: My point was this. The loan is acceptable, the grant or any help is acceptable. But there should not be foreign private investment in the industrial concerns of our country to be managed by them; no profits to be taken by them exclusively out of our country. In that way no country in the world has ever developed.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Even in regard to foreign investment, almost all the under-developed countries including Soviet Russia have benefited from foreign investment....(Interruptions.)

Mr. Chairman: Order, order. I think she has understood the point and has endeavoured to answer it.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Why do not they be patient and listen to me? The development of the U.S.A.

was itself facilitated not only by the capital which the migrants took there but by the steady investment in the U.S.A. by Britain and later by other West European countries. The development of Canada in recent years is wholly based upon the large inflow of capital from U.S.A. and other western European countries including U.K.

Shri Nagi Reddy: She may read the article in the *Hindustan Times* published some three days ago.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: I am referring to it myself. I think I am better informed than the hon. Member sitting there. I have also gone through that report. I would like to quote from the Gordon Report itself. This is the finding given in the report—I am reading from the *New York Times*:

"The Commission's final report made recommendations in the belief that they would not check the flow of U.S. investment. The inflow of capital, in the view of the Committee, was held to be essential to the country's rapid development."

That committee has put certain safeguards but they are nothing compared to our safeguards. Their safeguards are mainly three: namely, diversion to Canada of a larger share of foreign investments, into bonds and mortgages, association of foreign investment with Canadian capital and Canadian interests and the assurance over control of Canadian banks, financial interests and life insurance companies.

We have put more checks, much more vigorous checks in our terms of agreement with regard to foreign investment and I do not think that there is any fear that our interests will be made to suffer.

I now come to the other countries, not only Canada but other countries. Most of the Latin American countries have taken tremendous amount of foreign investment and loan for their development....(Interruptions.) Why

should they be so much agitated? I am also coming to Soviet Russia. In the case of Latin American countries the value of U.S. direct investment rose from \$2.8 billion in 1943 to \$6.6 billion at the end of 1955. There cannot be two opinions in this regard that Latin American economic growth has been tremendous during these few years.

I now come to the Soviet Union and I think my hon. friends opposite will keep quiet for some time because it is to their interest. Here is an analysis of the Soviet Union which shows that even after the August Revolution . . . (An Hon. Member: October) I am sorry . . . even after the October Revolution, the Russian Government tried to get loans from abroad. But this effort did not prove fruitful because the Russian Government had repudiated past debts. Therefore, they could not get money and they appointed a commission to find out a way. They decided to give concessions to the foreign investors so that foreign investment may be attracted towards Russia. This is what Russia did. I am quoting one of the very great authors who has written about Russian economy . . . (An Hon. Member: Name him.) Harry Schwartz . . . (Interruptions.) I do not know why the hon. lady Member is feeling so disturbed about Soviet Russia . . .

Shrimati Benu Chakravarty (Basirhat): Because of your knowledge of 'August' Revolution . . . (Interruptions.)

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Deputy Minister can take care of herself and need not mind these interruptions.

Shri Ferose Gandhi: You must protect her, Sir.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: He says that during the 1920's the USSR did permit foreigners to obtain concessions. Mind you, Sir, this refers to the very important industries in Russia. It says:

"During the 1920's the U.S.S.R. did permit foreigners . . ."

" . . . to obtain concessions to operate mining, manufacturing, trading and other enterprises."

So, they also allowed trading, Sir.

"And, as late as June, 1928, over 97 foreign concerns were in operation in the Soviet Union."

There is also another figure given here. It is said:

"About that time, 30 million dollars were already invested in 39 concerns operating in July, 1927; this sum was estimated to be less than one or two per cent. of all the capital invested in the U.S.S.R. As late as 1929, the Chief Concessions Committee of the U.S.S.R. was seeking to interest foreign investors in building such important projects as the Magnitogorsk and Taganrog metallurgical works. But foreign capitalists could hardly be expected to be enthusiastic about investing their money."

The foreign capitalists could not be interested very much because of the past repudiation of debts, and also because of the fear of the policy being followed by U.S.S.R. in future in regard to world capitalism, in regard to foreign private capital and in regard to private enterprise. As I said before, a Committee was appointed by the U.S.S.R. Government to look to the concessions that can be provided to foreign investors, especially private foreign investors. But, because of their past history nobody was very much interested in that. Therefore, they could not get the capital they wanted, and they had to suffer for this lack of capital. We do not want to repeat the same mistake. We do not want to create a sense of fear in the minds of foreigners that something is going to happen to their money. We want to assure the foreign investors that their money will be respected, their money will be honoured and their capital will be repaid if they want it back. But they shall always have to keep in mind—they shall have to accept our terms of agreement—the terms by which our industries and our national enterprises may not suffer. *

[Shrimati Tarakeshwari Sinha]

Therefore, I think, Sir, this is not the climate,—I want to say this on the floor of this House—this is not the time, this is not the weather when we should really create a sense of fear among the foreign investors, because in terms of the requirements of backward countries the capacity of investment is very small. There are so many countries asking for foreign investments, foreign loans. They want foreign technical help, foreign financial help. The difficulty is with the lending countries, not with the borrowing countries. Therefore, by our utterances, by our attitude, we must not create any sense of fear or unpleasantness in their minds.

Today, Sir, United States of America is the single biggest lending country in the world. Till the end of 1955 the total U.S. direct investment abroad amounted to 19.2 billion dollars of which roughly about a third was in Latin America, another third in Canada and the remaining was equally divided between Europe and other countries. That means, a very small part of their investment came to this side of the continent—Asia. That investment is decreasing day by day; that is to say, even the U.S. pace of lending has decreased. For the present, the United States, which is even today the largest single source of capital, has been investing abroad over the last ten years at the rate of a little over a billion dollars a year. That means even the U.S. pace of investment abroad has decreased. We must not add further to that decreasing tempo of investment by creating unnecessary unpleasant atmosphere in this country. That is why I submit that we must give them all possible benefit—of course, looking to our national interest, safeguarding our national interest; but never creating that feeling of ill-will as my friends on the Opposition are trying to create, an atmosphere of ill-will with all the lending countries in the world and not only the United States of America. We do not want to follow that pattern. We

want to assure all the foreign lending countries that their capital, their capacity to lend will be honoured as far as our capacity to honour all the time.....

Shri B. C. Prodhan (Kalahandi—Reserved—Sch. Tribes): That is not right. Why should there be foreign capital every now and then?

Shrimati Tarakeshwari Sinha: So far as foreign business investment in India is concerned, because of our soundness in economy, because of our stable economic system and our deep faith in democratic traditions, I am happy to announce that the foreign investment in India, which was Rs. 288 crores by the middle of 1948, has increased to Rs. 481 crores by the end of 1955. We hope that by creating an atmosphere of goodwill and by keeping our economy stable, we shall be able to create a favourable climate for further investment of foreign capital in this country.

Sir, then I want to take the third point, and that is in regard to a reference that was made about the economic paradox by some Members of the Opposition. They said that on the one hand consumption is falling and on the other hand the prices are also rising. They have tried to explain to this House that this is a big paradox. They have tried to show that whereas the demand has been falling there has always been this rise in prices. I want to say that there has been no fall in the consumption rate as it is. There has been a certain fall in some sectors of society or some sectors of economy for the time being or, I should say, a temporary fall. But, taking the overall picture of consumption level there has been absolutely no fall so far as the total level of consumption is concerned. We want that there should be a fall in the consumption level. We want to decrease the consumption of goods and restore the same amount of resources for the production of some vital and basic industries; we want to divert some of our resources to the producers' industries sector from the

consumers' industries sector. We tried our best to reduce the consumption, but actually there has not been any appreciable fall. We tried to reduce the consumption of cloth in this country and increase the export market, create an atmosphere of outflow of cloth. But we could not succeed in that because the internal market for cloth was much more profitable than the external market. Therefore, we have not been able to reduce the consumption level at all in spite of our best efforts. But, as I said, in some sectors of economy there has always—that is a sort of an economic law—been a fall and also rise in some other sectors of economy. Nobody can avoid that. No policy in the world can avoid that sectional fall in consumption level and rise in prices. I only want to point out that there has not been any fall in the total level.

As, perhaps, my friend has been looking to the agricultural side,—to the side of per capita availability of food grains, and has come to that conclusion by taking only one sector of economy,—Agriculture—I do agree that there was a decline in the per capita availability of foodgrains in 1955-56, but the per capita availability in 1957 is much better, almost the same as in 1954. There are, however, certain important calculations. The calculation of gross availability of foodgrains is not the same thing as the volume of foodgrains available in the market. Allowance has to be made for increased retention by the agriculturists and also for changes in stock with wholesalers and retailers. In a period of declining prices as in 1955, there has been a tendency for traders to decumulate their stocks. It is also probable that the amount of produce retained by agriculturists varies inversely with the prices. That means in the periods of declining prices, the agriculturist may sell less and less, but he may do the opposite if the prices are high or are rising. It is, therefore, rather difficult to arrive at any exact estimate of per capita consumption on foodgrains. I do not know what is

the basis of my hon. friend's calculations. I can hardly locate the genesis of his calculation because, as far as I know, it is very difficult to arrive at any exact estimate of per capita consumption of foodgrains.

So far as the other things are concerned, as I said before, between 1953 and 1956, there appears to have been a substantial increase in per capita consumption of other consumer goods. A rough indicator is an increased production of a number of those goods. I have got the figures, but because I have already taken a lot of time I would not quote the figures. But the items are, textiles, sugar, vanaspati, cigarette, etc. for which the figures are here. In all these consumer goods industries, there has been a tremendous increase between 1953 and 1956. In addition to these things, there has been a very, very large increase in production of various durable consumer goods such as bicycles, sewing-machines, radio receivers, etc. The export of these articles has not risen to any great extent, and most of the increased production has been absorbed in the domestic market. So we cannot very well say that the level of consumption has gone down and so it is not the paradox of our economy that on the one hand consumption is falling and on the other hand the prices are rising. To say that it is so in this country is not a fact and this argument is a very, very fallacious argument that has been advanced by the other side of the House.

Then there is a trend of prices. It is true that prices have risen; there is no doubt about that if we study the index of wholesale prices for a year

Shri Khadilkar (Ahmednagar): May I point out that so far as this discussion is concerned, the time is rationed between the official benches and the Opposition. Is there any recent change in that?

Mr. Chairman: Well, I think the hon. Deputy Minister is finishing now.

Shrimati Tarkashwari Sinha: Ye Sir, in two or three sentences. I say that a part of this increase is attribu-

[Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha]

able to changes in excise duties and to measures such as the pooling of prices in the case of steel. A sharp rise in both fuel, power and lighting and lubricants during 1956-57 is mainly on account of the revision of the coal prices and increased excise duties on petroleum products. But, as I said, though there has been a rise in the price of some of these commodities, since 1954-55, a fall has set in, and though the index of prices for all these commodities rose from 89 in May, 1949 to 112 in August, 1957, though the rise was very sharp in cereals, edible oils, etc. though there has been some increase in the price of manufactures also. In recent months there has been a more or less continuous decline. In spite of the fact that some of our prices are rising, there has been more or less a continuous decline in prices and the general index of wholesale prices has come down by about five per cent. These are the only points I wanted to clarify. I have done.

श्री दै० ए० नायर (केरलाम) :

सन्मापित महोदय, मैं एक बात कहना चाहता हूँ। शारिक कार्य उपर्युक्त ने हम को अपनी दारत्र की कार्फ़ शिखा दी है। इस लिये मैं आप के द्वारा उन को बन्दवाद देना चाहता हूँ।

Mr. Chairman: Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): Is this day reserved for ladies?

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri (Nababwip): I do not see why ladies should not have a chance. The men have all spoken.

Mr. Chairman, I have been listening very attentively to our new Deputy Minister of Economic Affairs, and I was really very happy to hear her say that the investment of foreign capital in our country is increasing in all directions.

Mr. Chairman: I would request the hon. Members to see that there is less noise. The hon. Member can come nearer the mike.

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri: Sir, I think I can be heard. The hon. Deputy Minister said that we should create such an atmosphere that the investment of foreign capital should not suffer in our country. When we look at our country we must always remember that we have created such a condition that all foreign countries look to India to see that today democracy does work out in India, and that is why I think every country is willing to support us. I do not know about Russia. I do not know why the hon. Member opposite wanted to refer to Russia, but I believe Russia must also have her own reasons. However, I would like to bring to the notice of the Finance Minister that there are certain things, if I may submit, that we have propagated in regard to our taxation policy. That in itself is the whole structure. If we look at the Plan, we have got the taxation structure, and as far as it goes, it is good, but then there are certain things that we have to consider. Here, we have found that we are practically the most heavily taxed people, when compared to any other country in the world. Even Prof. Kaldor who was in India a short time ago has said that he was surprised that the things he had recommended have not been carried out and yet the taxation has been increased. So, it is almost like sponsoring Ayurvedic medicine without its "anupan", because Ayurvedic medicine depends on what we eat with it. If we are going to impose all the taxes, and not reduce the income-tax as Prof. Kaldor has suggested, it is going to have a very detrimental effect on the whole country, and particularly on the middle-class society. Therefore, I would like to invite the attention of the hon. Finance Minister to this aspect, because even in America, which is the richest country in the world today, they want to reduce the taxes. America has said that they want to reduce their taxation to the tune of six billion to seven billion dollars, and the Plan is to give some relief to all tax-payers.

with a large cut on personal taxes and also in the corporation taxes. The present exemption limit on income-tax is 600 dollars and it is contemplated to raise it to 700 dollars, so that if people did have a little extra money they would spend it and the industry of the country would be boosted. I think the whole spending would be again available to Government in various taxes. So, I would certainly recommend to Government the need to look into this structure as far as possible and see if the Finance Minister could see his way to doing a similar thing here.

Secondly, I would like to take up the question of States in this connection. The second Finance Commission recommended certain things and it also recommended that unless their recommendations were considered in their fulness, the States would not be able to get through their Plans. The whole recommendations were not considered by the Centre. One of the important recommendations of the Finance Commission was that all loans, barring certain particular items, should be consolidated. Whatever the Centre had considered about executing that recommendations then, it has not been done yet. It must also be remembered that the Centre had said that they would consider this, but at the last moment, orders were rescinded and much dislocation in the States' finances has taken place. Industrial States like Bombay, Calcutta and Madras have found it very difficult to implement their plan policies unless the recommendations of the Finance Commission are taken into consideration fully.

The Finance Commission made their recommendations. Now, the whole thing was reviewed and certain orders were even rescinded. If that is done, it is now about time to throw open the whole series of recommendations of the Finance Commission, and review them again so that the States can put forth their plans and not become more and more dependent on the Union finances. It is urgently needed that a fixed sum be given as an award. Particularly, the Centre

should not give loans at a rate of interest greater than that the Centre can borrow and thus get more from the States. For instance, take the gifts from outside, like the gift to the Canada Dam. Yet, that is being given to the States and there is interest charged on that. This is very surprising, and I hope the hon. Minister will consider this and see how the States can be helped, because if the States do not fulfil the Plan, the Centre also will find great difficulty in getting through the Plan.

16 hrs.

Also there has been great discontentment about the raising of the teachers' pay and of employees of local bodies. I think lately some announcement about that has been made. But I must say that in the municipalities, in small district towns, the pay that the conservancy services get is very poor. If there has been some announcement that a sum of Rs. 5 or more is expected to be given, that is not something very great, because in small towns, the people in the conservancy services get Rs. 5 or Rs. 6 per month. Can a person getting Rs. 6 per month exist on such a pay in this Welfare State? I think the case of these people also must be considered.

Coming to the Finance Bill, I would like to bring to the notice of the hon. Finance Minister certain clauses. To clause 7, I have myself given certain amendments. In regard to this question of development rebate, the industries, particularly the ship-building industry, are grateful for the provision about the development rebate, but the conditions attached to it do involve a great deal of difficulty. I am glad that the Finance Minister has more or less met my amendments, but some difficulties still exist and I hope he will see his way to removing them, because the ship-owners, particularly, will find these difficulties very great if they cannot sell their ships.

Take, for instance, a ship which plies in a certain trade-cargo trade or carrying passengers. If that traffic disappears, then they must change

[Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri]

that ship. They cannot use a cargo ship for carrying passengers and vice versa. So, you must change it and you must be able to sell it. You cannot keep obsolete ship or machinery. You are wanting our country to progress, and yet if you put difficulties in the way of progress, I think you defeat your own object. For instance, some ships travel at 14 knots an hour. Some American ships now getting into the cargo trade have a speed of 17 knots per hour. So, we have to sell our old ships travelling at 12 or 14 knots and get ships travelling at 17 knots an hour. We can sell them only by getting the permission of the Government. We should be able to sell them without difficulty, when it is necessary to do so for the good of the country and for the good of the trade.

It is not a case of private sector or public sector. It is always everytime the Indian sector. The private sector knows that they are also working for India and what they ask for is not only to fill their own pockets, having a narrow outlook, but to enlarge the horizon of India and to make India's coffers richer by their efforts. It has become the fashion Sir, always to say something against the private sector. I must say that the private sector is as loyal and patriotic as the public sector.

16.04 hrs.

[Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

I want to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister another thing and that is the Rehabilitation Finance Administration. The loans given by the rehabilitation finance administration have become less and less and tapered down to such an extent that I think out of every 6 loans, they are able to give only one, because the conditions are so rigid and they ask for a guarantor also that it is almost impossible to comply with those conditions. In Calcutta, I have been interviewing a number of loanees in the rehabilitation finance administration. In every case, we find that they are not able to

supply the guarantor. Now, we have created a wonderful class of people—professional guarantors. When a loanee comes to the guarantor, the guarantor asks for some money for being the guarantor. For a loan of Rs. 6,000, the guarantor wants Rs. 2,000. The poor loanee has to pay other creditors also and ultimately he finds that the loan itself was no good. I would like to ask whether the rehabilitation finance administration rules cannot be changed and made less rigid. I also request the Minister to look into the guarantor system and see how this can be made to work better.

Lastly I would like to bring to the notice of the House something about tea. Tea Sir, is a foreign exchange earner. But 50 per cent. of India's tea is common tea and you have placed a duty of 6 annas a pound. But by the time the Indian tea goes to foreign markets, all sorts of other duties are put on it. So, Indian tea cannot survive when it has to compete in foreign markets and pay this duty. In South African and African markets, there are other teas and in competition, Indian tea is suffering in every way. Everytime it is brought to the notice of the Commerce and Industry Ministry they say that "quality must be improved." You cannot improve the quality of tea; it is a natural commodity and the climate, rainfall and other conditions have everything to do with it.

So, if you want to save Indian tea and earn foreign exchange then, put a flat rate of 3 annas a pound on all teas or introduce an ad valorem duty, so that Indian tea can survive. We have terminated the International Tea Market Expansion Board in October, 1952 and our Tea Board is there. There is also the tea cess accruing to the Board and our biggest market is the United Kingdom. So, if our Indian tea cannot survive, we lose valuable foreign exchange to that extent. So, the Tea Board must make all out effort to employ the money at their command to enhance our hold on the U.K. and world markets.

I was very happy to hear the hon. Finance Minister himself say that all precautions will be taken to plug wholesale evasion of taxes. I think evasion of taxes is there in all parts of the world and India is not the only place where evasion occurs. But at the same time, evasion will be there, because after all it is human nature to try not to pay some taxes and it is also administrative nature to try and go after the tax-payer. Unless he is compelled and forced, the taxpayer will not pay taxes perhaps to the full, as he should . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri: There is one more thing. The income-tax account books are written in various States in various languages. I can speak of Calcutta where many of the account books are written by the marwaris in Mundi. Unless the income-tax officer is very conversant with that language, he cannot really examine the account books thoroughly. It reminds me of a story. There was a munim who wrote in Mundi

“लाला जी अजमेर गये, बड़ी बहो
को जल्दी भेज दो”

he wanted the big account book to be sent. But it was read by the second munim as

“लाला जी आज मर गये, बड़ी बहु
को जल्दी भेज दो”

That is the sort of language difficulty that we are faced with. So, a thorough examination of the accounts cannot be done unless the persons examining them know the language properly.

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra): That is the tragedy of the script, not of the language.

Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri: That is the tragedy of the script, but it has to be learnt thoroughly to go into the accounts and examine them. That is one of the ways of stopping evasion—knowing the language properly—so that accounts can be thoroughly scrutinised.

With these words, Sir, I support the Finance Bill very warmly, because I know that it is the efforts of the Finance Minister that is going to get us through the Plan.

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Thiruvella): At the outset, I would like to make some observations about the remarks made by the hon. Lady Deputy Minister. I would request her not to distort what the Opposition Members speak. I want to make it very clear

that we are not against foreign capital as such. We have made it clear several times that we are particularly against the investment of private capital in our country. More than that, we are against the tendency of the foreign private capital to have over-all control over the industries in which they invest their capital. We are not against foreign capital being given as loan from countries which are friendly to us and we should try to get such kind of foreign capital on the basis of arrangements between the various Governments. Our position is that we should not very much welcome foreign private capital in our industries. The hon. lady Minister tried to throw a challenge at us. I would have liked to accept her challenge. But, I am sorry, I cannot do that, because there is very little substance in her challenge. As I have made it very clear, it is a distortion of facts to say that we on this side are against foreign capital as such. We are only against foreign private capital, and that too foreign private capital controlling the entire industries in which they invest their capital.

While speaking on the Finance Bill, I am reminded of the speech made by the hon. Prime Minister in reply to the general debate on the budget. At that time, he tried to reassure the House and the country that our national economy as a whole is sound, that we need not be very much upset over the future and that we can manage our affairs. He added, all the same, that he is very much worried about certain forces working in this country. He called such forces “disruptionist forces” and he referred to cer-

[Shri Vasudevan Nair]

tain agitations which the people are carrying on. He referred to the refugee agitation in West Bengal and to large scale movement of people. I agree that he also referred to certain other fissiparous movements, disruptionist movements and the controversy over the language issue.

But my complaint is that the hon. Prime Minister did not try to differentiate and specify them. I agree that there are disruptionist forces in this country. There are tendencies in this country which may not be very helpful for the development of our Five Year Plan, for the ultimate good of this country in every sphere. But there again we have to differentiate. Who stands for the development of the Plan? Who stands for the implementation of the Plan? That is the question. Which is the force that is working for it and which is the force that is trying to disrupt the development of the Five Year Plan? Who is trying to arrest the progress that we are trying to achieve? When we examine the situation in that light, I have to submit that there are certain forces which stand in the way of our development. They want to wreck this Five Year Plan. They are very much worried about the development of the public sector and they want to give dominance to the private sector over the public sector.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Who are they?

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I will come to that. I want to invite the attention of my hon. friend, Shri Sharma, to the proceedings of the annual conference of the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry that was held in Delhi a month back. I would like to say that there is almost a cold war going on between the private sector in this country—at least a leading section of the private sector in this country—and the Government and the people at large. They want the Government to go back on its policy. Their slogan is "de-nationalise". They want to split the life

Insurance Corporation into three or four companies. They want the expenditure tax, the wealth tax and such other taxes to be scrapped. I would like to point out to the hon. Members and the House that one gentleman in the conference characterized the expenditure tax and the wealth tax as capital punishment to the capitalist class. Their slogan is "down with the public sector". And it must be remembered that Mr. Chinai, a leading member of the Chamber of Commerce and Industry, has even questioned the very aim and objective adopted by this Government and this country, that is, the socialistic pattern of society. He asked the Conference to attack the socialistic pattern of society. The same gentleman, Mr. Chinai, is elected to the Rajya Sabha on the congress ticket.

Our friend, Shri M. R. Masani, has even today argued for the interests of the private sector.

Shri M. R. Masani: That is what you think.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Such voices are heard in this House. We hear similar voices in the ruling party too. A man who questions the very concept of socialistic pattern of society, the very objective set forth by this Government and this country, is elected to the Upper House on the congress ticket. I am afraid those private interests, the private sector who wanted the expenditure tax and wealth tax to go, who wanted to declare a sort of cold war against the Government's policies, they are succeeding; their attempts are bearing fruit. That we can see in the concessions announced by the hon. Finance Minister last time in the question of development rebate. In the concessions announced by the Finance Minister we can see the surrender, the abject surrender, on the part of the Government before the vested interests. I would request the hon. Members of this House—we by ourselves are very weak; we know that—to put a stop to this. We should not

surrender any more before these vested interests.

I am afraid, the hon. Minister—some hon. Members paid tributes to him; of course, I would like to come to that—is a bit partial towards these interests. That is all the more reason why we should all stand united and demand that no such concessions should be given to the private interests. From the speech made by the hon. Mr. Masani, it may be seen that the private capitalists are getting ruined and that they will be nowhere in four or five years if we go at this rate and if the taxation remains like this. But it is strange how their profits are increasing though the investment is less. Nobody can deny these facts. Still, they are trying for concessions from the Government. We should not give them that.

Now I will come to another question. Some two or three days back my hon. friend, Shri Nagi Reddy, talked about the collection of income-tax. He has pointed out that from 1948-49 up to 1955-56 the collections from income-tax have gone down substantially. Not only there is no increase, but there is a decline in the collection of income-tax. I would like to give some figures with regard to that. The collection of income-tax including corporation tax was as follows:

Year	Amount collected
1948-49	.. Rs. 197 crores
1949-50	.. Rs. 172 "
1950-51	.. Rs. 179 "
1951-52	.. Rs. 181 "
1952-53	.. Rs. 188 "
1953-54	.. Rs. 170 "
1954-55	.. Rs. 167 "
1955-56	.. Rs. 180 "

So, in 1948-49 it was Rs. 197 crores and in 1955-56 Rs. 180 crores. We all claim that production has increased. True. Profits have increased. True. The national income has increased. True. But, what about the collection of income-tax and also corporation tax? It has actually decreased. Of course,

the hon. Minister may point out that in 1956-57 there is a slight increase. Of course, there will be some slight increase in 1957-58, because the tax limit was lowered from Rs. 4,200 to Rs. 3,000. So there will be some slight increase of the same from 1948-49 till 1955-56. There is no substantial increase. On the other hand, there is a decline. I would like to know what is the explanation that the Government has to give on this subject. More than that, we all know the story of tax evasion. On the 31st December, 1957, it was Rs. 263 crores. Last time replying to the debate on the Ministry of Finance, the hon. Finance Minister tried to explain away this huge sum of Rs. 263 crores. At that time he said that nearly Rs. 32 crores could not be collected because there were some appeals pending. We would like to know what the department was doing all these ten years. For these ten years you could not dispose of these appeals. You cannot escape from the responsibility because for ten years you could not collect Rs. 32 crores due to these appeals. The forces that are trying to wreck the Plan are in this position in our country. Even a child can just point out. We would like the Government to stick to the declared objectives of the Five-Year Plan which, I am afraid, at present we are not doing. We are afraid the present leadership in the Government and the present leadership in the ruling party is going to surrender. There is a big danger that they are going to surrender before the vested interests and the whole House and the whole country should come forward to demand from the Government an assurance that they will not do that and that they will stick to the declared objectives of the Plan.

Last time while answering to the criticism made by hon. Members in the Opposition, specially in our party, I am sorry the hon. Finance Minister was dodging issues. He refused to answer the criticisms—real and substantial criticism—raised by hon. Members of the Opposition. He then

[Shri Vasudevan Nair]

Began to preach about democracy and totalitarians. I should submit that we were really so much disappointed by the performance of the hon. Finance Minister. And he talks so much about democracy. I ask: What right has he to talk of democracy? One who was instrumental in imposing a decision on lakhs and crores of people against their will, a man . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is the hon. Member speaking on the Finance Bill?

Shri C. D. Pande: We have done nothing. The Parliament decided.

Shri Morarji Desai: He was a Member of Parliament.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I would submit that this is my view. You may not agree with me but I have the right to submit that this is my view.

It is the greatest tragedy and it is the greatest misfortune of this country that when our Plan is facing a serious crisis and when our country is at the crossroads we have such a Finance Minister, who is so rigid in his outlook and who is anti-democratic.

I conclude my remarks with this.

Shri C. D. Pande: It is dangerous for your party.

Shri Morarji Desai: Sir, I am very thankful to all the hon. Members, who have spoken on this Bill, including the last speaker, for the advice that has been given to me and for the suggestions also that have been given to me. We have never claimed—at least I have never claimed—that I know everything and that there is nothing to learn. As a matter of fact, there is much to learn and one learns every day. I am not surprised at the anger of the hon. Member who spoke last because I had to speak last time on some matters which annoyed them. I had no intention to annoy them. I have no intention to annoy them even today. But, if their only idea of flexibility is against my rigidity is that they must go on abusing me and I must go on suffering in patience without replying. . . .

An Hon. Member: You began.

Shri Morarji Desai: . . . that the Congress Party should be considered reactionary and that they should be considered forward, I am prepared to satisfy them by not speaking at all about it. I am quite sure that then also he will complain that I ignored him. (Interruption) There is no greater annoyance than ignoring a person. I do not want to enter into an argument with him about my rigidity. I can only say that if I am rigid, if I am shown to be rigid, I am prepared to improve. But, I am not prepared to be so flexible as to be used by him as he likes. That, I must certainly say.

He said that I am partial to private capital or private industry or private enterprise, or I am very friendly—he did not say I am partial, he said I was friendly—and therefore it is a misfortune that I should have become Finance Minister at this stage. If they consider that I am a misfortune to them, I feel I am somewhere in the right. Because, they can never see any right anywhere except in those who agree with them in everything that they do. Where rigidity is may better be examined by them. I see good even in them, but they see no good in me. Who is rigid? That is all I want to know. No human person is without good in him and therefore I consider them as my friends. I am not annoyed by them.

Shri V. P. Nayar: Nor are we.

Shri Morarji Desai: That was obvious when it was said here that is a disaster that I should be the Finance Minister here.

Shri V. P. Nayar: That certainly is.

Shri Morarji Desai: If they could help it, they would like to see that I do not exist in this world. Fortunately, it is not in their hands. It is in the hands of Almighty who rules the world and not they.

An Hon. Member: They do not believe it.

Shri Morarji Desai: They do not believe it because then they are free to do what they like without any restraint and it is very easy to adopt utilitarian methods. But, I do not want to adopt utilitarian methods. I believe in right means and right means include that I should have friendship also for them and hope that they will also be reasonable and not as unreasonable as they are at present.

I should like, before going into the question of the Plan and economic questions which have been raised, to give some information about some of the queries made. My hon. friend Shri Bimal Ghose asked, why was there a discrepancy between the *Explanatory Memorandum* and the *Economic Survey* about borrowings of the Government. May I tell him that what is given in the *Explanatory Memorandum* is the borrowing of the Centre whereas what is given in the *Economic Survey* is the borrowings of the Centre as well as the States. I hope that he will be satisfied by this information. I will give the break up: Rs. 146 crores are the borrowings of the Centre and Rs. 67 crores of the States. If these two are added up, the figures will exactly tally. May I expect that my hon. friend is satisfied?

Then, I was asked about the state of affairs in the matter of deficit financing. Deficit financing in the first year was Rs. 238 crores. In the second year, 1957-58, it was Rs. 464 crores—Rs. 702 crores in the first two years instead of Rs. 600 crores as was first thought of. Therefore, it is not possible for me to say that we will confine ourselves to Rs. 900 crores only of deficit financing for the Plan. As a matter of fact, for

the Plan, Rs. 1,200 crores of deficit financing has been envisaged, and it will be our endeavour to see that we confine ourselves within that limit. But what we would like to see is that whatever deficit financing we may resort to, it should not result in inflation and it should help the economy and not retard the economy. That is the test we apply to the use of deficit financing, and if that is satisfied, I hope my hon. friends will have no quarrel about the extent of deficit financing that is made. And it will be agreed that by and large, although deficit financing has been adopted, there has been no inflation worth the name in this country, even though we have shouted very loudly about rise in prices, which is not much, compared to what has gone on in the whole world. That is what we have got to consider.

In a developing economy, there is bound to be some rise in prices. If the standard of living increases at the same time when the standard of income is also increasing, and if the whole increase is not absorbed in savings, there is bound to be an increase in consumption of goods and, therefore, it is bound also to lead increase in prices to some extent. We are, therefore, trying to see that that does not happen to a large extent, which might come in the way of our Plan, and we, are, therefore, trying to keep it under check to the best of our ability.

It must have been seen also that in the matter of foodgrains, the prices which were rising high last year have now come down, and are coming down to a proper level, so that there is no difficulty in that matter also in their effect on other sectors and in creating inflation. That is how this Government views this question.

I was asked to increase the rates of interest so that there may be more savings and more borrowings also may be obtained. Only last year, that is, as recently as June last, rates have been increased; in the case of 12-year national plan savings

[Shri Morarji Desai]

certificates, they have been raised by over one per cent. from 4·16 per cent. to 5·41 per cent.; in the case of 10-year treasury savings deposit certificates, they have been raised by half a per cent. to 4 per cent.; in the case of 15-year annuities, they have been raised from 3½ per cent. to 4½ per cent., and in the case of post office savings bank, the rates have been raised by half a per cent. to 2½ per cent. It must also be remembered when these rates of interest on savings certificates are compared with bank rates and other rates, that these are free of income-tax; and, therefore, that also is an advantage, and that will also add to the rate of interest which is actually obtaining.

Therefore, even in this matter, Government have not been unmindful. They have been thinking and trying to adopt various steps that can be adopted in order that our savings and our borrowings may go higher as we want them.

There have been some difficulties in the past which brought down the savings and the borrowings too, but we hope that in the next three years, that is, this year and the next two years, we will gain the lost ground and we will try to make up for what has happened, but even these are expectations and efforts. I cannot promise here and now that this is what is going to happen in matters like this. We are not living in an economy which is a forced economy or which is absolutely a guided economy where we might say that we will not suit the economy to human society, but suit human society to the economy. That is not what we are prepared to do. We want our Plans for the happiness of men—we do not want the Plan in order to satisfy that the Plan is there and that men must be made to adjust themselves to the Plan. That is the goal that we have and that is the theory on which we are working, or that is the ideal on which we are acting.

16·34 hrs.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Therefore, it is not possible in these Plans, that are being made, always to see that nothing will miscarry or that there may not be some miscalculations or expectations not fulfilled.

I would, therefore, come now to the Plan and try to make up for some of the allegations which were made that I had not dealt with the economic matter at all during the last reply that I made on the Ministry's Demands.

There was not much time left at that time, because I had to give details about the various points raised—I had to give all those details, to which my hon. friend tried to reply just now quoting the very figures which I had given. I had quoted those very figures here. There was nothing new quoted to me. My hon. friend may consider himself an expert in economics, which I am not; I do not claim to be an economist or an expert in theories; I believe myself to be a practical man of the world, an ordinary man in the street, who is charged with performing this duty on account of circumstances that have arisen; I do not claim special qualification for it at all. But I do claim loyalty to my Party and loyalty to the principles which my Party claims which will alone do good to this country. And in accordance with that, I would say that if those very figures were scanned, my hon. friend would know within a minute, if he had a little patience or a little sympathy with this Government, that in 1948-49, if the income was larger, it was because of the excess profits tax and because of the excessive profits that were obtained during wartime. They continued for some time and that inflated the whole thing. There were also reasons why it came down in 1954-55. It will be seen that after that, it is constantly coming up. Instead of seeing the figures after 1954-55, that is, 1955-56, 1956-57, 1957-58 and 1958-59, my hon. friend always thinks of the past, because he believes only

in a leader of the past and no present leader. That is the difficulty with my hon. friend. When I say that, he gets annoyed and he thinks that I am attacking him. I do not want to attack him at all. I am only trying to request him to live in the present, to see that we are dealing with a human society and not with a machine, and that human society is guided by many emotions and not merely by an economic theory or only economic needs. That is what has got to be considered, and it is on that basis that this Plan also has been framed.

What is our economic need in this country? Our need in this country is to see that we remove poverty, which is a grinding poverty in this country for many many years. My hon. friend, Shri M. R. Masani, tried to propound a theory about socialism and about private enterprise. I am not interested in all these theories myself. I agree with him that there should not be any quarrels about these matters. He said these things are dead as dido or dodo, as he calls them. But if he finds them dead like that, why does he remember them every time he speaks? That is my worry. I would request him to forget it, and not remind me every-time about it.

Mr. M. R. Masani: Unfortunately, the hon. Minister's Leader reminded me of it in his last speech. So I was correcting his out-of-date conception.

Mr. Speaker: But even last week is dead.

An Hon. Member: Is he a follower?

Shri Morarji Desai: My revered leader did speak about that because my hon. friend goes on reminding us that we are 'lost souls', we are going on wrong grounds, we are not considering the realities of the situation and we are harming the economic position. That was why he reminded

him of it; there was nothing beyond that.

Sir, it is a curious thing—and a little ironical too—that in the old days when I was with my hon. friend in jail in 1932-33, he was a most forward person and I was then considered a rightist. But today, he considers me a leftist, but he has become a rightist. If I remind him of that, is it not a little difficult for him to reconcile to me. I am reconciled to him all right because I understand it all right. But after all, we learn, we live and learn and we go on advancing, we go on learning.

He talked about socialism, but a socialism which believes in a totalitarian State is only one kind of socialism, that is, a scientific socialism, as it is called.

Shri Feroze Gandhi: He has reconsidered it.

Shri Morarji Desai: When I was with him, I had learnt that there were 56 kinds of socialism. I do not know whether the number has gone to 96, but, at any rate, one should not be lost in all this. The words 'private enterprise' have also been raised recently by the friends of my hon. friend and my friends and everybody's friends. Why should we raise this sort of slogans and then fight shy of meeting the situation? There is no quarrel here; everything is national effort, whoever does it. But the essential condition is that whoever does it must do it as if it is a national effort. Whatever industry it is, it must work in the interests of the country and not for private profit only. Of course, there should be profit; nobody says that there should be no profit. But there should not be such profit as creates jealousies or as creates any imbalance in the economic structure of the country or as perpetuates grinding poverty which is there in this country in many people. That cannot be called a national effort. Therefore, we, on this side of the House, are all the while trying to see that there is

[Shri Morarji Desai]

equality of opportunity to everybody in this country. We try to reduce the stresses and strains, remove the poverty, bring up the standard of living as best as we can; and in that process those who have a very extraordinary standard of living and along with it even more surplus which is never going to go with them, if they can utilise that for the other people, what is wrong therein?

My hon. friend says that now he begins to believe in the theory of trusteeship of Mahatma Gandhi. When I was telling him that in 1933, he considered me an ignoramus. But, now, he comes to this. Mahatma Gandhi's theory of trusteeship means this. We want that the State should so regulate that nobody runs away with his own desires at the cost of other people. We want complete freedom for everybody, to grow to the best of his capacity, mentally, morally and physically. But, we do not want anybody to grow at the cost of all others. That is the limit which everybody should prescribe for himself and if that is not prescribed, it becomes the business of the State to see that that man does not run away. Otherwise, what is the State meant for? We, certainly, would like to see that the State governs least, as least as it is possible. But, when we prescribe that to a child and say that the child must walk by itself and that there should be no aid given to it, we can only say that we are talking in the air. When we are just rising, we have got to have all the help; we have got all the help of the laws or the help of the baton or of the hanging material. These are the helps that are taken in the world. In democracy it is the help of the laws that is taken. The laws must not be oppressive; but the laws become oppressive when the citizens of a democratic State try to go behind the laws and break the laws in a stealthy manner and do not obey the laws even though it is with difficulty that they have got to obey

them. That is where the whole oppression comes and where the whole harassment comes. We are trying to see that even that harassment goes and that there is not left that weakness which makes people do that. We can understand that; we are practical people; we do not expect people to be godly in every way; nobody is godly. When we are not godly, how can we expect other people to be godly? But, we certainly expect a minimum standard of good behaviour, a social conscience which will enable us to raise this country to the height to which we want to raise it. That is what we want and if that is not forthcoming, will the Government be considered to have carried out its duty if it did not stop such forces from being effective? Of course, it must be by democratic means, by means of laws.

There are no laws which are implemented by this Government which are not made by this hon. Parliament. There are no laws which this Government can ever take to and there are instances in which this Government has given up measures because Parliament does not like them. That is what this Government believes in. But, if it is sought to be argued that those people who are charged with the government of this country by the will of the people must mortgage their brains to those who have not the will of the people with them, there could be nothing more stupid that we can do on earth. And, we do not propose to do that. We are prepared to profit by all the advice that is given to us. We are prepared to take every measure of advice and help that is given to us because we think that all the advice given to us is useful because it is meant for the people. But, ultimately we must be able to consider that that is right. If we do not consider that that is right, what are we going to do about it?

Take the case of the Plan. As I said last time, we are trying to re-

assess the Plan. And, before it is re-assessed, how am I going to describe it here? I do not know. Would it be right for me to give my views just now and not believe in the collective view which is the view of the Planning Commission? The Planning Commission is assessing it and it is doing it as quickly as possible. I should have been very happy if this could have been done fifteen days earlier; then we could have put it before the House. It is not an easy matter. My hon. friend, Shri Asoka Mehta, in spite of his expert knowledge and experience in all matters, if he was charged to do it himself, would have taken, I am quite sure, double the time that we are taking. The matter is not easy.

Shri Asoka Mehta: Are you willing to charge me with it?

Shri Morarji Desai: If you qualify for it, all right. The hon. Member must show himself fit for being charged. If he does not do that, how can I do that? I do not charge anybody. It is the country that charges anybody. I cannot myself charge anybody. I cannot go against the country and charge him without the desire of the country. That will not be democratic at all. And I do not think that my hon. friend will want me to be undemocratic. Of course I know that he believes in democracy as much as I believe. There is no question about it. I should be very willing to do that but my hon. friend has himself gone away from that path. Therefore, it is my difficulty. I could not help it. I wish he comes back . . .

Shri Asoka Mehta: Not likely.

Shri Morarji Desai: I wish he comes back, not on his own terms but on right terms: I am not saying—only on my terms. That is what we want and that has been the attitude of this Government with respect to all the citizens of this country, not only with respect to my hon. friend.

Then the question of revenue resources was raised in connection with this very Plan by my hon. friend, Shri Ghose. He has said that the revenue resources have gone up to Rs. 6,600 crores. We want only Rs. 6,000 crores. What is all this cry about want of internal resources? During this short time after he has spoken I have not been able to collect all the figures so that I could give him all the figures. But I believe there is something, some catch somewhere in the figures which he has quoted. It cannot be. If we had all these resources, why should we be fumbling about them? We could certainly spend them.

Shri Bimal Ghose: Non-plan expenditure.

Shri Morarji Desai: Non-plan expenditure also is many a time very much abused. I do not deny that there is some non-plan expenditure which could be avoided. But, if I were to say from my experience of the Bombay State where also we were charged with having non-plan expenditure, I may tell my hon. friend that I tried to go into every single item of non-plan expenditure and I found that nothing could be avoided. There are so many things which are relevant to the expenditure of the Plan itself which have got to be done and if they are not done, the Plan will fail and the Plan could not be carried out. The Plan is made up like that but then it has to be built up with bones and blood and everything. If that is not done, if the non-plan expenditure is removed simply because it is so, then we will not be able to go ahead at all. It is that sort of non-plan expenditure which we cannot avoid. Still I would not say that there is not some like that in some place or even in Bombay it may have escaped me. I am not prepared to say that it will not be there. I am prepared to learn in this matter. Let it be pointed out. We will certainly try to stop that or let us argue about it. I will put the facts and figures and they

[Shri Morarji Desai]

must also be prepared to see it. In this connection, my hon. friend Shri Ranga has said that we should revive the old Standing Committees. There are Consultative Committees. I do not know why my hon. friend thinks that there are no committees. There are these committees. My experience has been that these committees do not meet frequently and if they meet they are in a hurry to go away. That is what I have myself found. I have tried to give them all facts and figures. I am prepared to give every help in future. At any time they want me, I am available for them, for their advice and for everything.

Shri Ranga: We should have an agenda.

Shri Morarji Desai: There is an agenda in the Consultative Committee. There is everything. I have also said that I am prepared to have any agenda that the hon. Members might send. I ask the hon. Members for agenda also. What more is wanted? What is a Standing Committee? Call this a Standing Committee. It is the same thing.

Shri Ranga: They take decisions.

Shri Morarji Desai: The Government cannot give up its decision right to any other committee like that. Then the Government must get out . . . (Interruptions.)

Shri Ranga: They come to you as recommendations.

Shri T. N. Singh: There is a certain misunderstanding about the functions of the old Standing Committee and the present Consultative Committee. Practically every new item of expenditure that was to be incurred used to come before the old Standing Committees. Secondly, every change or any modification of the policy that took place in the course of the year—that also used to come before that Committee. They did not meet more than once or twice a year but they went into these questions.

Shri Morarji Desai: I know the Committee that my hon. friend refers to.

Shri Ranga: They made recommendations to the Government.

Shri Morarji Desai: But my hon. friend forgets that this Standing Committee was kept because it was a Government which was not amenable to the people. That is not the position today.

Shri Nagi Reddy: Amenable to the people?

Shri Morarji Desai: Certainly it is amenable to the people. It is the Government of the people, even if my hon. friend does not recognise it. Their Government in Kerala has also come by the same method. They think that that is a people's Government and this is not a people's Government; it is a very strange sense of democracy which they are propounding, that is all that I can say.

It is not possible to have that sort of a Standing Committee which was there in those days, with the functioning of a democratic Government. (Interruption.) That is all that I should like to say.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Hon. Members cannot wring out an answer from the Minister. It is open to the hon. Minister to answer as he likes. Hon. Members may be or may not be satisfied. Therefore, let him go on; there is little time left now.

Shri Morarji Desai: My hon. friends will be satisfied only if I accept everything that they tell me, and admit that I am completely stupid and that they are wise. (Interruptions.)

Pandit Govind Malaviya (Sultanpur): Sir, may I say a word?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister is not giving in.

Pandit Govind Malaviya: Just one sentence, Sir. I only want to say that the hon. Finance Minister may be

good enough to keep an open mind on this matter till he has talked to others and then he may decide as he thinks fit.

Shri Morarji Desai: Let my hon. friends keep an open mind instead of asking me to keep an open mind. They have made up their mind that this must be done, and they want me to keep an open mind so that I accept what they say. We have an open mind whenever we discuss. We have a completely open mind whenever we want to discuss, in the sense that we are prepared to consider and discuss. The decision is already made. There is no question of taking any decision. If a new decision is to be taken it will be considered afresh, and we will certainly consider it. There is nothing which cannot be considered at any time in this Government, and even if I say today that that sort of a Standing Committee will not come, I do not say that it will not come if we are satisfied that it should come. Therefore, that is always there. When my friend seems to think that he has an open mind, I think he has the most closed mind when he tells me like this.

Shri Feroze Gandhi: Mr. Speaker himself suggested this.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let not the Speaker be brought into this.

Shri Morarji Desai: Then, there was the question of foreign investment, foreign capital, and also the question of deferred payments. We were asked to state what we have done about the deferred payments that we have arranged, whether that is not going to land us in great trouble. It is true, if that was not thought of it would have brought bankruptcy for us after four years; it would have deferred our doom. We do not propose to defer our doom. We have arranged this deferred payment in such a manner that we are able to pay from the savings which will accrue as a result of the schemes which will go into execution, and

which will save that much exchange or which will earn foreign exchange as a result of it. That is what we are doing. We are not, therefore, importing raw materials on a deferred payment basis. We are not doing that at all, and we have stopped other deferred payments also now, except in cases where new foreign exchange can be earned or saved. That is all what we are doing. We have certainly built up, therefore, a lot of obligation for future which we have got to pay. But we will pay that. There is no obligation which this country has ever disowned or which it has not carried out. That is always the sheet-anchor of this Government. Whatever happens, it will never deny its obligations whatever may be the conditions, whatever may be the difficulties. It is that which gives us strength. It is that which will also give us strength to carry out the Plan to the best extent possible.

Sir, I may say one thing also about the Plan. I was examining the First Five Year Plan which we have fulfilled, as we say. It was, I think, about Rs. 23·50 crores or so. The fulfilment was Rs. 19·50 crores; yet it was fulfilled. But there is a shortfall which is bound to be there in any Plan. In the same way, if you take by that proportion, that sort of thing is likely to happen in any Plan, and by that standard I do not think we are going to suffer even in future. That is what I feel. But, as long as we are examining this position, it is not possible for me to give anything just now. If a little patience is exercised in this matter, I am quite sure that I shall be able to satisfy my hon. friends about the reasonableness of the Plan, about not having a rigid mind about it, about not having also such a flexible mind that we want to run away from a pattern which many people or this House has approved of, and that we are not unmindful of the progress that we have to make.

A question was asked, Sir, in this very connection about employment.

[Shri Morarji Desai]

What are we doing in the matter of employment? All that expenditure that is made is for employment, and it is difficult there also to calculate. Of course, our expectation is that we want to increase employment by nine million jobs. That was the expectation in the Second Five Year Plan. Some survey was made and we found that two million jobs have been increased during the last two years. If I am asked to prove it immediately it is difficult for me to do so. That is what I was told by the survey people who had done it. But we are also examining it further. We are trying to see that as much employment is obtained as is possible, and it is, therefore, that we are not merely concentrating on big plants, the core of the Plan, as it is said,—the steel plants and those plants which are huge plants. We are also concentrating more and more on the small-scale industries and on the cottage industries which give greater employment, and we are employing more and more people, and we are trying to spend more and more on it. The advantage of it is that that does not require as much capital as is required for the bigger units. But the bigger units also are absolutely necessary. It is not as if we are, as some people think, steel mad. There is no question of being steel mad in this matter. But if we do not want to mortgage our future permanently to expenditure on foreign exchange, we have got to devise methods whereby we will be saving foreign exchange to the utmost capacity as soon as we can. It is on that basis that these were conceived.

Of course, there are some people who are afraid that we will go on expending more and more on these lines and therefore we will be going on curtailing more and more social services. That is not the idea at all. There is no question of curtailing social services. There was a shortfall more in social services even during the last Plan even when money was available. Social services are

not very easy to give. We want to give them but they also depend upon the development which will take place in this country and on the capacity of this country also to have social services. Therefore, whatever may be the desire of having social services, we cannot expand them indefinitely.

Take education, for example. Merely because we have many schools and many colleges—everything on a large number—it does not mean that we are doing 'education' properly. We have got to go on, coordinating the whole system of education, of consolidating the expansion that we have made and then we can go on expanding. Otherwise, if we go on expanding social services I think we will come to grief. Therefore, even in this matter, it is not that we are subordinating the social services to the core of the Plan, as it is said.

As a matter of fact, we want the steel also for social services. Everything that we do in this country is meant for social services and for nothing else. But before we can have the means, if we say we must utilise the means, I do not know whether there is prudence in those who ask me to do that or whether there is prudence in the Government which takes a realistic view. We believe in taking a realistic view. Many a time our expectations are those of the U.S.A. And we forget that our resources are of a backward country. That is what we forget and that is where we are asked to do almost everything at the same time. That is also forgotten, and when we attempt to do many things at the same time, then we are told that we are trying to do the impossible. We are not running away with these things. We are not forced to do anything as my hon. friend there said. He said I was forced to give concessions. What are the concessions given? The concessions are not in any taxes. The tax has not been reduced in any way. If the development rebate has been

changed, it has changed because it was necessary. It was proper that it should be changed.

What has been done in the matter of development rebate? We were saying that they should put 100 per cent of the development rebate in reserves. In the development rebate, what we are really giving is, 51.5 per cent. of income-tax which is saved in the development rebate, because it is deducted from income where income-tax is not deducted. What we are giving is 51.5 per cent. It is agreed by everybody that we should give this if we want our new ventures to go up, if we want them to thrive, and we want them to get up. Therefore, this incentive is given. What is there about that also? When we are saying that we put it there, then we must also put about 23.5 per cent. or something like that along with it, so that they have also got earnestness in the matter and the money belongs to them. Therefore, they cannot complain as they are complaining that we are doing something which is wrong.

17 hrs.

I am glad that my hon. friend Shri M. R. Masani considers that it is some concession even though he considers it does not go fully to that. I do not think that there would have been any reason for giving a complete 51.5 per cent rebate to the industries merely at the cost of all other people if they were not to exert themselves about it. Therefore, it is necessary to see that nobody is entitled to this rebate. This rebate is given, because we want these industries to come up, so that the people may prosper and profit by it. That is why we are giving it. But if the industries which profit by it are not going to utilise even some more money by saving it and putting it for the development of the industry in future and not give it up merely as dividends, I do not think there would be any justification for the development rebate. Therefore,

to say that dividends will not be paid is not right. It is possible that new companies may not be able to pay dividends immediately, because they want to take the development rebate. But new companies have seldom paid dividends for the first two or three years, and there is nothing wrong if they do not pay dividends for the first two or three years. Let them strengthen their position completely by means of these reserves and then they will be in a better position to assure the shareholders about the dividends. Why should the shareholders only think of the dividends and not think of the stability of the concern or of the larger profits coming afterwards, benefiting themselves and benefiting the country?

The shareholders also must think of the country and not merely of their money. They are getting profit only because the country is prospering and the country is enabling them to prosper. That is why they have also got to consider the interests of the country in this matter.

Even in this matter, it was said that taxation in this country is the highest and yet my hon. friend immediately admitted that the rate of taxation in England is 57 and here it is 56. So, it is not the highest in any case. But even there, if the special rates of depreciation and other concessions given in income-tax in this country are compared with those things that are there, you will find that the rate is lower here than in all those countries. That is being forgotten. It is not very kind to the Government when that is said. On the contrary, it is not also a very fair thing to say only that this is not high; but, this is very appropriate. We do not want to levy taxation which will remove the industries, because that will be suicidal. We want industries to produce wealth and we want also a part of that wealth, so that the country produces more and more and becomes better. It is in that way that the taxation policy of this Government is sought

[Shri Morarji Desai]

and devised. We want that things must be produced and we want to take as much out of it as we safely can, so that it also goes on, the Government also goes on and the people go on prospering. That is the policy on which the taxation policy is based.

It is possible that some different structure may be devised by some people and may be suggested. We are prepared to consider any different structures of taxation that are given, but these conditions must be satisfied not merely to their satisfaction, but to the satisfaction of this House; not merely to my satisfaction, because that also would not do. The satisfaction of this House is paramount in this matter and if it is done to the satisfaction of this House, there is nothing to prevent us from taking to that tax structure. It is very easy to say, change the tax structure, but it is not so easy to produce a changed tax structure which will deliver the goods. But I am prepared to make an offer to anybody. They will say, "You can make an offer, but how are you going to do it?" I make an offer: Let anybody suggest a tax structure; I want that we should get the money that we now get and we should also get some more money in future. If that is satisfied, I will take that, provided I will name 5 or 10 people who will forfeit their properties if this does not come out. Then, I will consider that it is right. Otherwise, there is no stake for anybody to suggest this. If this Government fails, certainly it walks out. That is the stake that this Government has and that is why we are thinking about these tax structures very seriously, in the right direction and in the best direction we can. In that, whatever help is given to us, we are prepared to take, not only to take, but to thank all those who give us all those ideals.

Then, it was said that power is concentrated in 5 or 6 people and Vinobaji was cited in this matter. My hon. friends do not live as Vinobaji lives,

do not think as Vinobaji thinks and have not the same ideal of life as he has. Still, he is quoted against me. Why should that be done? Is that very fair? Let them try to live like that and then tell me; I am prepared to go that way. But without that, if I am told to go that way, I would say, "Physician, heal thyself." I have had long discussions myself with Vinobaji about what he puts as ideal and he also thinks that in practical life, other things have got to be done. But we must constantly remember that we must go forward and go towards the ideal. That is what we are trying to do. How can five or six people govern? It is this House that is governing. To say that five or six people are governing is to belittle the powers of this House and the capacity of this House to govern this country. Anybody who says that does not honour this House. That is all I would say. Anybody outside the House may say that. But I do not think that anybody inside this House would like to say that. If anybody says that, it is wrong for him to say that a few people are governing.

Many people have many ideas, sometimes out of bitterness, sometimes out of frustration, sometimes out of superior knowledge or superior egoism or, perhaps, superior theory; I do not know. But if any workable theory is given in this matter, we are prepared to take up that theory and adopt it, as far as possible. Therefore, let us not go merely by some sort of notion where we think that we are not acting properly.

I was told by an hon. friend from the Opposition that we are not going on the Gandhian way, whereas we ought to have gone the Gandhian way. May I say, Sir, very humbly that we are trying to come up to what we have learnt to the best of our capacity? But we are not claiming that we are going that way wholesale, because we have not the capacity to go that way wholesale. We should like to go that

way. We would like to qualify for that. But I do not know what will happen if I try to follow up the advice that he gave me. He gave me the advice that we must tell the people that they should work and if they do not work, make them work; do not give them respite; let them die. It is easy for him to say that. If I say anything like that from these benches here, I do not know what will be my fate. Therefore, it is very easy to speak these things when one is in opposition. But even in opposition I think it has got to be considered in such a way for some day they will have to implement it. After all, that is the meaning of opposition in a democracy. If that is not thought of, then things do not become real and we do not keep to the right course, as we should keep to the right course. I do not think that we are departing from the broad picture which Mahatma Gandhi gave to make this country happy in such a way that everybody has equal opportunity and that nobody is exploited and that everybody becomes self-reliant and is fearless. But, it is not easy to make people fearless. We have got to make them fearless gradually by having our structure in such a way that people are not afraid. Will my hon. friends co-operate with me in this matter and see that others are not put in a fear? Is not carrying on a propaganda against people whom you do not like behind their backs, maligning them, black-mailing them by doing whatever you like, is that not a way of violence?

Is not it a way of violence to put fear in the people? That should never be done. Violence does not mean merely a baton. It does not mean merely a sword. It also means defaming people, which is also a method of making people afraid. Therefore, we ought not to take to these ways, which make us afraid. We ought to help each other in being fearless. That is what Mahatma Gandhi taught us and it is up to us to implement it. If we do that, I am quite sure that we will be able to find out a solution which will be satisfying to everybody, because then we will become reasonable also. I do not claim, and we have not claimed, that we have followed Mahatma Gandhi as we should have followed him. We have been weak. We have strayed away from the path. But we are constantly trying to come to that path to the best of our capacity. In that process, if the hon. friends give us help, we will certainly take that help and take it with gratitude.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1958-59, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Wednesday, the 23rd April, 1958.